Australian Journal of Crop Science

AJCS 14(03):391-399 (2020) doi: 10.21475/ajcs.20.14.03.p1520 ISSN:1835-2707

REML/BLUP applied to characterize important agronomic traits in segregating generations of bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.)

Ivan Ricardo Carvalho¹, José Antonio Gonzalez da Silva¹, Luiz Leonardo Ferreira², Vinícius Jardel Szareski³, Gustavo Henrique Demari³, Francine Lautenchleger⁴, Géri Eduardo Meneghello³, Ester Mafalda Matter¹, Devid Araujo Magano¹, Velci Queiróz de Souza⁵

¹Universidade Regional do Noroeste do Estado do Rio Grande do Sul, Ijuí, RS, Brasil

²Centro Universitário de Mineiros, Mineiros, GO, Brasil

³Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Capão do Leão, RS, Brasil

⁴Universidade Estadual do Centro Oeste (Unicentro), Guarapuava, PR, Brasil

⁵Universidade Federal do Pampa, São Gabriel, RS, Brasil

*Corresponding authors: carvalho.irc@gmail.com

Abstract

The objective of this work was to estimate and predict the genetic gains through the REML/BLUP methodology for the characters of agronomic interest of common black beanas at segregating generations. The experiments were conducted in 2015, 2016 and 2017 in experimental design consisted of augmented blocks in four replicates. Superior genetic variability was identified for the first pod insertion height and plant height in the F_4 segregating generation. For the number of pods, seeds and seed mass per plant, genetic contribution superior to the phenotype is expressed in F_3 segregating generation. The prediction of genetic value defines that the number of pods, seeds and seed mass per plant can be potentiated in F_2 segregating generation by selection of population 26, selection of F_3 families 64 and 49, F_4 families 33 and 19. Through the estimations and genetic predictions of the characters measured in the segregating generations F_2 , F_3 and F_4 it is possible to define six multivariate genetic profiles. These strategies can be used in quantitative genetic studies aimed at increasing the production of common black bean seeds.

Keywords: Phaseolus vulgaris L.breeding, produticon seeds, yield compounds.

Abbreviations: REML_restricted maximum likelihood; BLUP_Best linear unbiased prediction;LRT_Deviance analysis; FPI_first pod insertion height; PH_ plant height; SMP_seed mass per plant; NPP_number of pods per plant; NSP_number of seeds per plant.

Introduction

The common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) is one of the most consumed leguminous in the world, and its importance is associated with providing essential nutrients, proteins and minerals (Schmutz et al., 2014). There is an average national production of 3.2 million tonnes, and Brazil is the largest producer and consumer of common bean, however, yield in the country (1,050 kg ha⁻¹) is still considered low (Conab, 2018). Although the crop has attracted the interest of large producers that can reach yields around 3,000 kg ha⁻¹. The average low yield of the crop may be due to the predominance of small producers with access to few technological resources (Moura and Brito, 2015).

At the present time, bean breeding programs seek to obtain productive genotypes, upright growth, good commercial appearance of the beans and tolerance to the main diseases that affect the crop (Miklaset al., 2006; Silva et al., 2009; Meloet al., 2010; Rocha et al., 2012). Characteristics such as the first pod insertion height, plant height, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per plant, yield and plant architecture are controlled by the joint expression of several genes that undergo large environmental effects (Moreto et al., 2007; Szareski et al., 2015).

The selection of the best parents, populations and segregating families allow the discarding of genotypes with low genetic potential, this can increase the efficiency of the human and financial resources involved in the selection activity (Rocha et al., 2013, Carvalho et al., 2016). In order to perform selection along the segregating generations, it is necessary to use sophisticated methodologies capable of capitalizing on genetic and environmental effects, as well as estimating and predicting the ranking of the best genotypes (Szareski et al., 2017, Carvalho et al., 2017).

The REML/BLUP methodology allows more accurate and unbiased prediction of the genotypic values of the evaluated genotypes, provides information inherent to individuals within populations and derived families that are selected free of the effects of environment (Resende, 2007; Resende et al., 2015a). In order to obtain the best linear unbiased prediction (BLUP) it is necessary to provide the variance components and genetic parameters, but in some practical situations there is no previous knowledge of such components, and it is necessary to estimate them. The application of restricted maximum likelihood (REML) is defined as the ideal procedure to estimate the components and fractionate the total variation of a given character. In this context, the objective of this work was to estimate and predict the genetic gains through the REML/BLUP methodology for the characters of agronomic interest of common black bean as a function of the segregating generations.

Results and discussion

Variance components and genetic parameters

Deviance analysis (LRT) revealed a 5% probability by the chisquare test (X^2) significance for the characters first pod insertion height (FPI), plant height (PH), seed mass per plant (SMP), number of pods per plant (NPP) and number of seeds per plant (NSP) for the F₂, F₃ and F₄ segregating generations (Table 1).

The phenotypic expression of the first pod insertion height (FPI) was dependent not only on the total genetic effects, but on the segregating generation in question. Therefore, it was identified that this character is controlled by 36.6% (F₂), 32.4% (F_3) and 62.8% (F_4) of additive and non-additive genetic effects, and that the breeding generations advance together with the targeted selections, phenotypic manifestations are primarily controlled by genetic effects. For this character the advance of the generations allowed that the broad sense heritability (H²) was increased, but it is possible to verify that the first pod insertion height in generation F_3 was affected by 68.0% of effects attributed to the edaphoclimatic conditions of the environment. When analyzing the general mean of the character, it was possible to verify that there was an increase of 1.83 cm at first pod insertion height $(F_2 - F_4)$ due to the selection cycles directed to the plants and higher families.

The plant height (PH) revealed that, in the F₂ generation, its phenotypic expression was controlled by 48.6% due to the genetic effects, the generations advance allowed the increase of the genetic contribution to the phenotype (F_3 : 56.8% and F₄: 72.1%), under these conditions, it is defined that the directed selections allowed (F_2 - F_4) a 23.5% increase in the genetic contribution to the phenotype. In relation to the broad sense heritability (H²) the parameter was increased over the generations, however, in F₄ families it was verified that the effects of environment influence in 27% the plant height. Accuracy is increased over the generations being classified as high in F₄. In relation to the increase of the average of this character, it is evident that for 23.5% increase of the genetic fraction to the phenotype it is possible to obtain plants 2.5 cm higher, it is established that for each 1 cm of modifications in the phenotype, 9.4% of modifications are necessary in the total genotypic fraction.

The seed mass per plant (SMP) showed superiority of the contribution of the genetic fraction to the phenotype in the F_2 generation (58.5%), these magnitudes decreased 32.8% between the F_2 and F_4 generations, this is due to the directed selections have provided the controlled restriction

of the genetic variability for this character in F_3 and F_4 , since the families in these generations were based on the premise of the maximum homogeneity within the family and between families the selection of those that were superior was prioritized.

The number of pods per plant (NPP) presented the maximum contribution of the genetic variation to the phenotype in generation F_3 (51.5%), between the generations F_2 (populations) and F_4 (families), the selections targeting higher genotypes allowed the increase of 10.7% of the determining genetic fraction to the number of pods per plant, and it is proven in generation F_2 that this character was influenced by 85% due to the effects of the environment.

The number of seeds per plant (NSP) revealed the maximum genetic variability in the F_3 generation, where 70.8% of the magnitude of seeds produced per plant were determined by the genetic constitution of the segregating family, however, the selection strategies were efficient throughout the generations of F_2 to F_4 , since they provided a 17.4% increase in the genetic contribution to the number of seeds. Considering the parameter of broad sense heritability, it was possible to verify that in the advanced generations (F_4) the number of seeds per plant is influenced by 74.4% due to the environment, however, this response can not be visualized through the general average of this character, in this way, the selections should be based not only on the average value but on the genetic parameters.

Best linear unbiased prediction (BLUP)

This methodology is supported by variance components and genetic parameters (REML) where the estimates obtained are used to predict the genetic value (BLUP), which will later be used to rank the best genotypes through their genetic value with no effect of the environment, this allows the selection of the best genotypes to be reliable and accurate with smaller biases attributed to the non-controllable distortions resulting from the environment. The predictions were based on the first pod insertion height (FPI), plant height (PH), number of pods per plant (NPP), number of seeds per plant (NSP) and seed mass per plant (SMP), and the 33 segregating F2 populations were ranked and related according to the performance of the BRS Esplendor (BE), BRS Supremo (BS) and IPR Tiziu (IT) control genotypes.

The first pod insertion height (FPI) showed that 42% of the segregating F_2 populations were higher (Table 2) than the commercial ones, which were 3, 4, 24, 15, 23, 31, 21, 27, 26, 20, 2, 33, 32 and 18. Higher predicted genetic gains can be obtained by selecting population 3 that will result in a genetic increment of 9.18% for first pod insertion height, resulting in increases of 6.01 cm and plants in the subsequent generation with 15.95 cm.

Plant height (PH) revealed that 45.5% of the segregating F_2 populations were higher than the commercial controls, comprising population 9, 31, 3, 4, 26, 33, 18, 23, 24, 30, 5, 21, 2, 22 and 10. Higher genetic gains can be obtained by selection directed at population 9 that will result in a genetic increase of 6.88%, this selection increases by 23.39 cm the magnitude of the character and in the next generation families from this population would express 46.18 cm in its phenotype.

The number of pods per plant (NPP) defined that 48.5% of the F₂ populations evaluated were higher than commercial controls, which were 26, 9, 10, 18, 29, 4, 5, 21, 3, 22, 7, 23, 12, 17, 30 and 31. Superiority in genetic gains can be obtained by selecting the population 29 that allows increment of 7.90%, that is, due to the targeted selection it will be possible to increase in 2.4 pods per plant and in the next generation the plants will show on average 10.63 pods. As for the number of seeds per plant (NSP), it was found that 42.4% of the F₂ populations evaluated were superior to commercial controls, this characteristic follows a tendency similar to the number of pods per plant, where greater genetic gains are obtained by selecting the population 26 which results in genetic increases of 9.66% with additions of 11.4 seeds per plant and in the next generation it will be possible to obtain plants with 42.9 seeds.

The seed mass per plant (SMP) showed that 36.4% of the F_2 populations were superior to the commercial ones, with the potential to identify plants and later families that will later generate a more productive genotype, under these conditions, it was identified that the number of pods per plant, number of seeds per plant and seed mass were higher in the population 26 and this was defined as high potential, being higher for the components of the seed yield with genetic gains of 8.74% and increase of 7.05 g of seeds per plant.

The F_3 generation consisted of 63 families of common black bean from the segregating F_2 populations (Table 3 and 4). These families were selected according to the criterion of flowering homogeneity at plant level, upright growth and seed color. In this context, genetic estimates and predictions were based on standard segregation, assuming that in this generation 25% of the individuals belonging to his family present in heterozygosis, being possible that for some characters of quantitative inheritance there are effects of non-additive genetic deviations.

The first pod insertion height (FPI) showed that 47.8% of the segregating F₃ families were higher than the commercial controls (Table 3). These were 34, 10, 24, 3, 36, 37, 38, 67, 23, 55, 35, 33, 4, 9, 49, 65, 56, 21, 28, 5, 7, 20, 50, 11, 48, 58, 62, 63, 64, 29, 2, 6 and 22. However, higher genetic gains can be obtained by selecting family 34 with increases of 3.65% corresponding to 5.3 cm, for the next generation the plants would obtain first pod insertion height of 16.01 cm, this targeted selection could lead to decreases to the productive potential of seeds, because the first pod insertion height around 10 cm is prioritized, so that it is possible to express the maximum number of flowers, pods and branches in the stem of the bean, therefore, agronomically the selection for this character should be directed to families 2, 6 and 22 as these revealed phenotype up to 11 cm first pod insertion height.

Plant height (PH) followed similar trend for the first pod insertion height relative to the higher F_3 family; however, the selection should be directed to families 66, 23, 64, 37 and 49 which express genetic increase of 13 to 15 cm in height due to targeted selection, being possible to obtain plants greater than 35 cm in the subsequent crop. The number of pods per plant (NPP) showed that 36.2% of the segregating F_3 families were higher than the commercial controls (Table 4), which were 64, 49, 47, 8, 50, 51, 36, 14, 2, 26, 62, 9, 63, 43, 21, 25, 37, 42, 40, 45, 52, 66, 24, 35 and 11. The highest genetic gains are obtained by selection directed to the family 64 where 7.56% of the gains are obtained, this will result in an increase of 13.8 pods per plant and in the next crop it will be possible to obtain plants with 23.06 pods.

The number of seeds per plant (NSP) revealed that 29% of the F_3 families were superior to the commercial controls, being these, 64, 49, 51, 47, 8, 50, 36, 62, 2, 9, 48, 63, 21, 37, 10, 26, 52, 3, 35 and 1. This characteristic follows a tendency similar to the number of pods per plant, where the highest genetic gains were obtained by family 64 with 6.20%, since the directed selection could increase in 95.69 seeds per plant and for new crops and the plants could express 126.49 seeds.

Seed mass per plant (SMP) revealed that 33% of the segregating F_3 families were superior to commercial controls, which express the potential to generate genotypes with high seed yield potential, being 49, 64, 8, 36, 51, 47, 50, 26, 27, 25, 30, 37, 9, 40, 62, 10, 5, 43, 21, 63, 42, 2 and 55. High gains for this character can be obtained by targeting families 64 and 49 that show genetic increments higher than 6.5% and correspond to an increase of 10 grams of seeds per plant, with the selection of these families the plants could express more than 20 grams of seeds on average.

In relation to the F_4 segregating generation, 41 families were evaluated, where a theoretical level of 12.5% of heterozygous individuals within the family was considered. The families that make up this generation were selected according to the criteria of flowering homogeneity at plant level, upright growth, seed color, number and seed mass per plant (Table 5). As for the first pod insertion height (FPI), it was verified that 48.8% of the tested families were superior to the commercial genotypes assumed as controls, in this context, even though there were genetic gains of 7.13% for this character through the selection of the best family, however, this should approximate the agronomic ideotype that the controls express, so the selection should be directed to families 33, 36 and 20 that evidenced first pod insertion height from 16 to 17 cm.

Plant height (PH) showed that 34.1% of the F_3 families tested were superior to the commercial genotypes, being these, 32, 16, 19, 20, 9, 3, 8, 2, 21, 1, 12, 13, 22 and 17. The families 32 and 16 expressed a genetic gain greater than 5.5% corresponding to an increase of 35.6 cm and plants that meet the agronomic standard with a height of around 60 cm. The number of pods per plant (NPP) showed that 41.5% of the families were superior to commercial controls, being these, 33, 19, 13, 3, 21, 6, 4, 31, 22, 1, 26, 40, 35, 17, 5, 34 and 38. Higher genetic gains can be obtained by selecting families 33 and 19 which result in an increase of 5% and corresponds to the addition of 3 pods per plant.

For the number of seeds per plant (NSP), it was verified, 48.8% of the F_3 families were superior to the commercial genotypes, being these 19, 1, 13, 3, 21, 33, 2, 22, 9, 31, 8, 17, 6, 16, 34, 35, 39, 4, 40 and 26. Higher gains were obtained through family 19 that results in a genetic increment of 7.64%, which represents an increase of 21 seeds per plant and families with 52.9 seeds per plant on average. The seed mass per plant (SMP) was found in 53.7% of the F3 families superior to the commercial genotypes, therefore, there are tendencies and conditions to obtain highly productive genotypes through the families 6, 19, 31, 33, 34, 15, 40, 21, 1, 4, 22, 3, 26, 17, 13, 35, 41, 18, 38, 39, 29 and 25. Superior genetic gains for seed yield can be obtained by directing selection to families 6, 19 and 31 that showed gains higher

| | | Segregatinggenerations | |
|----------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|
| | F ₂ | F ₃ | F ₄ |
| | FPI (cr | m) | |
| Deviance (LRT) | * | * | * |
| V _P | 18.35 | 23.17 | 36.20 |
| V _G | 6.73 | 7.51 | 22.74 |
| H ² | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.62 |
| C ² | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Ac | 0.60 | 0.56 | 0.79 |
| Mean | 9.94 | 10.62 | 11.77 |
| | PH (cr | n) | |
| Deviance (LRT) | * | * | * |
| VP | 323.94 | 103.51 | 428.63 |
| VG | 157.57 | 58.87 | 309.45 |
| H ² | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.72 |
| C ² | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| Ac | 0.69 | 0.75 | 0.84 |
| Mean | 22.78 | 21.18 | 25.10 |
| | SMP (| (g) | |
| Deviance (LRT) | * | * | * |
| V _P | 13.54 | 12.57 | 13.57 |
| Ve | 7.93 | 6.68 | 3.48 |
| H ² | 0.58 | 0.53 | 0.25 |
| C^2 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| Ăc. | 0.76 | 0.53 | 0.50 |
| Mean | 11.28 | 10.33 | 11.32 |
| | NPP (ur | nits) | |
| Deviance (LBT) | * | * | * |
| V _p | 16.20 | 18 03 | 16 23 |
| V _c | 2.46 | 9.30 | 4.20 |
| H ² | 0.15 | 0.51 | 0.25 |
| C^2 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| ے م | 0.39 | 0.02 | 0.50 |
| Mean | 8.17 | 9.20 | 8.43 |
| mean | NSP (ur | nits) | 0.10 |
| Deviance (LRT) | * | * | * |
| V _b | 327 50 | 499 66 | 294 66 |
| Ve | 40.52 | 353.90 | 78.85 |
| H ² | 0.12 | 0.70 | 0.26 |
| C ² | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
| Č Ac | 0.35 | 0.84 | 0 51 |
| Moon | 21 / 9 | 20.79 | 21 05 |

Table 1. Estimation of variance components and genetic parameters (RELM) for the first pod insertion height (FPI), plant height (PH), total seed mass per plant (SMP), number of pods per plant (NPP), number of seeds per plant (NSP), for the segregating generations F2, F3 and F4 of common black bean.

* Deviance (LRT) significant at 5% of probability by x² test; ¹⁶Deviance (LRT) not significant at 5% of probability by x² test; V_P: phenotypic variance, V_G: genetic variance; H²: broad sense heritability; C²: coefficient of determination of plot effects; A_c: accuracy; Mean: overall mean of the experiment.



Fig 1. Broad sense heritability (H²) estimated for the first pod insertion height (FPI), plant height (PH), number of pods per plant (NPP), number of seeds per plant (NSP), total seed mass per plant (SMP).

Table 2. Predictions for the best linear unbiased prediction (BLUP) referring to the first pod insertion height (FPI), plant height (PH), number of pods per plant (NPP), number of seeds per plant (NSP), total seed mass per plant (SMP), estimated for 33 F₂ segregating populations of common black bean.

| | FPI | | P | H | NF | PP | NS | P | SMP | |
|----|-----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|-----|------|
| R* | Р | G | Р | G | Р | G | Р | G | Р | G |
| 1 | 3 | 9.18 | 9 | 6.88 | 26 | 7.90 | 26 | 9.66 | 26 | 8.74 |
| 2 | 4 | 7.23 | 31 | 6.46 | 9 | 7.01 | 9 | 8.16 | 7 | 8.16 |
| 3 | 24 | 6.51 | 3 | 6.14 | 10 | 6.6 | 4 | 6.77 | 10 | 7.90 |
| 4 | 15 | 5.87 | 4 | 5.87 | 18 | 6.34 | 23 | 5.86 | 9 | 6.83 |
| 5 | 23 | 5.43 | 26 | 5.56 | 29 | 5.84 | 18 | 5.3 | 30 | 6.11 |
| 6 | 31 | 5.04 | 33 | 5.17 | 4 | 5.39 | 22 | 4.88 | 21 | 5.61 |
| 7 | 21 | 4.67 | 18 | 4.88 | 5 | 4.91 | 5 | 4.57 | 18 | 5.16 |
| 8 | 27 | 4.35 | 23 | 4.65 | 21 | 4.53 | 3 | 4.33 | 20 | 4.67 |
| 9 | 26 | 4.05 | 24 | 4.47 | 3 | 4.19 | 30 | 4.08 | 23 | 4.23 |
| 10 | 20 | 3.80 | 30 | 4.24 | 22 | 3.89 | 10 | 3.87 | 5 | 3.85 |
| 11 | 2 | 3.58 | 5 | 4.04 | 7 | 3.63 | 21 | 3.65 | 19 | 3.53 |
| 12 | 33 | 3.39 | 21 | 3.76 | 23 | 3.40 | 33 | 3.39 | 29 | 3.24 |
| 13 | 32 | 3.18 | 2 | 3.51 | 12 | 3.16 | 19 | 3.14 | BE | 2.99 |
| 14 | 18 | 2.96 | 22 | 3.3 | 17 | 2.94 | 7 | 2.93 | IT | 2.78 |
| 15 | BE | 2.76 | 10 | 3.08 | 30 | 2.76 | IT | 2.73 | BS | 2.59 |
| 16 | BS | 2.59 | BS | 2.89 | 31 | 2.60 | BE | 2.56 | 33 | 2.42 |
| 17 | BE | 2.43 | IT | 2.72 | BS | 2.44 | BS | 2.41 | 3 | 2.26 |
| 18 | 30 | 2.29 | BE | 2.57 | BE | 2.31 | 29 | 2.27 | 4 | 2.10 |
| 19 | 25 | 2.16 | 17 | 2.40 | IT | 2.19 | 20 | 2.15 | 25 | 1.95 |
| 20 | 17 | 2.03 | 32 | 2.23 | 19 | 2.07 | 2 | 2.02 | 27 | 1.80 |
| 21 | 16 | 1.91 | 27 | 2.06 | 33 | 1.96 | 31 | 1.89 | 14 | 1.66 |
| 22 | 13 | 1.80 | 12 | 1.89 | 28 | 1.85 | 27 | 1.76 | 15 | 1.52 |
| 23 | 29 | 1.69 | 29 | 1.72 | 20 | 1.74 | 24 | 1.65 | 2 | 1.40 |
| 24 | 8 | 1.58 | 25 | 1.55 | 24 | 1.6 | 28 | 1.54 | 28 | 1.28 |
| 25 | 14 | 1.48 | 8 | 1.40 | 8 | 1.47 | 12 | 1.43 | 8 | 1.17 |
| 26 | 1 | 1.35 | 7 | 1.24 | 14 | 1.33 | 32 | 1.29 | 16 | 1.07 |
| 27 | 9 | 1.23 | 20 | 1.10 | 2 | 1.2 | 14 | 1.15 | 11 | 0.97 |
| 28 | 19 | 1.11 | 28 | 0.96 | 27 | 1.07 | 17 | 1.02 | 13 | 0.87 |
| 29 | 6 | 0.99 | 19 | 0.83 | 1 | 0.93 | 8 | 0.89 | 24 | 0.76 |
| 30 | 10 | 0.87 | 16 | 0.70 | 25 | 0.79 | 1 | 0.76 | 32 | 0.65 |
| 31 | 12 | 0.75 | 14 | 0.58 | 32 | 0.66 | 25 | 0.63 | 17 | 0.55 |
| 32 | 7 | 0.63 | 15 | 0.46 | 16 | 0.53 | 13 | 0.50 | 12 | 0.45 |
| 33 | 5 | 0.50 | 6 | 0.35 | 11 | 0.39 | 16 | 0.37 | 6 | 0.35 |
| 34 | 28 | 0.36 | 13 | 0.23 | 13 | 0.26 | 11 | 0.25 | 22 | 0.25 |
| 35 | 22 | 0.22 | 1 | 0.11 | 6 | 0.13 | 15 | 0.13 | 31 | 0.14 |
| 36 | 11 | 0.00 | 11 | 0.00 | 15 | 0.00 | 6 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.00 |

* R: ranking; P: F2 segregating population; G: predicted genetic gain; BE: BRS Splendor control; BS: BRS Supreme control; IT: IPR Tiziu control



Fig 2. Dendrogram referring to the multivariate profile of the broad-sense heritabilities (H^2) of the contrasting characters of the segregating generations F_2 , F_3 and F_4 of common black bean. First pod insertion height (FPI), plant height (PH), number of pods per plant (NPP), number of seeds per plant (NSP), total seed mass per plant (SMP).

| | | FPI | <u> </u> | РН | _ | | FPI | - | РН | | | | -PI | _ | | PH |
|----|----|------|----------|------|----|----|------|---|----|------|--------|----|------|---|----|------|
| R* | F | G | F | G | R | F | G | | F | G | R | F | G | | F | G |
| 1 | 34 | 3.65 | 66 | 3.48 | 25 | 48 | 1.67 | - | 2 | 1.79 | 49 | 60 | 0.76 | - | 46 | 0.74 |
| 2 | 10 | 3.56 | 23 | 3.38 | 26 | 58 | 1.62 | | 63 | 1.74 | 50 | 54 | 0.72 | | 44 | 0.70 |
| 3 | 24 | 3.46 | 64 | 3.32 | 27 | 62 | 1.57 | | 7 | 1.70 | 51 | 27 | 0.69 | | 40 | 0.67 |
| 4 | 3 | 3.41 | 37 | 3.18 | 28 | 63 | 1.53 | | 48 | 1.65 | 52 | 30 | 0.65 | | 41 | 0.63 |
| 5 | 36 | 3.25 | 49 | 3.06 | 29 | 64 | 1.48 | | 6 | 1.6 | 53 | 45 | 0.62 | | 17 | 0.60 |
| 6 | 37 | 3.09 | 67 | 2.96 | 30 | 29 | 1.44 | | 34 | 1.56 | 54 | 25 | 0.59 | | 26 | 0.56 |
| 7 | 38 | 2.98 | 51 | 2.89 | 31 | 2 | 1.41 | | 52 | 1.51 | 55 | 32 | 0.56 | | 29 | 0.53 |
| 8 | 67 | 2.88 | 3 | 2.81 | 32 | 6 | 1.37 | | 43 | 1.47 | 56 | 52 | 0.53 | | 59 | 0.50 |
| 9 | 23 | 2.78 | 62 | 2.73 | 33 | 22 | 1.33 | | IT | 1.42 | 57 | 40 | 0.49 | | 60 | 0.47 |
| 10 | 55 | 2.69 | 47 | 2.66 | 34 | IT | 1.30 | | BS | 1.38 | 58 | 44 | 0.46 | | 56 | 0.44 |
| 11 | 35 | 2.60 | 10 | 2.59 | 35 | BE | 1.26 | | BE | 1.34 | 59 | 42 | 0.43 | | 31 | 0.40 |
| 12 | 33 | 2.52 | 8 | 2.50 | 36 | BS | 1.22 | | 55 | 1.30 | 60 | 15 | 0.40 | | 58 | 0.37 |
| 13 | 4 | 2.45 | 25 | 2.42 | 37 | 66 | 1.19 | | 28 | 1.25 | 61 | 39 | 0.37 | | 18 | 0.34 |
| 14 | 9 | 2.38 | 65 | 2.35 | 38 | 31 | 1.15 | | 33 | 1.21 | 62 | 41 | 0.34 | | 30 | 0.31 |
| 15 | 49 | 2.32 | 50 | 2.28 | 39 | 19 | 1.11 | | 68 | 1.16 | 63 | 8 | 0.31 | | 57 | 0.28 |
| 16 | 65 | 2.24 | 35 | 2.22 | 40 | 57 | 1.08 | | 19 | 1.11 | 64 | 17 | 0.28 | | 15 | 0.24 |
| 17 | 56 | 2.16 | 38 | 2.17 | 41 | 61 | 1.04 | | 11 | 1.07 | 65 | 53 | 0.25 | | 61 | 0.21 |
| 18 | 21 | 2.08 | 9 | 2.12 | 42 | 68 | 1.01 | | 42 | 1.02 | 66 | 18 | 0.22 | | 54 | 0.18 |
| 19 | 28 | 2.02 | 24 | 2.07 | 43 | 43 | 0.98 | | 36 | 0.98 | 67 | 59 | 0.19 | | 39 | 0.15 |
| 20 | 5 | 1.95 | 5 | 2.02 | 44 | 46 | 0.94 | | 20 | 0.94 | 68 | 12 | 0.15 | | 32 | 0.12 |
| 21 | 7 | 1.89 | 4 | 1.97 | 45 | 1 | 0.90 | | 14 | 0.89 | 69 | 16 | 0.12 | | 53 | 0.09 |
| 22 | 20 | 1.83 | 22 | 1.93 | 46 | 47 | 0.86 | | 27 | 0.85 | 70 | 13 | 0.08 | | 16 | 0.06 |
| 23 | 50 | 1.77 | 1 | 1.88 | 47 | 51 | 0.83 | | 45 | 0.82 | 71 | 14 | 0.04 | | 12 | 0.03 |
| 24 | 11 | 1.72 | 21 | 1.84 | 48 | 26 | 0.79 | | 69 | 0.78 | 72 | 69 | 0.00 | | 13 | 0.00 |

Table 3. Predictions for the best linear unbiased prediction (BLUP) regarding the first pod insertion height (FPI), estimated plant height (PH) for 69 F_3 segregating families of common black bean.

* R: ranking; P: F₃ segregating population; G: predicted genetic gain; BE: BRS Splendor control; BS: BRS Supreme control; IT: IPR Tiziu control

| Table | 4. Predictions for the best I | inear unbiased predictior | n (BLUP) for number | of pods per plant (NPP |), number of seeds p | per plant |
|-------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| (NSP) | , total seed mass per plant (S | MP) estimated for 69 F_3 s | segregating families of | of common black beans. | | |

| <u>`</u> | | | | _ | | | | | 5 | 00 | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|----|------|----|------|----|------|
| | ١ | NPP | 1 | NSP | S | MP | | 1 | NPP | 1 | NSP | S | MP | | | NPP | | NSP | S | MP |
| R | F | G | F | G | F | G | R | F | G | F | G | F | G | R | F | G | F | G | F | G |
| 1 | 64 | 7.56 | 64 | 8.20 | 49 | 7.02 | 25 | 11 | 1.41 | 40 | 1.32 | BE | 0.19 | 49 | 7 | 0.53 | 69 | 0.45 | 57 | 0.07 |
| 2 | 49 | 6.44 | 49 | 6.74 | 64 | 0.95 | 26 | BE | 1.35 | 66 | 1.27 | IT | 0.19 | 50 | 28 | 0.51 | 13 | 0.42 | 22 | 0.07 |
| 3 | 47 | 5.27 | 51 | 5.62 | 8 | 0.78 | 27 | BS | 1.30 | 24 | 1.21 | 59 | 0.18 | 51 | 32 | 0.48 | 39 | 0.40 | 38 | 0.07 |
| 4 | 8 | 4.59 | 47 | 4.93 | 36 | 0.69 | 28 | IT | 1.26 | 30 | 1.16 | 45 | 0.17 | 52 | 69 | 0.46 | 57 | 0.38 | 33 | 0.06 |
| 5 | 50 | 4.09 | 8 | 4.50 | 51 | 0.62 | 29 | 10 | 1.21 | 45 | 1.12 | 31 | 0.17 | 53 | 46 | 0.44 | 61 | 0.36 | 39 | 0.06 |
| 6 | 51 | 3.74 | 50 | 4.11 | 47 | 0.57 | 30 | 48 | 1.17 | 65 | 1.07 | 4 | 0.16 | 54 | 67 | 0.41 | 53 | 0.33 | 67 | 0.06 |
| 7 | 36 | 3.47 | 36 | 3.77 | 50 | 0.53 | 31 | 30 | 1.12 | 43 | 1.03 | 32 | 0.15 | 55 | 15 | 0.39 | 60 | 0.31 | 53 | 0.05 |
| 8 | 14 | 3.18 | 62 | 3.44 | 26 | 0.50 | 32 | 39 | 1.08 | 42 | 0.99 | 48 | 0.15 | 56 | 16 | 0.36 | 44 | 0.29 | 60 | 0.05 |
| 9 | 2 | 2.94 | 2 | 3.16 | 27 | 0.46 | 33 | 31 | 1.04 | 5 | 0.94 | 29 | 0.14 | 57 | 12 | 0.34 | 46 | 0.27 | 68 | 0.05 |
| 10 | 26 | 2.75 | 9 | 2.93 | 25 | 0.42 | 34 | 4 | 1.00 | 11 | 0.90 | 6 | 0.14 | 58 | 41 | 0.32 | 55 | 0.25 | 46 | 0.04 |
| 11 | 62 | 2.59 | 48 | 2.74 | 30 | 0.39 | 35 | 5 | 0.97 | 4 | 0.87 | 28 | 0.13 | 59 | 60 | 0.29 | 16 | 0.23 | 41 | 0.04 |
| 12 | 9 | 2.45 | 63 | 2.58 | 37 | 0.37 | 36 | 44 | 0.93 | 38 | 0.83 | 14 | 0.13 | 60 | 17 | 0.27 | 19 | 0.21 | 15 | 0.04 |
| 13 | 63 | 2.32 | 21 | 2.43 | 9 | 0.35 | 37 | 65 | 0.89 | 6 | 0.79 | 11 | 0.12 | 61 | 20 | 0.25 | 33 | 0.19 | 19 | 0.03 |
| 14 | 43 | 2.21 | 37 | 2.29 | 40 | 0.33 | 38 | 3 | 0.86 | 23 | 0.76 | 1 | 0.12 | 62 | 33 | 0.23 | 7 | 0.18 | 58 | 0.03 |
| 15 | 21 | 2.10 | 10 | 2.17 | 62 | 0.31 | 39 | 29 | 0.82 | 28 | 0.73 | 52 | 0.12 | 63 | 19 | 0.21 | 15 | 0.16 | 17 | 0.03 |
| 16 | 25 | 2.01 | 26 | 2.05 | 10 | 0.29 | 40 | 6 | 0.79 | 59 | 0.69 | 3 | 0.11 | 64 | 22 | 0.19 | 54 | 0.14 | 16 | 0.02 |
| 17 | 37 | 1.92 | 52 | 1.94 | 5 | 0.28 | 41 | 38 | 0.76 | 31 | 0.66 | 13 | 0.11 | 65 | 61 | 0.16 | 58 | 0.12 | 18 | 0.02 |
| 18 | 42 | 1.85 | 3 | 1.84 | 43 | 0.27 | 42 | 23 | 0.73 | 32 | 0.63 | 24 | 0.10 | 66 | 55 | 0.14 | 41 | 0.10 | 7 | 0.02 |
| 19 | 40 | 1.78 | 35 | 1.74 | 21 | 0.25 | 43 | 59 | 0.70 | 14 | 0.60 | 61 | 0.10 | 67 | 53 | 0.12 | 17 | 0.09 | 12 | 0.02 |
| 20 | 45 | 1.71 | 1 | 1.66 | 63 | 0.24 | 44 | 1 | 0.67 | 67 | 0.57 | 35 | 0.09 | 68 | 54 | 0.09 | 12 | 0.07 | 69 | 0.01 |
| 21 | 52 | 1.64 | IT | 1.58 | 42 | 0.23 | 45 | 13 | 0.64 | 27 | 0.55 | 44 | 0.09 | 69 | 34 | 0.07 | 20 | 0.05 | 54 | 0.01 |
| 22 | 66 | 1.58 | BS | 1.51 | 2 | 0.22 | 46 | 18 | 0.61 | 29 | 0.52 | 23 | 0.09 | 70 | 57 | 0.05 | 18 | 0.04 | 20 | 0.01 |
| 23 | 24 | 1.52 | BE | 1.44 | 55 | 0.21 | 47 | 27 | 0.59 | 22 | 0.49 | 65 | 0.08 | 71 | 58 | 0.03 | 56 | 0.02 | 34 | 0.00 |
| 24 | 35 | 1.47 | 25 | 1.38 | BS | 0.20 | 48 | 68 | 0.56 | 68 | 0.47 | 66 | 0.08 | 72 | 56 | 0.00 | 34 | 0.00 | 56 | 0.00 |

* R: ranking; P: F₃ segregating population; G: predicted genetic gain; BE: BRS Splendor control; BS: BRS Supreme control; IT: IPR Tiziu control

Table 5. Predictions for the best linear unbiased prediction (BLUP) concerning the first pod insertion height (FPI), plant height (PH), number of pods per plant (NPP), number of seeds per plant (NSP), total seed mass per plant (SMP), estimated in 41 F4 segregating families of common black bean.

| | FPI | | PI | 1 | NP | P | NS | Р | SMP | | |
|----|-----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|-----|------|--|
| R | F | G | F | G | F | G | F | G | F | G | |
| 1 | 19 | 7.13 | 32 | 5.87 | 33 | 5.30 | 19 | 7.64 | 6 | 5.35 | |
| 2 | 32 | 6.96 | 16 | 5.50 | 19 | 5.17 | 1 | 6.66 | 19 | 5.33 | |
| 3 | 9 | 6.6 | 19 | 5.36 | 13 | 4.99 | 13 | 5.98 | 31 | 5.05 | |
| 4 | 10 | 5.91 | 20 | 5.28 | 3 | 4.74 | 3 | 5.33 | 33 | 4.86 | |
| 5 | 8 | 5.4 | 9 | 5.22 | 21 | 4.58 | 21 | 4.91 | 34 | 4.75 | |
| 6 | 24 | 4.9 | 3 | 4.92 | 6 | 4.41 | 33 | 4.51 | 15 | 4.44 | |
| 7 | 16 | 4.51 | 8 | 4.67 | 4 | 4.26 | 2 | 4.13 | 40 | 4.21 | |
| 8 | 30 | 4.21 | 2 | 4.43 | 31 | 4.12 | 22 | 3.83 | 21 | 4.01 | |
| 9 | 13 | 3.90 | 21 | 4.22 | 22 | 4.01 | 9 | 3.58 | 1 | 3.81 | |
| 10 | 23 | 3.64 | 1 | 3.99 | 1 | 3.86 | 31 | 3.36 | 4 | 3.61 | |
| 11 | 12 | 3.42 | 12 | 3.81 | 26 | 3.67 | 8 | 3.18 | 22 | 3.46 | |
| 12 | 2 | 3.22 | 13 | 3.63 | 40 | 3.49 | 17 | 3.03 | 3 | 3.30 | |
| 13 | 33 | 3.02 | 22 | 3.45 | 35 | 3.28 | 6 | 2.88 | 26 | 3.16 | |
| 14 | 36 | 2.81 | 17 | 3.30 | 17 | 3.08 | 16 | 2.75 | 17 | 2.98 | |
| 15 | 20 | 2.63 | IT | 3.08 | 5 | 2.91 | 34 | 2.62 | 13 | 2.82 | |
| 16 | IT | 2.47 | BE | 2.88 | 34 | 2.75 | 35 | 2.51 | 35 | 2.67 | |
| 17 | BE | 2.32 | BS | 2.72 | 38 | 2.61 | 39 | 2.41 | 41 | 2.53 | |
| 18 | BS | 2.20 | 23 | 2.54 | BE | 2.47 | 4 | 2.29 | 18 | 2.41 | |
| 19 | 29 | 2.08 | 10 | 2.35 | IT | 2.34 | 40 | 2.19 | 38 | 2.30 | |
| 20 | 41 | 1.96 | 7 | 2.18 | BS | 2.22 | 26 | 2.08 | 39 | 2.19 | |
| 21 | 1 | 1.85 | 33 | 2.03 | 8 | 2.11 | BE | 1.98 | 29 | 2.09 | |
| 22 | 17 | 1.74 | 30 | 1.88 | 16 | 2.01 | BS | 1.89 | 25 | 1.99 | |
| 23 | 37 | 1.64 | 39 | 1.75 | 27 | 1.91 | IT | 1.81 | ΙТ | 1.90 | |
| 24 | 6 | 1.54 | 36 | 1.62 | 9 | 1.81 | 38 | 1.73 | BS | 1.82 | |
| 25 | 34 | 1.44 | 35 | 1.50 | 18 | 1.71 | 41 | 1.65 | BE | 1.75 | |
| 26 | 14 | 1.35 | 41 | 1.38 | 11 | 1.62 | 36 | 1.56 | 5 | 1.66 | |
| 27 | 25 | 1.26 | 6 | 1.28 | 28 | 1.53 | 32 | 1.47 | 2 | 1.58 | |
| 28 | 7 | 1.18 | 31 | 1.18 | 2 | 1.44 | 20 | 1.38 | 9 | 1.50 | |
| 29 | 21 | 1.09 | 5 | 1.08 | 36 | 1.36 | 27 | 1.30 | 8 | 1.42 | |
| 30 | 18 | 1.01 | 37 | 0.99 | 39 | 1.27 | 15 | 1.22 | 27 | 1.35 | |
| 31 | 22 | 0.93 | 15 | 0.90 | 15 | 1.20 | 5 | 1.13 | 28 | 1.27 | |
| 32 | 28 | 0.86 | 34 | 0.82 | 20 | 1.12 | 18 | 1.04 | 14 | 1.19 | |
| 33 | 15 | 0.79 | 40 | 0.74 | 29 | 1.05 | 11 | 0.95 | 30 | 1.11 | |
| 34 | 27 | 0.72 | 29 | 0.66 | 7 | 0.97 | 23 | 0.87 | 7 | 1.03 | |
| 35 | 11 | 0.65 | 24 | 0.59 | 41 | 0.89 | 29 | 0.79 | 16 | 0.95 | |
| 36 | 40 | 0.58 | 38 | 0.51 | 25 | 0.80 | 28 | 0.71 | 20 | 0.86 | |
| 37 | 38 | 0.50 | 4 | 0.45 | 23 | 0.71 | 30 | 0.62 | 36 | 0.78 | |
| 38 | 39 | 0.43 | 11 | 0.38 | 24 | 0.61 | 25 | 0.54 | 32 | 0.69 | |
| 39 | 3 | 0.37 | 25 | 0.31 | 10 | 0.52 | 7 | 0.46 | 24 | 0.59 | |
| 40 | 5 | 0.30 | 27 | 0.24 | 30 | 0.43 | 37 | 0.39 | 23 | 0.49 | |
| 41 | 31 | 0.23 | 26 | 0.18 | 32 | 0.33 | 24 | 0.30 | 10 | 0.38 | |
| 42 | 35 | 0.16 | 28 | 0.12 | 14 | 0.23 | 10 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.25 | |
| 43 | 4 | 0.08 | 14 | 0.06 | 37 | 0.12 | 14 | 0.11 | 12 | 0.12 | |
| 44 | 26 | 0.00 | 18 | 0.00 | 12 | 0.00 | 12 | 0.00 | 37 | 0.00 | |

* R: ranking; P: F₃ segregating population; G: predicted genetic gain; BE: BRS Splendor control; BS: BRS Supreme control; IT: IPR Tiziu control

than 5% and culminate in an increase of 2.8 g of seeds per plant.

Heritabilities profile among segregating generations

When relating the segregating generations as a function of broad sense heritabilities (Figure 1), it is observed that the number of pods and seeds per plant reveals superiority for this parameter in the F_3 segregating generation, that is due to the magnitude of heterogeneous families among themselves, that increase the total genetic variance and potentiate heritability, the plant height was benefited by the advancement of the $F_2 - F_4$ generations, with the increase of the parameter over the generations and can be used as a selection criterion to increase the proportions of additive effects in progenies, in contrast, the total seed mass per plant was reduced according to the generations because the

high genetic variation expressed in the F_2 population was predominantly controlled by dominance deviations that were minimized at the time of the selection generations.

In order to understand the total genetic trends among the characters measured along the segregating generations F_2 , F_3 and F_4 the average Euclidean genetic distance was estimated using the broad sense heritability as a reference parameter, where the UPGMA grouping method was used to define the multivariate profiles of heritabilities and to construct a dendrogram to expose the distances (Figure 2). In this way, the profile I was composed by the number of pods per plant (NPP), number of seeds per plant (NSP) and seed mass per plant (SMP) measured in the F_4 segregating generation.

Profile II was composed by the first pod insertion height (FPI), measured in generation F_2 and F_3 , profile III conjugates the number of pods (NPP) and seeds per plant (NSP)

measured in generation F_2 . The profile IV was responsible for grouping the number of seeds per plant (NSP) measured in F_3 and the plant height (PH) measured in F_4 , the profile V was formed by the number of pods per plant (NPP) and seed mass per plant (SMP) measured in F_3 and plant height (PH) measured in F_2 . The profile VI was composed of seed mass per plant (SMP) measured in F_2 , plant height (PH) measured in F_3 and first pod insertion height (FPI) measured in the F_4 generation. These results indicate that the measured characters present additive and non-additive genetic relationships not only in the same segregating generation, but among the breeding generations of common black bean.

Materials and methods

Conduction of study and experimental design

The experiments were conducted in the agricultural crops of 2015, 2016 and 2017 in the municipality of TenentePortela - RS located at Latitude 27°23'31.04 "S and Longitude 53°46'50.71" W, altitude of 390 meters, where it presents humid subtropical climate of the Cfa type according to the Köppen classification, the soil is characterized as typical ferric aluminous red Latosol (Streck, 2008).

The experimental design consisted of augmented blocks using genotypes BRS Esplendor (BE), BRS Supremo (BS) and IPR Tiziu (IT) as controls in four replicates, the other treatments were uniquely arranged in the experiment, being the F_2 segregating generation (2015) formed by 33 common black bean populations, the F_3 segregating generation was composed of 69 families (2016) selected from the segregating populations, and F_4 segregating generation was composed of 41 families from the targeted selection among the best F_3 families.

In all the agricultural crops the sowings were carried out in the second half of the month of November, being based on the system of direct sowing. The experimental unit was composed of two lines spaced 0.45 meters and five meters in length, using as standard the density of 10 seeds per linear meter, base fertilization constituted by 270 kg ha⁻¹ N, P_2O_5 , K_2O in formulation 10 -20-20, and for top dressing, 90 kg of nitrogen in the form of urea (46% N) was applied in the V4 stage. Preventive practices were prioritized to minimize the effects of weeds, pest insects and diseases that may influence the results of the experiments.

Traits measured

The traits of agronomic interest were measured in 10 random plants contained in the useful area of the experimental unit, these being:

First pod insertion height (FPI), measured through the distance between the soil level to the insertion of the first viable pod, results in centimeters (cm).

Plant height (PH), measured through distance between soil level to the last fully expanded trifolium, results in cm.

Number of pods per plant (NPP), obtained by the magnitude of viable pods contained in the plant, results in units.

Number of seeds per plant (NSP), measured after the pod track, where the seeds contained in the plant were counted, results in units.

Seed mass per plant (SMP), seeds with no dirt were submitted to mass measurement, and after the mass was adjusted to 13% moisture, results expressed in grams (g).

Statistical anlysis

The data were submitted to analysis of variance at 5% of probability in order to test the model additivity, residue normality and homogeneity of the variances (Ramalhoet al., 2000). Subsequently, the Deviance analysis (LRT) was performed at 5% of probability by the chi-square test (X²), in order to verify the significance of the variance components and genetic parameters (REML), based on the model y = Xr +Zg + e, where y: is the data vector, r: are the effects of the repetitions assumed to be fixed, q: are the genetic effects assumed to be random, e: correspond to the effects of the residuals assumed to be random. Phenotypic variance (V_P), genetic variance (V_G), broad sense heritability (H²), coefficient of determination of plot effects (C²), accuracy (A_c) , mean: general mean of the experiment were estimated. The BLUP (Best Linear Unbiased Predictor) predictions were carried out to obtain the components of the means and the ranking of the F_2 segregating populations and F_3 and F_4 segregating families (R), as well as the percentage of predicted genetic gain (G). With the broad sense heritability matrix, it was possible to estimate the mean Euclidean genetic distance, later the UPGMA grouping was done to construct the dendrogram, this approach was carried out with the purpose of defining the multivariate genetic profiles of the characters according to the segregating generations. The analyzes were performed using the softwares Selegen (Resende, 2016) and GENES (Cruz, 2013).

Conclusion

Superior genetic variability is established for the first pod insertion height and plant height in the F_4 segregating generation. For the number of pods, seeds and seed mass per plant, genetic contribution superior to the phenotype is expressed in F_3 segregating generation.

The prediction of genetic value defines that the number of pods, seeds and seed mass per plant can be potentiated in F_2 segregating generation by selection of population 26, selection of F_3 families 64 and 49, F_4 families 33 and 19.

Through the estimations and genetic predictions of the characters measured in the segregating generations F_2 , F_3 and F_4 it is possible to define six multivariate genetic profiles, these strategies being able to be used in quantitative genetic studies aimed at increasing the production of common black bean seeds.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) and the Coordination of Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) for the support.

References

Carvalho IR, Pelegrin AJ, Szareski VJ, Ferrari M, Rosa TC, Martins T, Santos NL, Nardino M, Souza VQ, Oliveira AC, Maia LC (2017) Diallel and prediction (REML/BLUP) for yield components in intervarietal maize hybrids. Genetics and Molecular Research. 16: 1-12.

- Carvalho IR, Nardino M, Ferrari M, Pelegrin AJ, Demari G, Szareski VJ, Follmann DN, Bahry CA, Souza VQ, Maia LC (2016) Genetic variability among common black bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.,) accessions in southern Brazil. Aust J CropSci. 10(10): 1474-1483.
- Conab (2018) Companhia Nacional de Abastecimento. Brasília. Acompanhamento da safra brasileira de grãos. v.5 - HARVEST 2017/18 - N. 6 – Sixth data collection, 2018.
- Cruz CD (2013) GENES: A software package for analysis in experimental statistics and quantitative genetics. Acta Scientiarum Agronomy. 35: 271-276.
- Federer WT (1956) Augmented (hoonuiaku) designs. Hawain Planters Rec. 55:191-208.
- Melo LC, Peloso MJD, Pereira HS,Faria LCD, Costa JGCD,Díaz JLC, Abreu ÂDFB (2010) BRS Estilo: common bean cultivar with Carioca grain, upright growth and high yield potential. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology. 10(4): 377-379.
- MoretoAL, Ramalho MAP, Nunes JAR, Abreu AFB (2007) Estimação dos componentes da variância fenotípica em feijoeiro utilizando o método genealógico. Ciência e Agrotecnologia. 31(4): 1035-1042.
- Moura AD, Brito LM (2015) Aspectos socioeconômicos. In: Carneiro JE, Paula Júnior TJ, Borém A (Ed.). Feijão do plantio à colheita, Viçosa, MG: Ed. UFV, 16- 36p.
- Ramalho MAP, Ferreira DF, Oliveira AC (2000) Experimentação em genética e melhoramento de plantas. Lavras: UFLA. 326p.
- Resende MDV de (2016) Software Selegen-REML/BLUP: a useful tool for plant breeding. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology. 16:330-339.
- Resende MD, Ramalho MA, Carneiro P, Carneiro JE, Batista LG, Gois IB (2015) Selection index with parentes, population, progenies and generations effects in autogamous plant breeding. Crop Science. 56(2): 530-546.
- Resende MDV (2007) Matemática e estatística na análise de experimentos e no melhoramento genético.Colombo, PR: EmbrapaFlorestas. 362p.

- Rocha GSD, Pereira LPL, Carneiro PCS, Júnior P, Carneiro JEDS (2012) Common bean breeding for resistance to anthracnose and angular leaf spot assisted by SCAR molecular markers. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology. 12(1): 34-42.
- Rocha GSD, Pereira LPL, Carneiro PCS, Júnior P, Carneiro JEDS (2012) Common bean breeding for resistance to anthracnose and angular leaf spot assisted by SCAR molecular markers. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology. 12(1): 34-42.
- Rocha GSD, Carneiro JEDS, RezendeJúnior LDS, Menezes Júnior JAND, Carneiro PCS, Cecon PR (2013) Effect of environments on the estimated genetic potential of segregating common bean populations. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology. 13(4): 241-248.
- Schmutz J, McClean PE, Mamidi S, Wu GA, Cannon SB, Grimmwood J, Scoott AJ (2014) A reference genome for common bean and genome-wide analysis of dual domestications. Nature Genetics. 46(7):707-713.
- Silva CA, Abreu AFB, Ramalho MAP (2009) Associação entre arquitetura de planta e produtividade de grãos em progênies de feijoeiro de porte ereto e prostrado. Pesq Agrope Bras. 44(12):1647-1652.
- Streck EV, Kämpf N, Dalmolin RS, Klamt E, Do Nascimento PC, Schneider P, Giasson E, Pinto LFS (2008) Solos do Rio Grande do Sul. 2.ed. Porto Alegre. 222p.
- Szareski VJ, Carvalho IR, Kehl K, Levien AM, Nardino M, Demari G, Lautenchleger F, Souza VQ, Pedo T, Aumonde TZ (2017) Univariate, multivariate techniques and mixed models applied to the adaptability and stability of wheat in the Rio Grande do Sul state. Genetics and Molecular Research. 16(3):1-13.
- Szareski VJ, Souza VQ, Carvalho IR, Nardino M, Follmann DN, Demari G, Ferrari M, Olivoto T (2015) Growing environment and its effects on morphological characters and dieteticsoy. Revista Brasileira de Agropecuária Sustentável. (RBAS) 5(2):79-88.