Evaluation of economic efficiency from smallholder cocoa investment in Indonesia: A case study in central Sulawesi province with tropical climate
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Abstract

This research aims to assess the financial feasibility of cocoa investment by smallholders in the province of Central Sulawesi Indonesia. Primary data were collected from 282 cocoa farmers in Sigi and Parigi Moutong Regency. Net Present Value (NPV) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR) were used to evaluate the financial feasibility of cocoa farming with the discount rate of 7% per year. The economic parameters were the price of input and output in 2018. The results showed that the NPV value of IDR 39,906,387 and IRR of 17.82 percent. This implied that smallholding of cocoa is financially feasible to be cultivated but with low profitability. It was due to the less intensive orchards cultivation and old age of cocoa plant. So, more intensive cultivation is required using the young plant and rejuvenation of the old cocoa plants. The government needs to promote cocoa cultivation technology that could improve the productivity of smallholder cocoa and the profitability of farming. So, the smallholding of cocoa in the province of central Sulawesi can become sustainable.
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Abbreviations: b_the cumulative number of cash flows up to the $t_{th}$ year; c_the number of cash flows in the $t_{+1}$ year; $\ell$_years of the project; $I$_income; IRR_internal rate of return; $l_{v}$_initial investment; NPV__net present value; PP_payment period; $r$_discount rate; TC_total cost; $t_*$_the last year where the number of net cash flows still cannot close $l_{v}$; TR_total revenue; Y$I$_total net cash inflows in the n$^{th}$ year.

Introduction

Cocoa (Theobroma cacao) is a very important annual plant in Indonesia because it serves as a source of foreign exchange and jobs. Cocoa production depends on the plant life cycle, season, climate, soil fertility, water supply, pests and diseases attack (Mojika et al., 2009; Sabatier et al., 2013; Soh et al., 2013; Adu-Acheampong et al., 2014; Awudzi et al., 2016). Pest and disease attacks, old plant age, lack of resources and improper production mechanisms have resulted in decreased production and quality of cocoa beans in Indonesia (Neilson, 2008; Effendy, 2015; Effendy and Antara, 2015).

Cocoa production in Indonesia has a stagnant or decreasing trend (Effendy et al., 2013; Effendy, 2015; Effendy and Antara, 2015; Effendy, 2018). One of the possibilities that could change the current state is to apply new technologies such as somatic embryogenesis technology and side-grafting (DPDJP, 2009; Effendy et al., 2013; Claudia et al., 2016). The cause of this negative state is the high investment cost on the establishment of the orchards, regardless limitations in of biotechnological methods as well (Sojková and Adamičková, 2011; Patil and Poddar, 2016).

The problem of cocoa bean production has not been resolved completely. This was evidenced by the decrease in cocoa beans production exports, the decrease of the orchards area and the yield per hectare (Effendy, 2015; Effendy and Antara, 2015; Effendy, 2018). Farming companies were forced to follow changes in the international market, so the new investment decision became a solution. Based on indicators such as operating costs, it has been found that labor costs represented 46.90 percent of total variable costs (Effendy, 2015). These costs could be reduced by improving labor productivity and introducing resistant varieties against various diseases and pests. Reducing operating costs would improve cost efficiency so that they would improve product competitiveness (Patil and Poddar, 2016; Effendy, 2018).

Economic efficiency of cocoa farming became the strategic task of farmers. The decrease in cocoa farming production costs caused competition amongst farmers in Indonesia. Therefore, the task of farmers was to create the new orchards with higher production per unit. Improvements in the efficiency of agricultural economy were highly dependent on the obedience of farmers in reducing non-productive expenditures (Sojková and Adamčíková, 2011; Zereyesus and Dalton, 2017). To fulfill all these tasks, it is necessary to analyze systematically all the costs of production, revenue, and income in cocoa farming.
The greatest influence on economic efficiency was the result. The yield of cocoa can directly affect the income earned from the sale. The high cost of production can also affect the yield of Cocoa bean. So, it enabled farmers to choose a relatively complex and varied approach to plan cocoa orchard investment projects with the approach of Net Present Value and Internal Rate of Return (Armsworth et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Svennebring andWikberg, 2013; Bode-Greuel and Nickisch, 2014; Matos et al., 2015; Patil and Poddar, 2016; Zereyesus and Dalton, 2017).

Results and Discussion

Age and productivity of cocoa plants

The relations between age and productivity of cocoa plants are listed in Table 1. Table 1 shows that higher age of cocoa plants can decrease productivity. This was supported by Effendy (2015). According to Yuono (2018) cocoa plants began to produce fruit from 2.5 to 3 years after planting. The optimal productivity was achieved at the age of 7 to 11 years, about 1.8 tonnes of dried cocoa beans per hectare per year. Table 1 also shows that 38% of cocoa plants were older than 18 years. This indicates that the productivity of cocoa plants started to decrease. Decreasing productivity could reduce cocoa farming income so that it would affect the level of profitability (Fig 1). Fig 1 also shows that older cocoa plant, produce less profitability. This indicates that the old cocoa orchards needed to be rejuvenated so that the level of profitability remains high. Rejuvenation of old cocoa plants was strongly supported by the government through side-grafting technology (Effendy et al., 2013).

Cultivation costs and profitability of cocoa farming

The cultivation costs in this research were identical to variable costs or production costs. The relations between cultivation costs and cocoa farming profitability are shown in Fig 2. Fig 2 shows that the use of cultivation costs in the smallholder cocoa farming is still low so that there is a tendency to increase profitability. This happened because of the cultivation costs including the cost of fertilizers, pesticides, and labor (production inputs). The use of fertilizer and labor could increase the production and quality of cocoa (Effendy, 2015; Effendy and Antara, 2015; Effendy, 2018).

Relations of the total costs, revenue, and income of cocoa farming

Figure 3 shows the total costs, revenue, and income of cocoa farming in the research area. The factors vary based on plant age. In general, the operating cost of cocoa farming was rather constant, but it was lower at the age of 29 years old plantations. The income of cocoa farming was also variable. It decreased with the increased age of the plant (Effendy, 2015). The revenue of cocoa farming was also decreased. The revenue of cocoa farming decreased due to the reduction in production (Table 1). Table 1 shows that the decrease in production is strongly related to cocoa plant age. The older the cocoa plant, the lower the farming yield (Effendy, 2015). The development of income and cost, as well as investment costs is reflected in the cash flows (Figure 4). The benefits of the cocoa orchards were achieved in the fourth year.

On average, the benefits of the cocoa orchards are expected from years 4 to 15. In the 21st year, the benefits of the orchards are predicted to decrease. This is related with decreased yields of the orchards (Sojková and Adamičková, 2011; Patil and Poddar, 2016).

Benefits of cocoa orchards

The benefits of the cocoa orchards were assessed by criteria of net present value (NPV), internal rate of return (IRR), and payment period (PP). PP was an additional criterion because it does not consider the time-value of money (Sojková and Adamičková, 2011). Net present value (NPV), internal rate of return (IRR), and Payment period (PP) are shown in Table 2. Table 2 shows a positive NPV, where $\text{IRR} = 17.82\text{ percent} > \text{interest credit of smallholder (ICS) = 7 percent (Febrianto, 2018)}$, and $\text{PP} = 8\text{ years}$. This implies that the project investment of cocoa farming is considered financially feasible, but it has low-profitability level (Sojková and Adamičková, 2011). If the discount rate were $> 7\text{ percent}$, NPV would decrease. In that case, cocoa farming investment would become not ineffective because of the lower profitability level. Under unfavorable low-yield conditions, operating costs were increased because the plants were old and pests could attack the plants easily (Neilson, 2008; Effendy et al., 2013; Effendy and Antara, 2015). In that case, NPV was dropped below the zero (Figure 5).

Figure 5 shows that NPV development was dependent on the change of discount rate (Matos et al., 2015; Patil and Poddar, 2016). The development of NPV was not linear. It described the sensitivity of NPV in the certain alternative. The NPV was affected by the discount rate (Bode-Greuel and Nickisch, 2014). Its increase affected the investment of the cocoa farming project. Based on the criteria of NPV and IRR on cocoa farming investment in our research area, the cocoa cultivation was economically less efficient, so it is necessary to rejuvenate the old cocoa plantations and more intensive cultivation of younger plants.

Materials and Methods

Research areas and plant material

The Sigi and Parigi Moutong Regency regions were randomly selected as sample regions for this research. Berdikari and Rahmat Village represented Sigi Regency, Sidole and Tanamapedagi Village represented Parigi Moutong Regency. The four selected villages are cocoa producing villages in Central Sulawesi Province. The number of samples used in this research was 282 cocoa farming. Characteristics of cocoa farming are listed in Table 3. Table 3 shows the average farmers, cultivating cocoa, less than 2 ha. This indicates that cocoa farming was managed on a small scale. The average of cocoa plants age was 18 years
Table 1. Relations between age and productivity of cocoa plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Plants age (Year)</th>
<th>Number of household</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
<th>Cocoa productivity (tonnes.ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1,072.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>1,148.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>877.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>917.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>970.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>947.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>922.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>843.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>736.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>664.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9.93</td>
<td>662.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10.64</td>
<td>725.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>685.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>722.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>660.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>617.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>576.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>420.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>414.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>428.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>327.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>367.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>287.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>282</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>695.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1. Relations between the cocoa plants age and profitability.

Table 2. Value of NPV, IRR, and PP smallholder cocoa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>NPV (IDR)</th>
<th>IRR (%)</th>
<th>PP (Year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>39,906,387</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: assuming investment from the source itself, NPV = net present value, IRR = internal rate of return, PP = payment period.
Figure 2. The relations between cultivation costs and the profitability of cocoa farming. Note: IDR 13,829 = 1 USD as on April 2018.

Table 3. Characteristics of cocoa farming.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>ha</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant age</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost (TC)</td>
<td>IDR/ha</td>
<td>10,404,084.93</td>
<td>2,437,501.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revenue (TR)</td>
<td>IDR/ha</td>
<td>22,577,445.19</td>
<td>7,048,685.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (I)</td>
<td>IDR/ha</td>
<td>12,173,360.26</td>
<td>6,560,890.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment (Iv)</td>
<td>IDR/ha</td>
<td>101,744,504.18</td>
<td>649,221.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profitability</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>11.99</td>
<td>6.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: IDR 13,829 = 1 USD as on April 2018.

Figure 3. The development of costs, revenue, and income of cocoa farming. Note: IDR 13,829 = 1 USD as on April 2018.
which indicated that cocoa production has begun to decrease (Effendy, 2015; Yuono, 2018). The average profitability of cocoa farming was 11.99%. This showed that farmers were rather slow in returning investment.

Financial and economic methods

Net Present Value (NPV), Internal Rate of Return (IRR), Payback Period (PP), and Profitability Ratio were used to evaluate the economic efficiency of cocoa farming in Central Sulawesi Province, Indonesia. NPV is the comparison between net cash PV with investment PV during investment age, calculated by the equation:

\[
NPV = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i (1+r)^{-i} - I_V
\]  

(1)

Where,

\( Y_i \) = Total net cash inflows in the \( n \)th year

\( r \) = discount rate

\( I_V \) = Initial investment

i = years of the project: 1, 2, … n.

If the NPV is a positive value, then it is worth it to continue the project.

IRR is an indicator of the efficiency level from an investment. IRR is calculated by the equation:

\[
0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i (1 + IRR)^{-i} - I_V
\]

(2)

If IRR > r then work is considered to be feasible.

The PP is a necessary period to be able to close again investment expenditures by using net cash flows. PP is calculated by the equation:

\[
PP = \left(t_i + \frac{I_V - b}{c}\right)1 \text{ Year}
\]

(3)

\( t_i \) = The last year where the number of net cash flows still cannot close \( I_V \).

\( b \) = The cumulative number of cash flows up to the \( t_i \) year

\( c \) = The number of cash flows in the \( t_{i+1} \) year
Profitability Ratio is a ratio to determine the ability of a company to obtain income from revenue related to sales, assets, and equity based on certain measurement bases. The measurement of profitability ratio in this research used a return on equity ratio (ROE). ROE is a profitability ratio to assess the company’s ability to generate incomes from the investment of the company’s shareholders expressed in percentages (Equation 4).

\[
\text{ROE} = \frac{\text{Income after tax}}{\text{Equity of shareholders}}
\]

Other output information used net cash flows from all investment planning periods of cocoa farming. The economic parameters of the system were based on the price of input and output in 2018.

**Conclusion**

The results showed that the operating cost of cocoa farming was rather constant, which implies that the input is not adapted with the needs of cocoa plants. It was possible that cocoa farmers were underfunded, so that financial support with lower interest rates is required for sustainability of cocoa farming in the research area. Based on the criteria of NPV and IRR on cocoa farming investment in the research area, the cocoa cultivation is financially feasible, but low profitablity level is expected amply due to the old age of plantations. Therefore, it is necessary to rejuvenate the old cocoa plantations and perform more intensive maintenance of the young plants. The government can promote cocoa cultivation technology that improves the productivity of the smallholder cocoa so it could improve the profitability of farming.
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