Australian Journal of Crop Science

AJCS 16(04):531-538 (2022) doi: 10.21475/ajcs.22.16.04.p3551



Biodiversity and community structure of Arthropod in tropical rice fields under organic and conventional ecosystems

Mohammad Yunus, Burhanuddin Nasir, Sri Anjar Lasmini, Reski Leme' Piri

Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Faculty of Tadulako University, Palu, Indonesia, 94118

*Corresponding author: mohammad.yunus@yahoo.com

Abstract

The practice of conventional rice cultivation using inorganic fertilizers and synthetic chemical pesticides can affect the stability of ecosystems. This study aimed to compare the diversity and community structure of arthropods in tropical rice field under organic and conventional ecosystems. This research was conducted at Oloboju, located at an altitude of 120 m above sea level. The soil type was inceptisol. The research used observation and exploration methods. The sampling of arthropods in the field used a sweep net, a pitfall trap, a yellow pan trap and by hand. The variables measured were the taxonomic composition and relative morphospecies abundance, family and order composition. Relative morpho-species abundance was assessed based on functional roles, number of species, and the total number of individuals. The results show that the Shannon diversity index value (H') and Margalef richness index (Dmg) were higher in organic rice ecosystems than conventional. On the other hand, the organic rice ecosystems had a Simpson dominance index value (C) and an evenness index (E) lower than the values of C and E in conventional rice ecosystems. The organic ecosystem had a community structure of arthropod of 9 orders, 24 families, and 28 morpho-species, with an abundance of 4,002 individuals, while the conventional ecosystem had a community structure of arthropod of 7 orders, 17 families, and 20 morpho-species with an abundance of 1,789 individuals. The practice of organic rice cultivation would increase the biodiversity index towards ecosystem balance so that it could be used as an alternative to pest control.

Keywords: Rice, organic, conventional, arthropods, diversity, community structure. **Abbreviations:** C_Simpson dominance index; Dmg_Margalef species richness index; E_evenness index; H_Shannon diversity index.

Introduction

In Indonesia, the loss of rice yield by pests and diseases varies widely, from mild to severe attacks and even crop failure (BBPadi, 2018). In rice production, farmers generally still rely on the use of synthetic chemical materials as part of the conventional rice cultivation system. The excessive use of inorganic fertilizers and synthetic chemical pesticides could cause environmental pollution, resistance, and pest resurgence. Therefore, it has become necessary to seek other alternatives. One alternative is to use non-chemical materials as part of organic rice cultivation.

The use of synthetic pesticides on swamp rice fields had an effect on biodiversity (Meidalima et al., 2018). Lowland rice fields without pesticide application had a higher level of diversity, number of species, and specimens of predatory arthropods compared to land with pesticide application. The low use of synthetic pesticides, according to the concept of integrated pest management, had a high diversity and abundance of insects compared to the use of conventional pesticides (Afifah and Sugiono, 2020).

The application of organic rice cultivation uses good cultivation technology such as the selection of quality seeds, organic fertilizers, and the application of non-chemical controls of plant-disturbing organisms (Kustiari, 2016). Many studies have been carried out on the success of non-chemical agriculture in increasing the quality and stability of production in the long term. However, information on the

diversity of arthropods and microorganisms in organic farming cultivation is still very limited (Mayrowani, 2012). In the lowland rice ecosystem, 25 morpho-species have been found, including phytophagous insects, spiders, predatory insects, parasitoids, and neutral insects (Jauharlina et al., 2019). Arthropod species richness and diversity are increased with the growth phase of rice and decreased after harvest (Thei et al., 2020). In the vegetative stage, arthropods, other than phytophages, had the highest abundance compared to other phases. Insects had various important roles in an ecosystem. Many insects play negative role as vectors and cause diseases to plants and humans. The positive roles of insects were as pollinators, decomposers, predators, parasitoids, environmental bioindicators, and producers of useful materials in the health sector (Meilin and Nasamsir, 2016). Biodiversity includes all organisms in an ecosystem that

interact with each other and determine the stability of the agroecosystem. Species differences are important component of the taxonomic diversity of herbivorous insects while differences in species richness plays a role in functional diversity (Rego et al., 2019). Several studies have shown that agrochemical inputs, especially inorganic fertilizers and synthetic chemical pesticides could harm the environment (Altieri, 1999). In the lowland rice ecosystem, arthropods such as phytophagous insects, natural enemies, and others, have their respective roles (Sumarmiyati et al., 2019). Phytophagous insects play a role in destroying/eating plant tissue, and some also act as vectors of viruses or fungi, while natural enemies play a role in controlling the population of pests/phytophagous insects in the ecosystem. Natural enemies include predators and parasitoids that help suppress the population of phytophagous insects (Effendy et al., 2013). Ecosystem stability could be determined through the analysis of several main ecosystem indices, namely the Shannon species diversity index (H'), the Margalef species richness index (DMg), the Simpson species dominance index (C), and the species Evenness index (E).

Based on the description above, research about the effect of plant cultivation systems on changes in biodiversity in an ecosystem is essential. This study aimed to compare the diversity of arthropods and community structure in tropical rice field under organic and conventional ecosystems.

Results

Taxonomic composition and relative morpho-species abundance

In the organic rice ecosystem, the taxonomic composition and abundance of arthropods were 4,002 individuals including 28 morpho-species, 23 families, and 9 orders. In conventional rice ecosystems, there was a reduction in the taxonomic composition of arthropods and a decrease in the relative abundance of morpho-species, as many as 1,789 individuals including 20 morpho-species, 17 families, and 7 orders, see Table 1.

Eight morpho-species were not found in conventional ecosystems but were spotted in organic ecosystems. The missing morpho-species are *Aulacophora* sp. (Coleoptera), *Labidura* sp. (Dermaptera), *Calobatina* sp. (Diptera), *Megalotomus* sp., *Saldula* sp. (Hemiptera), *Macrocentrus* sp. (Hymenoptera), *Scirpophaga innotata* (Lepidoptera), and *Atractomorpha* sp. (Orthoptera). Seven families were not found in conventional ecosystems but were appeared in organic systems, namely the families Chrysomelidae (Coleoptera), Labiduridae (Dermaptera), Micropezidae (Diptera), Saldidae (Hemiptera), Braconidae (Hymenoptera), Crambidae (Lepidoptera), and Pyrgomorphidae (Orthoptera) (Table 1).

The relative abundance of morpho-species in organic rice ecosystems was Leptocorisa oratorius 15.14%, Solenopsis sp. 15.07%, Tetragnatha sp. 6.32%, Menochilus sexmaculatus 4.92%, Pardosa pseudoannulata 4.72%, Polyrhachis frushtferia 4.72%, Nezara viridula 4.42%, Agriocnemis pygmaea 4.42%. Other morpho-species had contributions of less than 4% each. Meanwhile, in the conventional rice ecosystem, the percentages were Solenopsis sp. 25.15%, L. oratorius 17.22%, М. sexmaculatus 7.43%, Ρ. pseudoannulata 6.54%, and P. frushtferia 5.02%. The abundances of other morpho-species were less than 4% each (Table 1).

Order composition

The individual catch result of morpho-species arthropods in the organic rice ecosystem was found in nine orders consisting of the orders of Araneae, Coleoptera, Dermaptera, Diptera, Hemiptera, Hymenoptera, Lepidoptera, Odonata, and Orthoptera. In the conventional rice ecosystem, seven orders were found while two were missing, namely the orders of Dermaptera and Lepidoptera. The two rice ecosystems were both dominated by the orders Hemiptera and Hymenoptera. In the organic rice ecosystem, the abundance of morpho-species of the Hemiptera order was 1,133 individuals (29.61%), and Hymenoptera had 931 individuals (23.43%). Meanwhile, in the conventional system, the abundance of morpho-species of Hemiptera was 503 individuals (29.30%), and for Hymenoptera, it was 577 individuals (30.85%). The abundance of morpho-species in other orders ranged from 0–13% (Figure 1).

Relative morpho-species abundance based on functional role

Based on individual catch results and identification, there were similar roles for the types of arthropods found in organic rice ecosystems and conventional rice ecosystems. These roles could be grouped into phytophagous insects, phytophagous insects/vectors, parasitoids, predatory insects, and spiders, with different abundances (Figure 2).

The relative morpho-species abundance in the organic rice ecosystem was dominated by two groups: the phytophagous insects, with 1,632 individuals (41.82%), and the predatory insects, with 1,461 individuals (36.14%). The relative morpho-species abundance in the conventional rice ecosystem was also dominated by the same two groups, namely the phytophagous insects, with 562 individuals (33.71%), and the predatory insects, with 839 (44.97%) (Figure 2).

Indices of Shannon diversity (H '), Margalef Richness (DMg), Simpson Dominance (C), and Evenness (E) in the organic and conventional rice ecosystems

The analyses of the diversity, richness, dominance, and species evenness indices were done during the growth of organic and conventional rice crops. The analysis was performed 13 times with intervals of 7 days (Table 2).

Based on the analysis of Shannon diversity during crop growth in organic rice ecosystems, the index values were H' = 1.76-2.97, with an average index of H' = 2.71. In conventional rice ecosystems, the index values were H' = 1.80-2.62 with an average index of H' = 2.56.

Based on an analysis of Margalef species richness in the organic rice ecosystem, the index values were Dmg = 3.31-4.57, with an average index of Dmg = 3.26. In the conventional rice ecosystem, the index values were Dmg = 2.51-3.80, with an average index of Dmg = 2.54.

Based on the analysis of the Simpson dominance index in the organic rice ecosystem, the index values were C = 0.03-0.22, with an average index of C = 0.05. In the conventional rice ecosystem, the index values were C = 0.10-0.37, with an average index of C = 0.12.

Based on the analysis of the evenness index in organic rice ecosystems, the index values were E = 0.62-0.89, with an average index of E = 0.81. In the conventional rice ecosystem, the index values were E = 0.62-0.88, with an average index of E = 0.85.

Discussion

The abundance of individual arthropods in the organic rice ecosystem reached 4,002, higher than in the conventional ecosystem which only reached 1,789 arthropods, namely a decrease in the abundance of arthropods as much as 2,213 (55.3%). In addition, in conventional ecosystems, there is also a decrease in the number of orders from 9 to 7 or by 22.2% (Table 1).

These data show that organic ecosystems were a better habitat as a source of energy and as a shelter for arthropod life compared to conventional ecosystems. In conventional ecosystems, there was chemical material inputs from outside that could suppress the life of arthropods. The application of inorganic fertilizers could change the chemical and physical structure of soil so that it could interfere with the life of arthropod organisms that lived in the ecosystem. The death of weeds and other crops due to the application of chemical herbicides could disrupt and kill the types of arthropods whose lives depend on the dead weeds or crops. The application of synthetic chemical insecticides could suppress and kill several types of susceptible arthropods. Thus, the overall use of chemical materials in conventional rice ecosystems could reduce the taxonomic composition and abundance of morpho-species.

These observations were in accordance with the findings of Heong et al. (1991) where changes in the structure of the arthropod community in rice fields were largely affected by differences in cultivation techniques. According to Bellamy et al. (2018), each different agricultural management system would lead to a different species community structure.

The composition of the orders of arthropod in organic and conventional rice ecosystems had similarities and differences. The similarity was that both orders had a composition of the same seven orders, and each ecosystem was dominated by hemipterans with an abundance of morpho-species as much as 29.30 to 29.61% and hymenopterans, with an abundance of morpho-species as much as 23.43 to 30.85% (Figure 1).

The difference between the two ecosystems was the loss of two orders in the conventional rice ecosystem, namely the Lepidoptera (order of morpho-species *Scirpophaga innotata* as a pest/phytophagous insect) and Dermaptera (order of morpho-species *Labidura* sp. as a predatory insect). In addition, several species were missing, but the order was still represented by other species, namely members of the orders of Coleoptera, Diptera, Hemiptera, Hymenoptera, Odonata, and Orthoptera.

The abundance of morpho-species in both ecosystems could be grouped into phytophagous insects, phytophagous insects/vectors, predatory insects, parasitoids, and spiders, but each had a different composition. The most dominant abundance of morpho-species was from the groups of phytophagous and predatory insects.

Hemiptera is the first dominant order whose members acted as phytophagous insects, including *Leptocorisa oratorius*, *Megalotomus* sp., *Nezara viridula*, and *Saldula* sp., and having dual roles apart from being a phytophagous insect as a virus vector were *Limotettix* sp. and *Nephotettix virenscens*. These types of phytophagous insects were detrimental for farmers because they acted as pests that damaged rice crops, and some also act as vectors of crop disease. The high population of the Hemiptera order according to observations (Afifah and Sugiono, 2020), was due to the lowland rice ecosystem having high humidity and abundant food supplies.

The group of phytophagous insects was dominated by "walang sangit" (*Leptocorisa oratorius*), in organic rice ecosystems, with an abundance of 606 individuals (15.14%) and conventional rice ecosystems had 308 individuals (17.22%). Other phytophagous species had a low population of less than 5% (Table 1). The *L. oratorius* attacks usually

increase massively on rice fields that were cropped asynchronously (Kartohardjono et al., 2009), and there was migration from outside the observation plot (Afifah and Sugiono, 2020). *L. oratorius* is a pest destroying rice crops in the generative phase by sucking from the grains (Triaswanto et al., 2019). There were empty symptoms when there was an attack during flowering and the grain symptoms were not full when there was an attack when cooking milk (Kartohardjono et al., 2009). The existence of vegetation around the ecosystem, including the presence of weeds, was beneficial for *L. oratorius* as a shelter and as an alternative source of food (Sugimoto and Nugaliyadde, 1995).

For the Hymenoptera order, whose members acted as predatory insects, representatives were *Solenopsis* sp., *Diacamma* sp., and *Polyrhachis frushtorferia*, and the insect whose role was a parasitoid was *Macrocentrus* sp. According to Istikoma's observation (2014), the largest abundance of individuals was found in the Hymenoptera and Collembola orders, whereas the Hymenoptera order was dominated by the family Formicidae (71.318%). The rise and fall of the Hymenopteran population, whose members acted as predators and parasitoids, was highly dependent on the high and low levels of insect pests as prey or hosts.

Predatory insect groups acted as biological agents to control pests/phytophagous insects in rice plantations. The predatory insect group was dominated by the fire ant (Solenopsis sp.). In the organic rice ecosystem, the abundance of fire ant reached 603 individuals (15.07%) and in the conventional rice ecosystem, the abundance reached 450 individuals (25.15%), while the abundance of other predatory insects was less than 7.5% (Table 1). Ants had a fairly stable population and played an important role in an ecosystem (Rhodiyah et al., 2020). The population density level of Solenopsis sp. in an ecosystem affected the speed of finding prey, whereas the higher the population density of Solenopsis sp. the faster it found its prey (Abdullah et al., 2020). The species Anoplolepis gracilipes, Paratrechina longicornis, and Solenopsis geminata were groups of invasive ants that were able to adapt to disturb habitats and compete, which caused the loss of other ant species (Hasriyanty et al., 2015).

The application of pesticides on agricultural land, apart from hitting target organisms, also floated into the air and entered the soil and water as part of the food chain, and was very dangerous for non-target arthropods, birds of prey, and humans (Widaningsih, 2001). Arfan et al. (2018) reported that in conventional pest control practices to kill pests, predators, parasitoids, and other useful arthropods, some species are lost, which could affect the value of the biodiversity index. According to Afifah and Sugiono (2020), the use of synthetic pesticides would have an impact on changes in the abundance and richness of insect species.

Based on the diversity analysis, organic and conventional rice ecosystems in Oloboju have different index value categories. The organic rice ecosystem had a higher Shannon diversity index (H' = 2.71) and Margalef species richness (DMg = 3.26) compared to the conventional ecosystem (H' = 2.56 and Dmg = 2.54). On the other hand, organic rice ecosystems had a lower Simpson dominance index (C = 0.05) and evenness (E = 0.81) compared to conventional rice ecosystem had a more stable diversity index so that it could suppress the level of morpho-species dominance and could prevent the explosion of plant pest populations.

Table 1. Taxonomic composition, relative morpho-species abundance, and functional role in organic and conventional rice crop ecosystem.

No.	Order	Family	Morphospecies	Role	Morphospecies abundance in Rice ecosystem ¹⁾			
					Organic		Conventional	
					n ²⁾	pi (%) ³⁾	n	pi (%)
1	Araneae	Lycosidae	Pardosa pseudoannulata	spider	189	4.72	117	6.54
2	Araneae	Oxyopidae	Oxyopes birmanicus	spider	49	1.22	37	2.07
3	Araneae	Tetragnathidae	Tetragnatha sp.	spider	253	6.32	53	2.96
4	Coleoptera	Carabidae	Ophionea	predatory	25	0.62	19	1.06
			nigrofasciata	insect				
5	Coleoptera	Chrysomelidae	Aulacophora sp.	phytophagous insect	115	115 2.87		0.00
6	Coleoptera	Coccinellidae	Menochilus sexmaculatus	predatory insect	197 4.92		133	7.43
7	Coleoptera	Staphylinidae	Paederus sp.	predatory insect	23 0.57		17	0.95
8	Dermaptera	Labiduridae	Labidura sp.	predatory insect	73 1.82		0	0.00
9	Diptera	Micropezidae	Calobatina sp.	parasitoid	95 2.37		0	0.00
10	Diptera	Tachinidae	Strumiopsis inferens	parasitoid	rasitoid 111 2.77		48	2.68
11	Hemiptera	Alydidae	Leptocorisa oratorius	phytophagous insect	606 15.14		308	17.22
12	Hemiptera	Alydidae	Megalotomus sp.	phytophagous insect	104 2.60		0	0.00
13	Hemiptera	Cicadellidae	<i>Limotettix</i> sp.	phytophagous insect/vector	65	1.62	68	3.80
14	Hemiptera	Cicadellidae	Nephotettix virenscens	phytophagous insect/vector	87	2.17	65	3.63
15	Hemiptera	Pentatomidae	Nezara viridula	phytophagous insect	177	4.42	62	3.47
16	Hemiptera	Saldidae	Saldula sp.	phytophagous 94 insect		2.35	0	0.00
17	Hymenoptera	Braconidae	Macrocentrus sp	parasitoid	60	1.50	0	0.00
18	Hymenoptera	Formicidae	Solenopsis sp.	predatory insect	603	15.07	450	25.15
19	Hymenoptera	Formicidae	Diacamma sp.	predatory insect	79	1.97	34	1.90
20	Hymenoptera	Formicidae	Polyrhachis frushtorferia	predatory insect	189	4.72	93	5.20
21	Lepidoptera	Crambidae	Scirpophaga sp.	phytophagous insect	32	0.80	0	0.00
22	Odonata	Coenagrionidae	Agriocnemis pygmaea	predatory insect	177	4.42	55	3.07
23	Odonata	Libellulidae	Orthetrum sabina	predatory insect	95	2.37	38	2.12
24	Orthoptera	Acrididae	Oxya chinensis	phytophagous insect	106	2.65	43	2.40
25	Orthoptera	Gryllidae	Gryllus miratus	phytophagous insect	140	3.50	71	3.97
26	Orthoptera	Gryllotalpidae	Gryllotalpa hirsuta	phytophagous insect	55	1.37	42	2.35
27	Orthoptera	Pyrgomorphidae	Atractomorpha sp.	phytophagous insect	81	2.02	0	0.00
28	Orthoptera	Tetrigidae	Tettigidea armata	phytophagous insect	122	3.05	36	2.01
Average	8	23	28		4,002	100	1,789	100

¹⁾ Morphospecies data were collected from organic and conventional ecosystem rice planting plots, arthropod samples were collected from the rice canopy using a sweep net and from the soil surface using a pitfall trap, the same species were combined during the growth of rice crops. ²⁾ n = ith morphospecies abundance. ³⁾ pi = proportion of ith morphospecies abundance (%).



Figure 1. Bar chart of relative morpho-species abundance in each order in (a) organic rice ecosystem and (b) conventional rice ecosystem in Oloboju, Indonesia (organic N = 4,002, conventional N = 1,789).

Table 2. Shannon diversity (H'), Margalef richness (DMg), Simpson dominance (C), and evenness (E) indices during the rice crop growth in organic and conventional rice ecosystems.

Plant	H'		DMg		С		E	
Ages	0 ¹	C ²	0	С	0	С	0	С
21	2.66	2.25	4.57	3.13	0.11	0.14	0.80	0.85
28	2.32	1.63	4.21	2.64	0.22	0.37	0.70	0.62
35	2.71	2.02	4.33	3.31	0.10	0.25	0.83	0.70
42	2.90	2.13	4.24	2.67	0.07	0.19	0.89	0.79
49	2.89	2.06	4.48	2.84	0.07	0.21	0.87	0.74
56	2.97	2.62	4.48	3.80	0.05	0.10	0.89	0.88
63	2.61	2.54	4.16	3.51	0.06	0.11	0.80	0.86
70	2.59	2.58	4.50	3.51	0.03	0.11	0.78	0.88
77	2.39	2.10	4.57	3.30	0.03	0.23	0.72	0.73
84	2.03	2.12	4.47	3.28	0.03	0.21	0.62	0.75
91	2.02	2.14	4.32	3.24	0.03	0.19	0.63	0.77
98	2.10	2.24	4.67	3.19	0.03	0.15	0.65	0.83
105	1.76	1.80	3.31	2.51	0.04	0.24	0.64	0.75
Average	2.71	2.56	3.26	2.54	0.05	0.12	0.81	0.85

 ^{1}O = in the organic rice ecosystem.

²C = in the conventional rice ecosystem.



Organic Conventional

Figure 2. Bar chart of functional role group composition of arthropods in organic and conventional rice ecosystem in Oloboju, Indonesia.

According to Altieri and Nicholls (2004), the value of biodiversity was affected by the diversity of vegetation around the ecosystem, types of cultivated plants, land management activities, isolation from natural vegetation, nutrient-cycling systems, changes in microclimate, and detoxification of chemical compounds.

Materials and Methods

Study Site

The research was done in Oloboju Village, Sigi Regency, Central Sulawesi, Indonesia, located at an altitude of 120 m above sea level. Soil was inceptisol type, and the climate was characterized by air temperature around 34.3 °C, annual average air humidity of 72.5%, and an annual average rainfall of 41.10 mm. Sampling was done on organic rice ecosystems and conventional rice ecosystems. Identification of arthropod samples was done at the Laboratory of Science of Pest and Plant Diseases, Faculty of Agriculture, Tadulako University. The research was done for 5 months, from March to July 2018.

Rice field and soil preparation

This research began with the preparation of an organic rice ecosystem area of $5,000 \text{ m}^2$ and a conventional rice ecosystem area of 5000 m^2 . Seeds that germinated were planted using the table method (direct seeding) in an array on the cultivated land in muddy conditions (not flooded).

Maintenance included stitching, and fertilizing, as well as controlling weeds, pests and diseases. In the organic rice ecosystem, activities were done using non-chemical materials, organic fertilizer at a dose of 2 tons/ha, and biopesticide *Beauveria bassiana* with a concentration of 5 g/l water. In conventional rice ecosystems, farmers follow the habit of using synthetic chemicals materials in the form of granular NPK fertilizer at a dose of 300 kg/ha, herbicides with an active ingredient of Triasulforan 75 WP with a concentration of 1.5 g/l, and chemical insecticides with an active ingredient of Metomil 40 WP with a concentration of 3 g/l.

Observation and exploration

Sampling was done by means of observation and exploration in organic and conventional rice field ecosystems. The observation variable was the number of individual arthropods caught in each treatment. Sampling was done once every week up to 13 times, starting at the age of 21 days after planting (DAP). Sampling was done by capturing individual arthropods using sweep net, pitfall trap, yellow pan trap, and by hand. The sweep net method was done by swinging the net 10 times in double swings on each rice plot. The pitfall trap method was done by installing plastic cups below the ground surface (the rim is parallel to the ground surface), placed on each rice field bund with 12 traps in each ecosystem. The distance between traps was 8 m. The cups were filled with a soap solution with a concentration of 10% to accelerate the death of the caught arthropods individual. Trap installation was for 24 hours for each observation.

The caught arthropods were put into a collection bottle, and 70% alcohol was added. Samples were then taken to the laboratory to be identified based on the morphological characteristics observed under an XP 1080 B binocular microscope. As a reference, identification key books were used (Borror et al., 1992; Subiyanto et al. 1991), as well as BugGuide.net (BugGuide, 2021).

Data analysis

Arthropod catch result data were analyzed by calculating the taxonomic composition and relative morpho-species abundance, order composition. Relative morpho-species abundance based on functional roles, Shannon diversity index (H'), Margalef species richness index (Dmg), Simpson dominance index (C), and evenness index (E).

The diversity of arthropod species in the rice plantation ecosystem was calculated using the Shannon diversity index equation (H') (Magurran, 2004) with the formula:

$$H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{s} (pi)(\ln pi)$$

Where H' is the Shannon diversity index, pi is the number of individuals of a species/total number of all species ($\Sigma ni/N$), ni is the number of individuals of the ith species, and N is the total number of individuals. The categories of diversity index values (H', Oliveira et al., 2016) are: H' < 1.5: low diversity, H' = 1.5–3.5: medium diversity, and H' > 3.5: high diversity.

The Margalef richness index (DMg) (Clifford and Stephenson, 1975, referred to Magurran, 2004) uses the formula:

 $\mathsf{DMg} = \frac{S-1}{Ln(N)}$

where DMg (R) is the Margalef richness index, S is the number of species, and N is the total number of individuals. The richness index value categories are: DMg < 3.5 = 10w richness, 3.5 < DMg < 5 = medium richness, and DMg > 5 = high richness (Magurran, 1998).

The Simpson dominance index (Odum, 1993) uses the formula:

$$C=\sum pi^2$$

The categories of Simpson dominance index value (C, 1949 in Odum, 1993) are: $0 < C \le 0.5 =$ low dominance, $0.5 < C \le 0.75 =$ moderate dominance, and $0.75 < C \le 1.00 =$ high dominance.

The evenness index (Magurran, 2004) uses the formula:

$$E = \frac{H'}{\ln(s)}$$

Where E is the evenness index, H' is Shannon's diversity index, and S is the number of species found. The evenness index (E) value categories (Pielou, 1977) are: 0.00-0.25 = uneven, 0.26-0.50 = less even, 0.51-0.75 = fairly even, 0.76-0.95 = almost even, and 0.96-1.00 = even.

Conclusion

The organic rice ecosystem had Shannon diversity and Margalef species richness indices higher than in the conventional ecosystem. On the other hand, the organic rice ecosystem had a Simpson dominance and evenness indices lower than in the conventional rice ecosystem (C = 0.12 and E = 0.85). The organic ecosystem had a community structure of an arthropod of 9 orders, 24 families, and 28 morphospecies with an abundance of 4,002 individuals, while the conventional ecosystem had a community structure of an arthropod of 7 orders, 17 families, and 20 species, with an abundance of 1,789 individuals. The practice of rice cultivation in conventional ecosystems could reduce the taxonomic composition and relative morpho-species abundance such that it could affect the diversity of arthropods and community structure in the rice plantation ecosystem. Organic rice cultivation had a more stable ecosystem diversity index which could suppress the level of morpho-species dominance and could prevent the explosion of plant pest populations.

References

- Abdullah T, Daud ID, Kartini K (2020) Testing of various species of ants (*Solenopsis* sp, *Oecophylla* sp., *Dolichoderus* sp.) against fake white pests (*Cnaphalocrocis medinalis*) in rice plant (Uji pemangsaan berbagai spesies semut (*Solenopsis* sp., *Oecophylla* sp., *Dolichoderus* sp.) terhadap hama putih palsu (*Cnaphalocrocis medinalis*) pada tanaman padi). J Biologi Makassar. 5: 176–185.
- Afifah L, Sugiono D (2020) The diversity of insect in paddy field in Karawang, West Java with different pest management techniques (Keanekaragaman serangga pada pertanaman padi lahan persawahan di Karawang, Jawa Barat dengan teknik pengelolaan hama yang berbeda). J Ilmu Pertanian Indonesia (JIPI). 25: 299–306.
- Altieri MA (1999) Applying agroecology to enhance the productivity of peasant farming systems in Latin America. Environment Develop and Sustainability. 1: 197-217.
- Altieri MA, Nicholls CI (2004) Biodiversity and Pest Management in Agroecosystem. 2nd ed. ed. Food Product Press., New York.
- Arfan A, Anshary A, Basri Z, Toana H (2018) Effect of chemical insecticides on the arthropod diversity in the agroecosystem of red onion crops. Asian J Crop Sci. 10: 107–114.
- BBPadi (2018) Laporan tahunan BBPadi tahun 2018. Balai Besar Penelitian Padi, Indonesia.
- Bellamy AS, Svensson O, van den Brink PJ, Gunnarsson J, Tedengren M (2018) Insect community composition and functional roles along a tropical agricultural production gradient. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 25: 13426–13438.
- Borror DJ, Johnson NF, Triplehorn CA (1992) Introduction to the study of insects (Pengenalan pelajaran serangga), 6th ed. Translated by Soetiyono. Gadjah Mada University Press, Yogyakarta.
- BugGuide (2021). Identification, images, & information for insects, spiders, & their kin for the United Stated & Canada. https://bugguide.net/, USA.
- Effendy E, Hety U, Herlinda S, Irsan C, Thalib R (2013) Similarity analysis of predatory arthropods inhabiting the surface area of swampy rice field and its surrounding (Analisis kemiripan komunitas artropoda predator hama padi penghuni permukaan tanah sawah rawa lebak dengan lahan pinggir di sekitarnya). Indonesian Journal of Entomology. 10: 60–69.
- Hasriyanty, Rizali A, Buchori D (2015) Ant diversity and its occurrence in Palu Urban Area, Central Sulawesi (Keanekaragaman Semut dan pola keberadaannya pada Daerah Urban di Palu, Sulawesi Tengah). Indonesian Journal of Entomology. 12: 39–47.
- Heong KL, Aquino GB, Barrion AT (1991) Arthropod community structures of rice ecosystems in the Philippines. Bull Entomol Res. 81: 407–416.
- Istikoma D (2014) Identification of insect families and their dominance in drought tolerant sugarcane at PG Djatiroto (Identifikasi famili serangga dan dominansinya pada tanaman tebu toleran kekeringan di PG Djatiroto). [Skripsi]. Jember University, Jember.
- Jauharlina J, Hasnah H, Taufik MI (2019) Diversity and community structure of arthropods on rice ecosystem. Agrivita. 41: 316-324.

- Kartohardjono A, Kertosaputro D, Suryana T (2009) Potential rice pests and their control (Hama padi potensial dan pengendaliannya). Balai Besar Penelitian Padi, Indonesia.
- Kustiari T (2016) The level of application of organic rice cultivation technology in Lombok Kulon Village, Wonosari District, Bondowoso Regency (Tingkat penerapan teknologi budidaya padi organik di desa Lombok Kulon Kecamatan Wonosari Kabupaten Bondowoso). In: National seminar proceedings. Presented at the rebranding competitive advantage based on local wisdom, FEB UNEJ, Jember, pp. 612–621.
- Magurran AE (1998) Ecological diversity and its measurement. Princeton University Press, New Jersey.
- Magurran AE (2004) Measuring biological diversity. Blackwell Publishing Company, USA.
- Mayrowani H (2012) The development of organic agriculture in Indonesia (Pengembangan pertanian organik di Indonesia). Pusat Sosial Ekonomi dan Kebijakan Pertanian. Forum Penelitian Agro Ekonomi. 30: 91–108.
- Meidalima D, Kawaty RR, Gunawan EB (2018) Diversity of arthropod predator in swamp rice fields in South Sumatera. J. HPT Tropika. 18: 112-118.
- Meilin A, Nasamsir (2016) Insects and their role in agriculture and life (Serangga dan peranannya dalam bidang pertanian dan kehidupan). Jurnal Media Pertanian 1: 18-28.
- Odum EP (1993) Fundamentals of ecology (Dasar-dasar ekologi). Translated by T. Samingan, 3th ed. Gadjah Mada University Press, Yogyakarta.
- Oliveira N, Susila IW, Supartha IW (2016) Diversity of fruit fly types and the level of parasitization of parasitoids associated with fruit plants in Lautem District, Timor Leste (Keragaman jenis lalat buah dan tingkat parasitisasi parasitoid yang berasosiasi dengan tanaman buah-buahan di Distrik Lautem, Timor Leste). E-Jurnal Agroekoteknologi Tropika. 5: 93–102.
- Pielou EC (1977) Mathematical ecology. John Wiley & Sons, New York-London-Sydney-Toronto.
- Rego C, Boieiro M, Rigal F, Ribeiro SP, Cardoso P, Borges PAV (2019) Taxonomic and functional diversity of insect herbivore assemblages associated with the canopy-dominant trees of the Azorean native forest. PLoS ONE. 14: e0219493.
- Rhodiyah AF, Darsono, Riwidiharso E (2020) Diversity of ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in the Bantarbolang Pemalang Nature Reserve, Central Java (Keanekaragaman semut (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) di Kawasan Cagar Alam Bantarbolang Pemalang Jawa Tengah). Jurnal Ilmiah Biologi Unsoed. 2: 98-104.
- Subiyanto. Sulthoni A, Siwi SS, 1991. Key to insect determination (Kunci determinasi serangga). National integrated pest management training and development program. Editor, Christina Lilies S.; translated by Subyanto, Achmad Sulthoni; repaired by Sri Suharni Siwi. Kanisius, Yogyakarta.
- Sugimoto A, Nugaliyadde L (1995) Relation between population density of the rice bug, *Leptocorisa oratorius* (Fabricius) (Heteroptera: Alydidae) and damage of rice in grains. JIRCAS Journal. 2: 59–64.
- Sumarmiyati S, Handayani F, Sundari S (2019) Insect diversity in rice field crops in Kutai Kartanegara District, East Kalimantan (Keragaman serangga pada pertanaman padi sawah di Kabupaten Kutai Kartanegara, Kalimantan Timur). In: Proceedings of the Seminar on the Indonesian. In: Pros

Sem Nas Masy Biodiv Indon,. Presented at the Biodiversity Society, pp. 217-221.

- Thei RSP, Abadi AL, Mudjiono G, Suprayogo D (2020) The dynamics of Arthropod diversity and abundance in rice field ecosystem in Central Lombok, Indonesia. Biodiversitas. 21: 5950-5857.
- Triaswanto F, Riswanta UR, Ulhaq NUD, Fathoni ML, Soesilohadi R (2019) The daily activity pattern of *Leptocorisa oratorius* Fabricius (Hemiptera: Alydidae) at various altitudes in Yogyakarta Special Region (Pola aktivitas harian *Leptocorisa oratorius* Fabricius (Hemiptera: Alydidae) pada berbagai ketinggian tempat di Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta). Indonesian Journal of Entomology. 16: 103-114.
- Widaningsih D (2001) Impact of pesticide use on insects agricultural ecosystem. A case of rice field in Telagasari District, Telagasari Sub district, Karawang Regency, West Java (Dampak pemakaian pestisida pada serangga di ecosystem pertanian. (Lahan pertanian sawah, Desa Telagasari, Kecamatan Telagasari, Kabupaten Karawang, Jawa Barat). [Thesis]. Indonesia University, Jakarta.