Osmoprotectant in soybean seeds can increase the inoculation and co-inoculation time in pre-sowing
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Abstract

The practice of soybean seed inoculation cannot exceed the 24-hour prior to sowing. The objective of this study was to assess the effects of inoculation and co-inoculation of osmoprotectant soybean seeds, performed at different times of sowing. The experiments were conducted during two crop seasons at "sandy clay loam Acrisol" and "Cfa climate" in Santa Maria, RS, Brazil. The experimental design consisted of completely randomized blocks with four replications. Nine distinct treatments of seeds inoculation were carried out with bacteria of the genus Bradyrhizobium were inoculated alone (conventional inoculation) or combined with Azospirillum brasilense (co-inoculation), associated with the use of osmoprotectants. The components of nodulation, leaf chlorophyll, plant growth and grain yield were evaluated. The number of nodules and pods per plant are the variables most correlated with grain yield. Number of grains per pod, mass of thousand grains, and A, B and total chlorophyll content were not influenced by inoculation, co-inoculation and nitrogen fertilization. All treatments that used osmoprotectant had an increased 30% (in the first year) at average number of nodules in V5 compared to the treatments that did not use it. Co-inoculation provided an increase of 31% and 16% of yield, respectively, compared to the no-inoculation treatment, for the first and second experimental years. Inoculation and co-inoculation when carried out seven days before sowing and associated with the use of the osmoprotector, provides grain yield similar to the inoculation performed at the time of sowing.
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Abbreviations: BNF_ biological nitrogen fixation, CFU_ colony-forming units, PGPR_ plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, R3_pod (phenological stage), V5_five nodes (phenological stage).

Introduction

Inoculation of soybean seeds [Glycine max (L.) Merr.] with products containing bacteria of the genus Bradyrhizobium provides a symbiotic interaction of both organisms. Such “conventional” practice of inoculation has been considered indispensable (Embrapa, 2014). It is estimated that the biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) rates are higher than 300 kg of N ha⁻¹, accounting for 94% of the total N required by the plant (Campo et al., 2009). Thus, high yields are obtained without addition of N-fertilizers (Alves et al., 2006). Considering a soybean production of 5.6 tonne ha⁻¹, total exports of grains correspond to 152 kg of N ha⁻¹, and 29 kg of N ha⁻¹ are left on the field as crop residues. This indicates that the total N amount is 181 kg ha⁻¹, i.e., 32.32 kg of synthetized N per ton of dry matter (Frado, 2008). Azospirillum brasilense belongs to a group of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), characterized by living freely in the soil and rhizosphere and may be beneficial to the plants. Mechanisms of promotion can be BNF, production of phytormones, increased root permeability, improved mineral absorption, and increased resistance to adverse conditions, such as drought, salinity and biochemicals compounds (Bashan and De-Bashan, 2010). A. brasilense-based inoculants are used in Poaceae plants such as maize, increasing grain productivities (Reis Junior et al., 2008). Co-inoculation is an association between two organisms, in this case, Bradyrhizobium sp. and Azospirillum sp.. This practice has contributed to increased yields of soybean cultures when supplied on seeds (Fipke et al., 2016) or when supplied in-furrow (Hungria et al., 2013), but the use of co-inoculation still requires further studies. Some management relating to sowing-practices can affect the bacterial performance, among them phytosanitary treatment of the seeds with pesticides. According to Campo et al. (2009), this practice can only be done if the soil and/or seeds are contaminated, once the bacterial cells viability diminishes when they are in contact with chemical agents.
(Bikrol et al., 2005). However, such recommendation has not been adopted by farmers, and today, in Brazil, nearly 100% of the soybean seeds are treated with fungicides and other chemical additives (Baudet and Peske, 2006). In this case, inoculation is done no later than 24 hours before sowing. Inoculation affects negatively the process logistics because it demands more workers and time to perform this practice (Hungria et al., 2013).

Pre-inoculation (anticipated inoculation) has been studied with satisfactory results, such as when this process is carried out a few days before sowing with no reduction on the soybean yield (Zilli et al., 2010). The use of osmoprotectants together with inoculant after the phytosanitary treatment provides the seed with a film coat that envelops it and minimizes the direct contact of the chemical products with the bacteria. In this case, biopolymers are used, which do not affect the physiological quality of the seeds and the nodulation process (Pereira et al., 2010). The osmoprotectant also acts in providing substrate (sugar solution) for the survival of the inoculum during the period that precedes symbiosis. In addition, substances that act in the genic expression in favor of nodule formation are released (Sugawara et al., 2006). This allows inoculation to be performed right after the chemical treatment of the seeds, extending the time available for sowing, without damage to the bacterial concentration in the seeds-surface. In the current literature many papers report the inefficiency of pre-inoculation when performed in the traditional way. With the insertion of new PGPR’s and the use of seed osmoprotectants, new work is needed. The objective of this study was to assess the effects of inoculation and co-inoculation of osmoprotectant soybean seeds, performed at different times in relation to sowing, on the morphological and nodulation characteristics and yield components.

Results

Nodulation compounds

Regarding the average number of nodules, during the experimental period of two years, the inoculated experiments provided higher averages compared to the no-inoculation treatments. In the first year, the experiments with inoculation resulted in an average number of 58.8 nodules per plant, compared to 45.1 nodules per plant of the no-inoculation treatments, representing an average increase of 30% (V5), but in the second year, the nodulation increase represented 12.7% (Table 1). All treatments that used osmoprotectant were significantly better, with an average production of 60.6 nodules compared to 46.0 nodules in the no-inoculation experiments.

Chlorophyll content compounds

No statistical differences were observed in A, B and total chlorophyll content. The means obtained in the first year of experiments were superior in relation to the second one. This reduction has a great contribution of the chlorophyll A content, 13% lower in the second year.

Plant growth compounds

The shoot dry mass (V5) obtained different results in the two years of experiments. In the first year only the treatment with urea application (NI+N) differed statistically from the others, presenting an average reduction of 27%. In the second, there was also a reduction for BROS0 and BROSAZO10 treatments. For shoot dry mass (R3) the treatments NI + N, BROS10 and BROSAZO10 differ statistically in the two years of experiments, therefore, reduce the mass of plants.

The height of insertion of the first pod was lower for NI in the year 2013/14. In the other situations there was no significant difference. The total height of the plants was influenced by the addition of urea, with an increase in size.

Grain yield compounds

No statistical differences were observed in number of grains per pod and mass of thousand grains. The number of pods per plant showed best results in the treatments inoculated at the sowing day and seven days prior to sowing. For being typical characteristics of genetic improvements made in each cultivar and which do not present many variations, statistical differences were not found in the number of grains per pod as well as in thousand-grain mass. The difference in grain yields was mainly influenced by the number of pods, presenting a significant correlation (Pearson) of 0.50 and 0.55 in the first and second year, respectively (Table 2). Thus, pre-inoculation sowing with Bradyrhizobium only (BROS) or in combination with Azospirillum brasilense (BROSAZO), seven days prior to sowing showed to be effective, resulting in grain yield similar to the ones found with inoculation at the time of sowing.

By establishing a relationship between the mean of treatments with the number of nodules per number of pods, it can be stated that each pod requires on average 1.11 nodules when the plant is at V5 and 2.05 nodules at R3. The correlation for these same variables is significant for the two-year experiments (0.63 and 0.37, respectively), justifying the importance of the nodulation components and their influence on the grains yield (Table 2). Grains yield can be estimated by the number of nodules collected at V5, and the linear equation of this relation indicates that each nodule (plant$^{-1}$ ha$^{-1}$) is responsible for the increase of 16.2 and 13.5 kg of grain ha$^{-1}$ each growing season (Figure 2).

Discussion

The physical protection provided by the biopolymer contained in the osmoprotectant, there is presence of signaling molecules, called Nod factors. These substances are produced by the stimulation of the molecular signals excreted by the plant roots, which will be the future hosts of the bacterium (Sugawara et al., 2006). From such excretion, important proteins (nodulines) for the nodules formation and maintenance will be synthetized (Almaraz et al., 2007). The presence of such substances (Nod factor) provides a greater number of nodules in the initial stages of the crop.
Table 1. Number of nodules (NPP, plant⁻¹) and shoot dry mass (SDM, g plant⁻¹). A, B and total chlorophyll content (CCA, CCB and CCT, CFI plant⁻¹). Height of insertion of the first pod (HIFP, m), total plant height (TPH, m) number of pods (NPP, plant⁻¹), number of grains per pod (NGP, pod⁻¹), mass of thousand grains (MTG, g), grain yield (GY, tonne ha⁻¹), as a result of the type of inoculation used in the soybean culture. Santa Maria, RS, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenological stage</th>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>NPP</th>
<th>SDM</th>
<th>CCA</th>
<th>CCB</th>
<th>CCT</th>
<th>NPP</th>
<th>SDM</th>
<th>HIFP</th>
<th>TPH</th>
<th>NPP</th>
<th>NGP</th>
<th>MTG</th>
<th>GY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>VS</strong></td>
<td><strong>R3</strong></td>
<td><strong>R8</strong></td>
<td><strong>2013/14</strong></td>
<td><strong>2014/15</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI</td>
<td>45.6 b</td>
<td>25.0 a</td>
<td>31.8&lt;sup&gt;ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.4&lt;sup&gt;ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>38.9&lt;sup&gt;ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>110.0 b</td>
<td>61.1 a</td>
<td>0.26 b</td>
<td>1.18 b</td>
<td>41.6 c</td>
<td>2.3&lt;sup&gt;ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>185.7&lt;sup&gt;ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.98 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI+N</td>
<td>44.7 b</td>
<td>19.2 b</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>100.0 b</td>
<td>56.0 b</td>
<td>0.29 a</td>
<td>1.26 a</td>
<td>40.6 c</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>193.0</td>
<td>2.99 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (Brady)</td>
<td>47.8 b</td>
<td>26.0 a</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>121.0 b</td>
<td>64.4 a</td>
<td>0.26 b</td>
<td>1.24 a</td>
<td>53.0 a</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>183.2</td>
<td>3.70 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROS&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>67.7 a</td>
<td>24.8 a</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>141.6 a</td>
<td>63.9 a</td>
<td>0.32 a</td>
<td>1.25 a</td>
<td>56.4 a</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>185.7</td>
<td>3.74 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROS&lt;sub&gt;7&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>56.6 a</td>
<td>23.9 a</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>141.2 a</td>
<td>55.1 b</td>
<td>0.24 b</td>
<td>1.22 b</td>
<td>53.2 a</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>185.7</td>
<td>3.55 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROS&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>59.1 a</td>
<td>24.4 a</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>118.4 b</td>
<td>51.6 b</td>
<td>0.23 b</td>
<td>1.21 b</td>
<td>48.8 b</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>3.08 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROS&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>61.5 a</td>
<td>25.0 a</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>149.9 a</td>
<td>68.9 a</td>
<td>0.25 b</td>
<td>1.21 b</td>
<td>58.8 a</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>178.0</td>
<td>3.91 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROS&lt;sub&gt;7&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>61.2 a</td>
<td>23.6 a</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>112.0 b</td>
<td>61.1 a</td>
<td>0.25 b</td>
<td>1.23 a</td>
<td>52.9 a</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>185.0</td>
<td>3.58 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROS&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>57.7 a</td>
<td>23.9 a</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>114.8 b</td>
<td>57.4 b</td>
<td>0.24 b</td>
<td>1.21 b</td>
<td>46.7 b</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>184.0</td>
<td>3.44 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV%</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means followed by the same letter in the column do not differ statistically by the Skott-Knott (p≤0.05) test; ns non-significant; CV%=coefficient of variation. Treatments: without nitrogen fertilization and without inoculation (NI), provision of 100 kg N ha⁻¹ after sowing added by 100 kg N ha⁻¹ at R1, in the form of urea topdressing, without any kind of inoculation (NI+N), inoculation at the time of sowing with Bradyrhizobium japonicum (I [Brady]), inoculation at the time of sowing with Bradyrhizobium × Osmoprotectant (BROS<sub>6</sub>), inoculation seven days prior to sowing with Bradyrhizobium × Osmoprotectant (BROS<sub>7</sub>), inoculation 10 days prior to sowing with Bradyrhizobium × Osmoprotectant (BROS<sub>10</sub>), inoculation at the time of sowing with Bradyrhizobium × Osmoprotectant × A. brasilensis (BROS<sub>6</sub>A. brasilensis), inoculation 10 days prior to sowing with Bradyrhizobium × Osmoprotectant × A. brasilensis (BROS<sub>7</sub>A. brasilensis), inoculation 10 days prior to sowing with Bradyrhizobium × Osmoprotectant × A. brasilensis × A. brasiliensis (BROS<sub>10</sub>A. brasiliensis).
Fig 1. Mean temperatures (ºC) and accumulated precipitation (mm) during the experimental period in 2013/14 and 2014/15 growing seasons. Santa Maria, RS, Brazil.

Table 2. Simple correlation between the explanatory variables in soybean culture in the 2013/14 growing seasons (regular font) and 2014/15 (bold). Santa Maria, RS, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VE</th>
<th>NNP&lt;sub&gt;v5&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>SDM&lt;sub&gt;v5&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>NNP&lt;sub&gt;v3&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>SDM&lt;sub&gt;v3&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>HIFP</th>
<th>TPH</th>
<th>NPP</th>
<th>NGP</th>
<th>MTG</th>
<th>GY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NNP&lt;sub&gt;v5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.22&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.12&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.26&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.09&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>-0.14&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.23&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.42&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDN&lt;sub&gt;v5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.19&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-0.08&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.17&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.26&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.16&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.06&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.16&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNP&lt;sub&gt;v3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.32&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.33&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.15&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.13&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.16&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.15&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.58&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.54&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDN&lt;sub&gt;v3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.15&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.34&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.26&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.22&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.10&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.36&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.41&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIFP</td>
<td>-0.40&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.18&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.15&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.09&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-0.38&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.12&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.11&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPH</td>
<td>0.14&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.28&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.03&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.08&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-0.30&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.01&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.06&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.05&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPP</td>
<td>0.63&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.23&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.60&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.24&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.06&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-0.34&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.17&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.55&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGP</td>
<td>-0.04&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.12&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.07&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.10&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.06&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.01&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.23&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTG</td>
<td>-0.25&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.48&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.40&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.12&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-0.45&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.07&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.37&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP</td>
<td>0.36&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.34&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.53&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.41&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.10&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.07&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.24&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, ** and ns: significant by t-test at 5% error probability and non-significant at 1% error probability; explanatory variables: number of nodules per plant (NNP, plant<sup>−1</sup>); shoot dry matter (SDM, g plant<sup>−1</sup>); height of insertion of the first pod (HIFP, m); total plant height (TPH, m); number of pods per plant (NPP, plant<sup>−1</sup>); number of grains per pod (NGP, pod<sup>−1</sup>); mass of thousand grains (MTG, g) and grains yield (GY, tonne ha<sup>−1</sup>); phenological stage (FEHR et al., 1971), V5; R3 and R8.

Fig 2. Graph of distribution and linear equation relating to soybean grains yield and number of nodules. Santa Maria, RS, Brazil.
No statistical differences were observed in A, B and total chlorophyll content, probably because the soybean culture has a higher capacity of homogenization of this parameter in the early stages (V5) or even because of the lack of a standard measurement method for this culture, as for common beans (Oliveira et al., 2012). Despite not existing a significant variation, plants nodulation was emphasized by Vollmann et al. (2011), who found a relation with increased chlorophyll contents in the leaves. Due to the high correlation between the chlorophyll content and nitrogen content in the plants (Argenta et al., 2001), this assessment confirms the efficiency of the diazotrophs, or nitrogen-fixing bacteria (Pereira et al., 2010), when the methodology of use of the equipment and the culture are fully consolidated.

N-fertilizer provided a reduced number of nodules, mass of plant dry matter, number of pods and grain yields (Table 1). Regarding the second year of the experiment, the behavior was similar in terms of number of nodules and pods and grain yields. The treatments with urea resulted in more significant variances with increased chlorophyll contents in the leaves. Despite not existing a statistically significant difference in the grains yield when compared to the inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. However, under water stress, there was no difference. In the present study, in the second year of the experiments there were events of excessive rainfall and mean temperature below 25°C (Figure 1), explaining the divergence of result in relation to the previous year.

The demand for pre-inoculated seeds is growing (Deaker, 2004), therefore, coating with polymeric adhesives can provide an increased survival of rhizobia. According to the results of this work it becomes possible to inoculate the seeds prior to day sowing. Thus, the farmer has the possibility to schedule the calendar of activities that precede the sowing. This will provide greater use of time and labor without compromising the economic return from grain yield. Another approach consists of using plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, which requires further studies with respect to the root exudates and interaction with signaling molecules (Ryan et al., 2009). Such rhizobacteria can be manipulated and inserted into agricultural inoculants, becoming important biotechnology tools towards sustainability.

**Materials and methods**

**Site description**

The experiments were conducted in the experimental area of the Department of Plant Science of the Federal University of Santa Maria, located in the central region of the state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, at 29°42′ south latitude, 53°42′ west longitude and 116 meters high, during two crop years. The soil in this area is classified as *Argissolo Vermelho Distrófico típico* (Embrapa, 2013) (a sandy clay loam Acrisol in the FAO classification). Before to sowing of the experiment of the first year they were collected soil samples (0 - 0.2 m deep). Fertilizer recommendations for soybeans was made based on chemical analysis. The nutrient availability ranges are established based on results of researches field, where the yield of the cultures in different soils, and for several years, is related to the levels of nutrients in the soil. It is designed a calibration curve for expectativa of 3 tonne of grain ha⁻¹ (CQFS, 2016). Chemical analysis of the soil indicates that it has the following characteristics: pH (water, 1:1) = 5.3; organic matter (% dry mass) = 1.9; clay (% dry mass) = 23; phosphorus, P-Mehlich (mg dm⁻³) = 17.3; potassium (mg dm⁻³) = 84.0; H + Al (cmol dm⁻³) = 7.9; Al (cmol dm⁻³) = 0.2; cation exchange capacity (pH 7, cmol dm⁻³) = 14.7; base saturation (%) = 47.8. The experimental area has been cultivated with wheat (winter) and soybean (summer) succession for three years. The climate in the region, according to Köppen climate classification, is Cfa (Peel et al., 2007), a humid subtropical climate, with the mean temperature in the warmest month of 24.8 °C and 14.1 °C in the coldest month (Heldwein et al., 2009). The climate data on growing seasons are shown in Figure 1.
**Soil bacterial diversity**

Populations of microorganisms naturally present at the experimental site were determined by sampling the soil and the plants root tissue. The samples were collected and taken to the laboratory. The bacterial diversity was then estimated by the number of gene sequences found. An extensive database was generated due to specificity of the methodology. It was defined that only the bacterial genera studied here would be taken into account, being represented by the number of traits. A DNA sequencer was used (Illumina Misec System), through which 1382 traces of bacterial diversity were determined, of which 1.69x10^3 were traces of *Bradyrhizobium* spp., and none of *Azospirillum brasilense* (data not shown).

**Soil and sowing management**

The pH level of the experimental site was adjusted by the application of agricultural lime 90 days prior to sowing (3 tonne ha^-1^), which was incorporated to the topsoil by disk ing, and subsequently wheat was sown. Forty days prior to soybean sowing, wheat was desiciated with glyphosate (Roundup®, 360 g active ingredient (a.i.) L^-1^ commercial product (c.p.) at a dose of 3 L ha^-1^), providing dry matter of 4 tonne ha^-1^.

The experiments were implemented in no-till system with a fertilizer seeder on November 15, 2013 (crop season 2013-2014) and December 15, 2014 (crop season 2014-2015). The soybean cultivar used was TEC 6299 IPRO (Cooperativa Central Gaucha Ltda. (CCGJ)), characterized by indeterminate growth type, relative maturity group 5.7. Sowing density consisted of 16 seeds per linear meter, spaced at 0.45 m between rows. After seedling emergence was made the pull-off (roughing) to ensure a population of 290,000 plants ha^-1^.

Two hundred kg ha^-1^ of formulated fertilizer without nitrogen, 23% of phosphorus and 30% of potassium (00-23-30), were applied to the sowing furrow.

**Phytosanitary management**

The seeds phytosanitary treatment was performed 12 days prior to sowing, using pyraclostrobin + thiophanate-methyl and fipronil (Standak Top®, 25, 225 and 250 g a.i. L^-1^ at a dose 0,2 L c.p. 100 kg seeds^-1^). About the main pest management: for weed (*Elesine indica* and *ipomoea* sp.) was used glyphosate (Roundup®, 360 g a.i. L^-1^ c.p. at a dose of 1.5 L ha^-1^) applied to V4 [four nodes and third trifoliate leaf fully developed, 35 days after emergence (Fehr et al., 1971); for insects caterpillars (*Spodoptera eridania* and *Helicoverpa* sp.) was used clorantraniliprole (Premio®, 200 g a.i. L^-1^ at a dose of 0,05 L p.c. ha^-1^) applied inV5 (five nodes and four fully developed trifoliate leaves), bugs (*Nezara viridula* and *Euschistus heros*) was used tiametoax + lambda-cialotrina (*Engeo Pleno®, 141 and 106 g a.i. L^-1^ at a dose of 0,2 L c.p. ha^-1^) applied in R4 (full pod formation); for deseasees (*Corynespora cassicola* and *Phakopsora pachyrhizi*) was used trifloxistrobina + prothiconazol (Fox®, 167 and 333 g a.i. L^-1^ at a dose of 0,3 L c.p. ha^-1^) applied in V4 and fluxapirydroxide + piraclostrobinia (*Orkestra®, 150 and 175 g a.i. L^-1^ at a dose of 0,3 L c.p. ha^-1^) applied in R4. All pesticides were applied with costal sprayer electric. Management of the crop followed the technical general guidelines for soybean cultures (Embrapa, 2014).

**Description of treatments and experimental design**

An inoculant containing bacteria of the genus *Bradyrhizobium, B. japonicum* strain CPAC 15 (SEMIA 5079) and *B. diazoefficiens* (Delamutra et al., 2013) strain CPAC 7 (SEMIA 5080) (Total Nitro Full®, Total Biotecnologia, Curitiba, PR, Brazil) was used, with guaranteed 7x10^7 colony-forming units (CFU mL^-1^ of c.p.), at a dosage of 0.002 L kg^-1^ of seeds. Also used as an inoculant containing bacteria of the genus *Azospirillum brasilense*, strains Ab-V5 and Ab-V6 (Azototal®, Total Biotecnologia, Curitiba, PR, Brazil), with guaranteed population of 2x10^8 CFU mL^-1^, at a dosage of 0.002 L kg^-1^ of seeds. The seed osmoproctectant (Protege TS®, Total Biotecnologia, Curitiba, PR, Brazil) contains bacterial signaling molecules named “Nod factor”, a complex of sugars and biopolymers, at the dosage of 0.001 L kg^-1^ of seeds.

Nine distinct treatments of seeds inoculation were carried out: (i) without nitrogen fertilization and without inoculation (Ni); (ii) provision of 100 kg N ha^-1^ after sowing added by 100 kg N ha^-1^ at R1, in the form of urea topdressing, without any kind of inoculation (Ni+N); (iii) inoculation at the time of sowing with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* [I (Brady)]; (iv) inoculation at the time of sowing with *Bradyrhizobium + Osmoprotectant* (BROS); (v) inoculation seven days prior to sowing with *Bradyrhizobium + Osmoprotectant* (BROS); (vi) inoculation 10 days prior to sowing with *Bradyrhizobium + Osmoprotectant* (BROS); (vii) inoculation at the time of sowing with *Bradyrhizobium + Osmoprotectant + A. brasilense* (BROS+AZO); (viii) inoculation seven days prior to sowing with *Bradyrhizobium + Osmoprotectant + A. brasilense* (BROS+AZO), and (ix) inoculation 10 days prior to sowing with *Bradyrhizobium + Osmoprotectant + A. brasilense* (BROS+AZO). For the purpose of recommending the use of inoculants containing diazotrophic bacteria, the Brazilian protocol (Brasil, 2007) was used. Thus, the use of the first three treatments is justified, in order to compare (i) the absence of inoculation; (ii) the culture response if fertilized with N-mineral and (iii) the use of an inoculant already registered and recommended for culture. The seeds inoculated prior to sowing were stored in paper bags in dry place with constant temperature of 25°C and constant relative humidity of 60%.

The experimental design consisted of completely randomized blocks with four replications. The plots consisted of five rows measuring seven meters long and 2.25 meters wide (15.75m^2^).

**Explanatory variables**

The explanatory variables were assessed at the plant growth stage V5 (fifth node and fourth trifoliate leaf fully developed), at the reproductive stage R3 (start of pod formation), and at physiological maturity R8 (full maturity of 95% of pods). Four plants were marked for assessment of nodulation and plants mass at stage V5, and another four plants for the same evaluations at R3. After being collected, the aerial portion of the plant was removed and dried (60°C) to constant weight for measuring the shoot dry mass (SDM, g...
plant \(^3\)). The roots were washed, and the number of nodules per plant was counted (NPP, plant \(^{-1}\)) considering all nodules present on the taproot and secondary roots larger than 2 mm diameter. To estimate the chlorophyll content (A, B and total), ten random measurements were taken in the parcel, at the central trefoil of the last but one trifoliate leaf that was fully developed, from the apex to the base. We used the clorofiLOG \(^\circ\) (CFL 1030, Falker) chlorophyll meter to estimate the Falker chlorophyll index (FCI plant \(^{-1}\)). Five plants were marked for assessment of growth and yield components at R8. The height of insertion of the first pod (HIPF, m) and the total plants height (TPH, m), were measured. The number of pods per plant (NPP, plant \(^{-1}\)) was obtained by counting separating the pods containing one, two and three grains for calculating the number of grains per pod (NGP, pod \(^{-1}\)). It was made manual harvesting of the useful area of the plot (five meters long in the three central rows of the parcel, 6.75 m²), mechanical track and threshing, being corrected based grain moisture to 13%, then, the grains yield (GY, tonne ha \(^{-1}\)) and the mass of thousand grains (MTG, g) were estimated.

**Statistical analyses**

Data were subjected to tests of assumptions of the mathematical model and were not violated (Steel and Torrie, 1997). Later, analysis of variance (F-test) was conducted, and when statistical difference was confirmed, a post hoc test [Scott-Knott (ps0.05)] was applied using the statistical software Sisvar’ (Ferreira, 2011).

**Conclusion**

The use of osmoprotectant for inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* alone (conventional inoculation) or combined with *Azospirillum brasilense* (co-inoculation) seven days prior to sowing provided grain yield similar to the inoculation performed at the time of sowing.
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