

Cotton, bean, and soybean yield and nutrient redistribution in leaf sap in response to organic molecules complexed fertilizers

Adriano S. Nascente*, Tarcísio Cobucci, Viviane Brasil, Roberto dos A. Reis Jr

Supplementary Table 1. Equations of percentage of nutrients in relation to the controls as a function of days after germination and unit of area under the curve of micronutrients B, Cu, Mn, and Zn complexed with organic molecules and salt and/or chelated fertilizers that arrived in the lower part of the plants of soybean, common bean and cotton.

	Equations (Nutrient concentration percentage curve)	** Unit of area under the curve	% in relation to treat 4
Boron			
Soybean	Treat 4 (B complexed with organic molecule)- 63.9 g B ha ⁻¹ *Y=64.2-0.8X-0.004X ² R ² =0.99 (P<0.02)	368.0	0
	Treat 2 (B - boric acid)- 181.9 g B ha ⁻¹ Y=21.9+1.9X-0.02X ² R ² =0.99 (P<0.002)	284.6	-23
	Treat 3 (B - boric acid)- 63.9 g B ha ⁻¹ Y=12.1 (NS)	230.8	-37
Common bean	Treat 4 (B complexed with organic molecule)- 63.9 g B ha ⁻¹ Y=112-1.5 X R ² =0.68 (P<0.01)	1150.0	0
	Treat 2 (B - boric acid)- 181.9 g B ha ⁻¹ Y=9.12 (NS)	291.1	-75
	Treat 3 (B - boric acid)- 63.9 g B ha ⁻¹ Y=7.12 (NS)	224.1	-81
Cotton	Treat 4 (B complexed with organic molecule)-181.9 g B ha ⁻¹ Y= 98.9-1.02X R ² =0.83 (P<0.0003)	1478.8	0
	Treat 2 (B - boric acid)- 401.9 g B ha ⁻¹ Y=46.0-0.52X R ² =0.93 (P<0.008)	898.3	-39
	Treat 3 (B - boric acid)- 181.9 g B ha ⁻¹ Y= 68.7-0.79X R ² = 0.94 (p<0.005)	616.2	-58
Copper			% in relation to treat 4
Soybean	Treat 4 (Cu complexed with organic molecule)-186 g Cu ha ⁻¹ Y=-368+20.8X-0.21X ² R ² 0.84 (P<0.05)	4146.3	0
	Treat 2 (Cu- Hydroxic Copper)- 786 g Cu ha ⁻¹ Y=-241+12.8X-0.12X ² R ² 0.99 (P<0.04)	2820.9	-32
	Treat 3 (Cu- Hydroxic Copper)- 186 g Cu ha ⁻¹	2145.9	-48

	Y=-227+12.1X-0.12X ² R ² 0.97 (P<0.02)		
Common bean	Treat 4 (Cu complexed with organic molecule)-186 g Cu ha ⁻¹ Y=-300+15.0X-0.15X ² R ² =0.51 (P<0.01)	21514.9	0
	Treat 2 (Cu- Hydroxic Copper)- 786 g Cu ha ⁻¹ Y=-259+12.8X-0.13X ² R ² =0.52 (P<0.02)	18380.4	-14
	Treat 3 (Cu- Hydroxic Copper)- 186 g Cu ha ⁻¹ Y=-22.1+0.7X R ² =0.94 (P<0.007)	1885.0	-91
Cotton	Treat 4 (Cu complexed with organic molecule)-300 g Cu ha ⁻¹ Y= -307+14.2X-0.11X ² R ² =0.54 (P<0.01)	3115.3	0
	Treat 2 (Cu- Hydroxic Copper)- 786 g Cu ha ⁻¹ Y=-224+9.2X-0.07X ² R ² =0.78 (P<0.01)	1425.1	-54
	Treat 3 (Cu- Hydroxic Copper)- 300 g Cu ha ⁻¹ Y= -195+8.4X-0.06X ² R ² =0.88 (P<0.07)	1808.6	-42
Manganese			% in relation to treat 4
Soybean	Treat 4 (Mn complexed with organic molecule) - 65 g Mn ha ⁻¹ Y=116-1.5X R ² =0.48 (P<0.04)	1252.9	0
	Treat 2 (Mn - chelated) - 130 g Mn ha ⁻¹ Y= 9.2 (NS)	284.9	-77
	Treat 3 (Mn- chelated) - 65 g Mn ha ⁻¹ Y=62-1.0X R ² =0.80 (P<0.02)	356.5	-72
Common bean	Treat 4 (Mn complexed with organic molecule) - 65 g Mn ha ⁻¹ Y=142-2.1X R ² =0.83 (P<0.01)	947.1	0
	Treat 2 (Mn- chelated) - 130 g Mn ha ⁻¹ Y=14.7 (NS)	318.4	-66
	Treat 3- (Mn- chelated) - 65 g Mn ha ⁻¹ Y=12.2 (NS)	386.1	-59
Cotton	Treat 4 (Mn complexed with organic molecule) - 65 g Mn ha ⁻¹ Y=110-1.17X R ² = 0.64 (P<0.003)	1621.7	0
	Treat 2 (Mn- chelated) - 130 g Mn ha ⁻¹ Y=-96.6+3.7X-0.032X ² R ² = 0.86 (P<0.04)	36.3	-98
	Treat 3 (Mn- chelated) - 65 g Mn ha ⁻¹ Y= 1.12 (NS)	198.7	-88
Zinc			% in relation to treat 4
Soybean	Treat 4 (Zn complexed with organic molecule) - 65 g Zn ha ⁻¹ Y=-127+7.7X-0.008 X ² R ² = 0.90 (P<0.90)	8442.6	0
	Treat 2 (Zn- chelated)- 130 g Zn ha ⁻¹ Y=9.2 (NS)	1123.2	-87
	Treat 3 (Zn- chelated)- 65 g Zn ha ⁻¹ Y=-195+10.3X-0.11X ² R ² = 0.99 (P<0.02)	295.7	-96
Common bean	Treat 4 (Zn complexed with organic molecule)- 65 g Zn ha ⁻¹ Y=-16.5+3.1X-0.04X ² R ² =0.44 (P<0.02)	2140.5	0
	Treat 2 (Zn- chelated)- 130 g Zn ha ⁻¹	293.2	-86

	Y= 7.12 (NS)		
	Treat 3 (Zn- chelated) - 65 g Zn ha ⁻¹ Y= 9.12 (NS)	226.5	-89
Cotton	Treat 4 (Zn complexed with organic molecule) - 65 g Zn ha ⁻¹ Y= -118+7.04X-0.065X ² R ² = 0.79 (P<0.04)	2102.9	0
	Treat 2(Zn- chelated) - 130 g Zn ha ⁻¹ Y=32.1 (NS)	1365.1	-35
	Treat 3 (Zn- chelated)- 65 g Zn ha ⁻¹ Y=-134+6.1X-0.05X ² R ² =0.84 (P<0.005)	1015.8	-52

Treat – Treatment; *Curve representing the percentage of nutrient concentration in the sap on the underside of the plants in relation to the control treatment as a function of days after germination;

** Integral of the nutrient concentration percentage curves - represents the amount of nutrients in the sap at the bottom of the plants.