Australian Journal of Crop Science

AJCS 8(11):1503-1509 (2014)

AJCS ISSN:1835-2707

Validation of molecular markers linked to alleles controlling growth habit in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.)

Vanusa S. R. Martins, Maria Celeste Gonçalves-Vidigal^{*}, Giselly F. Lacanallo, and Pedro S. Vidigal Filho

Departamento de Agronomia, Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Av. Colombo, 5790, 87.020-900, Maringá, Paraná, Brazil

*Corresponding author: mcgvidigal@uem.br

Abstract

This work had objectives to determine the inheritance growth habit of the Andean cultivar Jalo-UEM using the F_2 populations from the Jalo-UEM × Cornell 49-242 and Jalo-UEM × Michelite crosses; and, to investigate the utility of Bmd45-AIA molecular marker for identifying plants having *FinFin* and/or *finfin* alleles in these segregating populations. Phenotypic and molecular analyses were conducted in two segregating populations derived from crosses between a line displaying a determinate growth (Jalo-UEM) and two cultivars with an indeterminate growth (Cornell 49-242 and Michelite) habit. A total of 21 molecular markers were tested with different amplification patterns in parents and populations. The marker that showed polymorphism was genotyped in F_2 populations of crosses. In the F_2 populations of the Jalo-UEM × Cornell 49-242 and Jalo-UEM × Michelite crosses, the phenotypic ratio of the two growth habits was *3Fin*: *1fin*, with p = 0.34 and p = 0.62, respectively, for both crosses. This result demonstrates that the inheritance of the indeterminate growth habit was conferred by a single dominant gene, *Fin*. Molecular analysis using the microsatellite marker Bmd45-AIA revealed co-dominant segregation for both study populations, with fragment sizes of 207 and 245bp linked to the *Fin* (indeterminate) and *fin* (determinate) alleles, respectively. The segregation ratio of this marker was 1*Fin/Fin*: 2*Fin/fin*: 1*fin/fin* in the F_2 populations from the Jalo-UEM × Cornell 49-242 (p = 0.56) and Jalo-UEM × Michelite (p = 0.42) crosses. This study further establishes the relevance of the Bmd45-AIA marker for marker-assisted selection of common bean plants architecture and should allow common bean breeders to identify plants with different growth habit.

Keywords: Plant architecture; co-dominant segregation; inheritance of growth habit; microsatellite markers.

Abbreviations: BSA_Bulked segregant analysis; D_determinate; DH_determinate homozygous; IE_indeterminate heterozygous; II_indeterminate; RAPD_random amplified polymorphic DNA; SCAR_sequence-characterized amplified regions; SSR_simple sequence repeat.

Introduction

The common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) is one of the most important constituents of the human diet; in addition to being a great protein source, the common bean also has high carbohydrate content and provides vitamins, minerals and phenolic compounds with antioxidant properties that help prevent diseases (Machado et al., 2008). Because of these nutritional properties, beans are the main plant source of protein and dietary fiber for more than 500 million people in Latin America and Africa (Broughton, 2003). The common bean is a predominantly autogamous species and has wide phenotypic variability, especially for traits such as seed type (size, color, and other characteristics), phenology, plant growth habit and photoperiod sensitivity (Wallace, 1985).

Growth habit is one of the most important characteristics used for describing and selecting cultivars that are suitable for planting (Repinski et al., 2012). This feature may be classified as determinate (Type I) or indeterminate (Types II, III and IV). The evaluation of growth habit is determined by the growth of the stem, grade ability and twining ability, number of nodes, internode length and flower production (Singh, 1982; Debouck and Hidalgo, 1985). During the process of domestication, beans were adapted agroecologically and hence are insensitive to the photoperiod. For example, beans allowed adapting to higher latitudes with longer days exhibit a different growth habit (Gepts and Debouck, 1991; Kwak et al., 2008; Repinski et al., 2012). The determinate growth habit is characterized by a limited number of nodes, with flowering beginning at the apex and moving to the base of the plant. Plants with determinate growth have a terminal meristem in the stem that develops from a vegetative to reproductive state, resulting in terminal inflorescence (Repinski et al., 2012). Plants with an indeterminate growth habit have a main stem with continuous growth originating from a succession of nodes and internodes, with flowering beginning from the base and moving to the apex of the plant. The indeterminate growth habit is characterized by stems with a terminal meristem that remain in the vegetative stage, regulating the growth and producing axillary inflorescences (Repinski et al., 2012). The goal of several breeding programs has been to select plants that exhibit determinate growth in combination with photoperiod insensitivity, to obtain varieties with a shorter flowering period, rapid and uniform ripening, earlier maturation and consequently, a low incidence of disease and

maturation and consequently, a low incidence of disease and ease of mechanized harvest (Coyne, 1980; Kelly et al., 1987; Myers, 1992; Singh, 1994; Singh and Munoz, 1999; Repinski et al., 2012). The growth habit of beans is determined by the presence of the gene *fin*. The presence of the dominant (*Fin*)

or recessive (fin) allele determines whether the growth habit is indeterminate or determinate, respectively (Park et al., 1999; Pañeda et al., 2008). The Fin/fin locus was first identified by Norton (1915) and mapped to linkage group Pv01 (Koinange et al., 1996; Johnson and Gepts, 2002). Gepts et al. (1993) identified an RFLP marker (D1051) linked to the gene fin. This locus appears to be responsible for the identification of the growth habits of most cultivars of Andean origin (Singh et al., 1991; Kwak et al., 2012). Tar'an et al. (2002) suggested the existence of a second growth habit gene (GH) for the common bean, located on a different linkage group from fin. These authors mapped the GH gene to the end of linkage group Pv11. This locus was significantly associated with quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for days to flowering, days to maturity and angle. Another, as yet unnamed locus, was mapped to linkage group Pv07 (Kolkman and Kelly, 2003) and may be responsible for determinacy observed in some Michigan navy bean cultivars, which arose from an artificial mutagenesis programme in the 1950s. Kwak et al. (2008) identified and mapped PvTFL1v, a candidate gene validated by Repinski et al. (2012) that cosegregated with the phenotypic locus for growth habit in linkage group Pv01. The gene PvTFL1y is a functional homolog of the gene TFL1, which controls growth habit in Arabidopsis thaliana. These results were obtained from populations of recombinant inbred lines (RILs) derived from a cross between a wild type plant (indeterminate growth habit) and a domesticated cultivar (determinate growth habit). Thus, due to the complexity and diversity of genetic information related to the inheritance of growth habit and the environmental effects that can limit phenotypic estimates, genetic improvement programs use molecular markers to select cultivars with good agronomic traits, such as growth habit, maturity and resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses (McClean et al., 2002 and Tar'an et al., 2002). However, the need to grow plants until the end of the flowering period to identify their indeterminate or determinate growth habits represents an added difficulty in analyzing this characteristic during the breeding process. The use of indirect screening methods based on molecular markers linked to the fin gene would be of special interest in plant breeding (Pañeda et al., 2008). Previous studies have revealed that the molecular marker microsatellite BMd45-AIA was linked to the fin gene (RF = 0.11; LOD = 10.73). This marker has been used to characterize different lines/cultivars of common bean as the growth habit (Pañeda et al., 2008). The use of BMd45-AIA microsatellite marker is an important tool in the selection of superior genotypes for program improvement due to their codominant trait, multi-allelic and widely distributed across the genome. Previously, several dominant markers (RAPDs and proteins) had been described linked to the Fin/fin locus (Park et al., 1999; Pañeda et al., 2001; Campa et al., 2005). Because it is that markers have low reproducibility and does not distinguish heterozygous locus, the RAPD markers has been replaced by more repeatable markers as microsatellites and SCAR (Yu et al, 2000; Gaitán-Solis et al., 2002; Pañeda et al., 2008). Considering the importance to evaluate two new populations segregating for Fin/fin alleles, and subsequently use of superior segregant in common bean breeding programs, the present work had the objectives: 1- to determine the inheritance growth habit of the Andean cultivar Jalo-UEM using the F_2 populations from the Jalo-UEM \times Cornell 49-242 and Jalo-UEM × Michelite crosses; 2 - to investigate the utility of Bmd45-AIA molecular marker for identifying plants having FinFin and/or finfin alleles in these segregating populations.

Phenotypic analysis of the characteristic growth habit

Phenotypic analysis of the 144 and 138 F₂ individuals from the Jalo-UEM \times Cornell 49-242 and Jalo-UEM \times Michelite crosses, when characterized by indeterminate and determinate growth habit revealed segregation pattern of 113 indeterminate plants and 31 determinate plants ($\chi^2 = 0.92$; p = 0.34) and of 106 indeterminate and 32 determinate plants $(\chi^2 = 0.24; p = 0.62)$, respectively. Phenotypic evaluation of growth habit in the F₂ populations indicated a segregation ratio of 3 Fin: 1 fin for both crosses (Table 1). These results support the hypothesis that growth habit is conditioned by a single dominant gene. The dominant (Fin) allele conditions the indeterminate growth, while the recessive (fin) determined. Several authors, including Lamprecht (1935), Coyne and Schuster (1974), Valladares-Sanchez et al. (1979) and Gepts et al. (1993), have suggested a monogenic mode of inheritance for growth habit. Similar results were obtained by Park et al. (1999) when analyzing a cross between the cultivars PC-50 (determinate growth habit of Andean origin, with rust resistance) and Chicara 83-109 (indeterminate growth habit of Mesoamerican origin, susceptible to rust). The 3:1 ratio for growth habit (75 Fin: 19 fin; $\chi^2 = 0.91$ and p = 0.34) suggests that this trait is controlled by a single dominant gene (Fin). This hypothesis was confirmed in the F_3 generation, in which a 1:2:1 (number of families without a segregating indeterminate growth habit: segregating indeterminate growth habit and determinate: not segregating for growth habit determinate) ratio was observed. The same 3:1 ratio was also observed by Pañeda et al. (2008) in the F2 generation of a cross of common bean cultivars Andecha $(Fin) \times BRB130$ (fin), resulting in 63 individuals with an indeterminate growth habit and 14 determinate individuals $(\chi^2 = 1.91, p = 0.17)$. These findings further support the hypothesis of a single gene controlling growth habit. The study of the growth habit is an important tool for breeding programs because it allows for the identification and selection of superior plants with rapid and uniform ripening, short flowering periods and ease of mechanical harvesting. These characteristics are present in common bean cultivars with a determinate growth habit, which can be identified by the presence of the fin allele. Pañeda et al. (2008) report that the introgression of recessive (fin) genes through conventional breeding is laborious and time consuming due to the need for progeny testing to identify desired genotypes. In addition, plant growth needs to be followed through the end of the flowering period to identify the growth habit. In addition to the factors described by Pañeda et al. (2008), environmental conditions (e.g., light and day length) may hamper the accurate phenotyping of cultivars by modifying the expression of morphological markers, thus leading to an erroneous analysis of growth habit (Kretchmer et al., 1979; Bered et al., 1997; Singh, 1982).

Molecular analysis of the characteristic growth habit

The use of unconventional investigation methods, such as the use of molecular markers linked to the *Fin/fin* locus, may be of particular interest in plant breeding for the identification and selection of plants with a desired growth habit. In this study, of the 21 molecular markers (random amplified polymorphic DNAs (RAPDs), sequence-characterized amplified regions (SCARs), and simple sequence repeats (SSRs)) analyzed, the molecular marker BMd45-AIA showed polymorphism in the parental and segregating populations. O

Table 1. Segregation for growth habit in F_2 populations derived from the Jalo-UEM × Cornell 49-242 and Jalo-UEM × Michelite crosses.

Crosses	Logua	Observed Ratio		Expected Ratio	.2	D Value	
	Locus	Ι	D	ID	— <i>x</i>	P value	
Jalo-UEM × Cornell 49-242	Fin/fin	113	31	3:1	0.9259	0.34	
Jalo-UEM × Michelite	Fin/fin	106	32	3:1	0.2415	0.62	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

I = indeterminate; D = determinate.



Fig 1. Growth habit in common bean plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). 1, Plant with indeterminate growth habit; A, Vegetative terminal meristem; B, Axillary inflorescence. 2, Plant with determinate growth habit; C, Reproductive terminal meristem/terminal inflorescence.

Table 2. Number of plants h	homozygous indetern	ninate growth	, heterozygous	indeterminate	growth and	d homozygous	determinate
growth tested with molecular	markers.						

Crosses	Marker SSR	Locus	IH	IE	DH	Expected Ratio	χ^2	P Value
Jalo-UEM × Cornell 49-242	Bmd45-AIA	Fin/Fin Fin/fin fin/fin	41	71	32	1:2:1	1.15	0.56
Jalo-UEM× Michelite	Bmd45-AIA	Fin/Fin Fin/fin fin/fin	40	69	29	1:2:1	1.75	0.42

IH = indeterminate homozygous; IE = indeterminate heterozygous; DH = determinate homozygous.



Fig 2. Electrophoretic analysis of the amplification products using the Bmd45-AIA molecular marker. L, 100 bp DNA ladder; 1, Jalo-UEM; 2, Cornell 49-242; 3, 4, homozygous F_2 plants with determinate growth; 5, 6, heterozygous F_2 plants with indeterminate growth; 7 and 8 homozygous F_2 plants with indeterminate growth. The arrows indicate the DNA bands of 245 bp and 207 bp linked to *fin* and *Fin*, respectively.

Fin/fin locus and the BMd45-AIA marker revealed a segregation in the F_2 populations from Jalo-UEM × Cornell 49-242 and Jalo-UEM × Michelite at a ratio of 41 *Fin/Fin*:71 *Fin/fin*:32 *fin/fin* and 40 *Fin/Fin*:69 *Fin/fin*:29 *fin/fin*, respectively, indicating a good fit to the expected 1:2:1 ratio (p = 0.56; p = 0.42, respectively) (Table 2). Molecular evaluation using a co-dominant microsatellite marker for both populations confirmed the hypothesis that a single dominant gene confers the indeterminate growth habit (Table 3). The use of genotype data from two populations allowed for the observation of both alleles in a heterozygous state (Faleiro, 2007), with the assumption that the segregation ratio would follow one indicative of dominant gene segregation (i.e., 1:2:1).

As illustrated in Figs 2 and 3, molecular analyses showed that the BMd45-AIA marker amplified a heteromorphic band for indeterminate growth habit (207 bp) and another for determinate growth (245 bp). Recombinants were observed in the F₂ individuals from the Jalo-UEM × Cornell 49-242 and Jalo-UEM × Michelite crosses (three and two recombinants, respectively). Therefore, the recombination frequency for each cross was determined as 2.08 and 1.45%, respectively. The efficiency of the microsatellite marker Bmd45-AIA was calculated using the method of Beraldo et al. (2009) based on the molecular analysis of the two crosses. The efficiencies of the marker for the Jalo-UEM × Cornell 49-242 and Jalo-UEM × Michelite crosses were estimated at 81 and 82%, respectively. Thus, this marker should be efficient for use in

Table 3. Analysis of amplification of the corresponding SSR fragments in the F_2 populations Jalo-UEM × Cornell 49-242 and Jalo-UEM × Michelite.

Domulations	Parental genotypes	F ₂ plants	F_2 plants			
	Marker BMd45-AIA		Marker E	Marker BMd45-AIA		
Fopulations	FinFin	finfin	FinFin	Finfin	finfin	
	207bp	245bp	207bp	207/245bp	245bp	
Jalo-UEM × Cornell 49-242	Cornell 49-242	Jalo-UEM	41	71	32	
Jalo-UEM \times Michelite	Michelite	Jalo-UEM	40	69	29	



Fig 3. Electrophoretic analysis of the amplification products using the Bmd45-AIA molecular marker. L, 100 bp DNA ladder; 9, Jalo-UEM; 10, Michelite; 11, 12, homozygous F_2 plants with determinate growth; 13, 14, heterozygous F_2 plants with indeterminate growth; 15 and 16, homozygous F_2 plants with indeterminate growth. Arrows indicate 245 bp and 207 bp bands, which are linked to *fin* and *Fin*, respectively.

marker-assisted selection for plant architecture in the common bean, resulting in high productivity for breeding programs.

Researches carried out by Pañeda et al. (2008) have revealed that the BMd45-AIA marker showed a co-dominant segregation in a F₂ population derived from the cross Andecha (Fin) \times BRB130 (fin) and being linked to the fin gene in the linkage group Pv01 (RF = 0.11; LOD = 10.73). Moreover, this marker was also used to determine the association of the gene pool (Mesoamerican and Andean) with the Fin/fin alleles in the lines/cultivars of common bean. The segregation of marker was also analyzed in the F₂ of MDRK \times V225 and in the RILs Xana \times Cornell 49-242. BMd45-AIA showed co-dominant segregation in both populations, mapping at 4.1 cM (RF = 4.1%; LOD = 9.2) from the *fin* gene in the MDRK \times V225 population, and at 3.3 cM (RF = 3.3%; LOD = 16.2) from the *fin* gene in the Xana × Cornell 49-242 population (Pañeda et al., 2008). The Xana line was obtained from the cross Andecha (Fin) and V203 (fin), followed by a selection during seven generations of selfing, using the BMd45-AIA marker in the fin gene introgression proceeding from V203. The demonstrated linkage between marker BMd45-AIA and the fin gene is in agreement with previous studies conducted by Blair et al. (2003, 2006). These authors using the recombinant inbred line population DOR $364 \times G19833$, mapped the BMD45 microsatellite in a distal position on linkage group Pv01. Later, Blair et al. (2006), using an advanced backcross inbred line population created from ICA Cerinza (determinate growth habit) crossed with G24404 (indeterminate growth habit), mapped o fin gene in an equivalent position on Pv01. Thus, molecular markers linked to genes of agriculturally useful traits can be used to indirectly identify genotypes that have desirable characteristics, supporting thus the process of introducing favorable characteristics into elite genotypes,

favoring the development of new commercial cultivars at different species (Grisi et al., 2007). Pañeda et al. (2004) used several lines of beans with similar morphological These lines carry different genes for characteristics. resistance to anthracnose and have different growth habits. Developed new lines were phenotypically identical to the parental cultivars. All of these materials with different genetic combinations can not be easily distinguished. Therefore, molecular markers provide a useful tool for rapid differentiation rather than rely solely on morphological differentiation. The microsatellite BMd45-AIA marker is highly polymorphic, co-dominant and highly informative for characterizing common bean plants growth habit. This study further establishes the relevance of the molecular analysis validating the linkage between BMd45-AIA and the Fin/fin locus, which controls the growth habit of the common bean, being efficient for identifying plants with determinate and indeterminate growth habits. The linkage between the BMd45-AIA marker and the Fin/fin locus will be of great importance for marker-assisted introgression of this gene into commercial cultivars and elite cultivars.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

Experiments were conducted under greenhouse conditions at the Laboratório de Melhoramento de Feijão Comum e de Biologia Molecular of the Núcleo de Pesquisa Aplicada à Agricultura (Nupagri) of the Universidade Estadual de Maringá (UEM), Paraná, Brazil. Two F_2 populations were obtained from the Jalo-UEM × Cornell 49-242 and Jalo-UEM × Michelite crosses, with 144 and 138 individuals, respectively. The Jalo-UEM cultivar exhibits a determinate growth habit and was obtained by selecting pure lines following two rearing cycles of the cultivar Jalo EEP558 grown under greenhouse conditions. The parents of this cultivar were developed in 1980 at the Instituto de Pesquisas e Experimentação Agropecuárias do Centro Oeste/Estação Experimental de Patos de Minas (IPEACO/EEP-MG). The Jalo EEP558 cultivar is resistant to fusarium wilt disease, caused by the fungus Fusarium oxysporum, and to angular leaf spot, caused by the fungus Pseudocercospora griseola. Due to the Andean origin of this cultivar, it is adapted to growing conditions in Brazil (Vieira et al., 2000). The Michelite mesoamerican cultivar (indeterminate growth habit) was obtained from the breeding program at Michigan State University, USA, and was derived from a cross between the Early Prolific and Robust cultivars. Michelite has a higher yield and better seed quality than the parental cultivar Robust. Michelite is resistant to common mosaic, which is caused by the common mosaic virus (BCMV) (Down and Thayer, 1938), and is considered one of the twelve anthracnose differential cultivars, possessing the Co-11 resistance gene (Gonçalves-Vidigal et al., 2007). Finally, mesoamerican cultivar Cornell 49-242 (indeterminate growth habit) from Venezuela has the Co-2 anthracnose resistance gene (Are) discovered by Mastenbroek (1960) wich is located on linkage group Pv11 (Freyre et al., 1998). One hundred forty-four F_2 individuals from the Jalo-UEM \times Cornell 49-242 cross and 138 F2 individuals derived from the Jalo-UEM \times Michelite cross were obtained. The segregating populations derived from crosses between Jalo-UEM × Cornell 49-242 and Jalo-UEM × Michelite were analyzed phenotypically and using molecular markers to characterize the indeterminate and determinate growth habits.

Phenotypic analysis

The phenotypic data were obtained from 144 and 138 F_2 individuals from the Jalo-UEM × Cornell 49-242 and Jalo-UEM × Michelite crosses, respectively. When flowering ceased, the plants were evaluated and classified as exhibiting an indeterminate or determinate growth habit (Pañeda et al., 2008). Whether the terminal meristem was reproductive (determinate) or vegetative (indeterminate) (Fig 1), the number of nodes and the internode length were used for this classification (Repinski et al., 2012).

Molecular analysis

DNA extraction

Molecular marker analysis was conducted using DNA extracted from the central leaflet of the first trifoliate leaf from 144 and 138 F_2 individuals from the Jalo-UEM \times Cornell 49-242 and Jalo-UEM \times Michelite crosses, respectively. DNA extractions were performed according to the method described by Afanador et al. (1993) with the following modification: DNA was extracted from the central leaflet of the first trifoliate leaf using 400 mL of CTAB extraction buffer.

Molecular marker analysis

A total of 21 molecular markers were used to identify polymorphisms among the parents (Jalo-UEM and Michelite and/or Cornell 49-242), determinate and indeterminate bulks and in segregating populations. Bulked segregant analysis (BSA) was used to identify markers linked to the *Fin/fin* alleles. Of the 21 tested molecular markers, only BMd45-AIA exhibited polymorphisms characterized by contrasting amplification patterns in the parental materials and the determinate versus indeterminate bulks or individuals and were chosen for further studies. This marker amplified bands of 245 bp and 207 bp in the genomic region of interest for growth habits. The two alleles of marker BMd45-AIA differ in a single base pair and in a deletion of 38 bp.

Microsatellites BMd45 and AIA, previously located on linkage group Pv01 were amplified by different oligonucleotide pairs (developed by Blair et al. 2003 and by Guerra-Sanz 2004, respectively) directed to the same sequence (Genbank entry AF293023). The BMd45-AIA marker was obtained by combining two microsatellite primers, BMd 45 and AIA, previously used by Pañeda et al. primer (5'-(2008). the BMd 45 forward GGTTGGGAAGCCTCATACAG-3') and AIA reverse primer (5'-TAGTCCTTGCTTTCTTTTGC-3'). These authors suggested that fragments of 207 and 245 bp were indicative of indeterminate and determinate growth, respectively. The molecular markers were PCR-amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in a total volume of 20 µL containing 10 ng of total DNA, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 1.5 mM of MgCl₂, 1X enzyme buffer, 0.2 µM of forward and reverse primers and 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase in 20 µL total volume. All PCR reactions were performed in a thermal cycler model TC-412 (M.J. Research Inc., Waltham, M.A.). The amplification conditions for the SSR were as follows: 3 min at 95°C and 34 cycles of 30 s at 92°C, 1 min at 50°C and 60 s at 72°C, followed by a 5 min extension at 72°C and 4°C for 4 min. Following amplification, 2 µL of loading buffer (30% glycerol and 0.25% bromophenol blue) were added to each sample, and the PCR products were analyzed on 3% MetaPhor agarose gels stained with 0.02% SYBR Safe (Invitrogen, Eugene, Oregon, EUA). The DNA bands were visualized under ultraviolet light; digital images were recorded using an L-PIX Image EX (Loccus Biotecnologia-Loccus do Brasil, Cotia, SP, Brazil).

Statistical analysis

Segregation analysis of the growth habit phenotype from 144 F_2 plants from the Jalo-UEM × Cornell 49-242 cross and 138 F_2 plants from the Jalo-UEM × Michelite cross was performed using a chi-square (χ^2) test, with the assumption of Mendelian segregation of 3Fin (dominant):1 *fin* (recessive). A goodness-of-fit test for the segregation hypothesis of 1:2:1 (*Fin/Fin:Fin/fin:fin/fin*) was performed using the co-dominant molecular marker BMd45-AIA. Linkage analysis was performed using the software Genes (Cruz, 2013). The efficiency of the microsatellite marker BMd45-AIA was calculated by molecular analysis based on the method of Beraldo et al. (2009).

Conclusion

In conclusion, the results obtained in this study and in previous studies suggest that the linkage between the BMd45-AIA microsatellite marker and the *Fin/fin* locus was efficient for identifying plants with determinate and indeterminate growth. This marker, in particular, will reduce the team is useful for rapid differentiation rather than rely solely on morphological differentiation. This suggests that this marker can be used in marker-assisted selection for plant architecture and high productivity. Therefore, the data obtained from this research should allow common bean breeders to use the BMd45-AIA marker in breeding programs to improve the architecture of plants through the process of introgression of determinate and indeterminate alleles in future common bean cultivars.

Acknowledgements

This research was financially supported by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES). Vanusa S. R. Martins was supported by a scholarship from CAPES. We would like to thank Andressa Gonçalves Vidigal for additional review of the manuscript. M.C. Gonçalves-Vidigal and P.S. Vidigal Filho also received grants from CNPq.

References

- Afanador LK, Haley SD, Kelly JD (1993) Adoption of a "miniprep" DNA extraction method for RAPD marker analysis in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Ann Rep Bean Improv Coop. 36:10-11.
- Beraldo ALA, Colombo CA, Chiorato AF, Fumikoito M, Carbonell SAM (2009) Aplicação de marcadores SCAR para a seleção de linhagens resistentes à antracnose em feijoeiro. Bragantia. 68:53-61.
- Bered F, Barbosa-Neto JF, Carvalho FIF (1997) Marcadores moleculares e sua aplicação no melhoramento genético de plantas. Ciência Rural. 27:513-520.
- Blair MW, Pedraza F, Buendia HF, Gaitán-Solís E, Beebe SE, Gepts P, Tohme J (2003) Development of a genomewide anchored microsatellite map for common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Theor Appl Genet. 107:1362-1374.
- Blair MW, Iriarte G, Beebe S (2006) QTL analysis of yield traits in an advanced backcross population derived from a cultivated Andean x wild common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cross. Theor Appl Genet. 112:1149–1163.
- Broughton WJ, Hernandez G, Blair M, Beebe S, Gepts P, Vanderleyden J (2003) Beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. spp.) - model food legumes. Plant Soil. 252:55-128.
- Campa A, Pérez E, Giraldez R, Ferreira JJ (2005) Linkage relationship between a common bean seed protein and *Fin/fin* a gene involved in the genetic control of growth habit. Ann Rep Bean Improv Coop. 48:36-37.
- Coyne DP, Schuster ML (1974) Inheritance and linkage relations of reaction to *Xanthomonas phaseoli* (E. F. Smith) dowson (common blight) stage of plant development and plant habit in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Euphytica. 23:195-204.
- Coyne DP (1980) Modification of plant architecture and crop yield by breeding. HortScience. 15:244-247.
- Cruz CD (2013) Genes a software package for analysis in experimental statistics and quantitative genetics. Act Sci Agr. 35:271-276.
- Debouck D, Hidalgo R (1985) Morfologia de la planta de fríjol común. In: López M, Fernández F, Van Schoonhoven A (eds) Fríjol: investigación y produción, 7-42. CIAT, Cali, Colombia.
- Down EE, Thayer JW (1938) The Michelite bean. Special Bull Mich Ag Expt Sta. 295.
- Faleiro FG (2007) Marcadores genético-moleculares aplicados a programas de conservação e uso de recursos genéticos. Embrapa Cerrados, Planaltina, DF, Brasil.
- Freyre R, Skroch PW, Geffroy V, Adam-Blondon AF, Shirmohamadali A, Johnson W, Llaca V, Nodari R, Pereira P, Tsai SM, Tohme J, Dron M, Nienhuis J, Vallejos C, Gepts P (1998) Towards an integrated linkage map of common bean development of a core map and alignment of RFLP maps. Theor Appl Genet. 97:847-856.
- Gaitán-Solís E, Duque MC, Edwards KJ, Tohme J (2002) Microsatellite repeats in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.): isolation, characterization and cross-species amplification in *Phaseolus* spp. Crop Sci. 42:2128-2136.

- Gepts PA, Debouck DG (1991) Origin domestication and evolution of the common bean *Phaseolus vulgaris*. In: Schoonhoven AV, Voysest O (eds) Common beans: research for crop improvement, 7-53. CIAT, Cali, Colombia.
- Gepts P, Nodari R, Tsai SM, koinage EMK, Llaca V, Gilbertson R, Guzman P (1993) Linkage mapping in common bean. Ann Rep Bean Improv Coop. 36:24-38.
- Gonçalves-Vidigal MC, Silva CR, Vidigal Filho PS, Gonela A, Kvitschal MV (2007) Allelic relationships of anthracnose (*Colletotrichum lindemuthianum*) resistance in the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cultivar Michelite and the proposal of a new anthracnose resistance gene *Co-11*. Genet Mol Biol. 30:589-593.
- Grisi MCM, Blair MW, Gepts P, Brondani C, Pereira PAA, Brondani RPV (2007) Genetic mapping of a new set of microsatellite markers in a reference common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris L.*) population BAT93 × Jalo EEP558. Genet Mol Res. 6:691-706.
- Guerra-Sanz JM (2004) New SSR markers of *Phaseolus* vulgaris from sequence databases. Plant Breed. 123:87-89.
- Johnson WC, Gepts P (2002) The role of epistasis in controlling seed yield and other agronomic traits in an andean x mesoamerican cross of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Euphytica. 125:69-79.
- Kelly JD, Adams MW, Varner GV (1987) Yield stability of determinate and indeterminate dry bean cultivars. Theor Appl Genet. 74:516-521.
- Koinange EMK, Singh SP, Gepts P (1996) Genetic control to the domestication syndrome in common bean. Crop Sci. 36:1037-1045.
- Kolkman JM, Kelly JD (2003) QTL conferring resistance and avoidance to white mold in common bean. Crop Sci. 43:539-548.
- Kretchmer PJ, Laing DR, Wallace DH (1979) Inheritance and morphological traits of a phytochrome-controlled single gene in bean. Crop Sci. 19:605-607.
- Kwak M, Velasco DM, Gepts P (2008) Mapping homologous sequences for determinacy and photoperiod sensitivity in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). J Hered. 99:283-291.
- Kwak M, Toro O, Debouck DG, Gepts P (2012) Multiple origins of the determinate growth habit in domesticated common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). Ann Bot. 1-8.
- Lamprecht H (1935) Zur genetik von *Phaseolus vulgaris*. X. Uber infloreszenztypen und ihre vererbung. Hereditas. 20:71-93.
- Machado CM, Ferruzzi MG, Nielsen SS (2008) Impacto of the hard-to-cook phenomenon on phenolic antioxidants in dry beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). J Agr Food Chem. 56:3102-3110.
- Mastenbroek CA (1960) Breeding programme for resistance to anthracnose in dry shell haricot beans, based on a new gene. Euphytica. 9:177-184.
- McClean PE, Lee PK, Otto C, Gepts P, Bassett MJ (2002) Molecular and phenotypic mapping of genes controlling seed coat pattern and color in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). J Hered. 93:148-152.
- Myers JR (1992) Values and use of the national dry bean nurseries. Ann Rep Bean Improv Coop. 35:1-2.
- Norton JB (1915) Inheritance of habit in the common bean. Am Nat. 49:242-261.
- Pañeda A (2001) Búsqueda de marcadores moleculares ligados al gen *Fin* en *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Seminario de investigación, Universidad de Oviedo.
- Pañeda A, Rodriguez C, Giráldez R, Ferreira JJ (2004) Molecular marker assisted differentiation of new bean breeding lines. Ann Rep Bean Improv Coop. 47:143-144.

- Pañeda A, Suárez CR, Campa A, Ferreira JJ, Giraldez R (2008) Molecular markers linked to the *fin* gene controlling determinate growth habit in common bean. Euphytica. 162:241-248.
- Park SO, Coyne DP, Bokosi JM, Steadman JR (1999) Molecular markers linked to genes for specific rust resistance and indeterminate growth habit in common bean. Euphytica. 105:133-141.
- Repinski SL, kwak M, Gepts P (2012) The common bean habit gene *PvTFL1y* is a functional homolog of *Arabidopsis TFL1*. Theor Appl Genet. 124:1539-1547.
- Singh SP (1982) key for identification of different growth habits of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Ann Rep Bean Improv Coop. 25:92-95.
- Singh SP, Gepts P, Debouck DG (1991) Races of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*, Fabaceae). Econ Bot 45:379-396
- Singh SP (1994) Gamete selection for simultaneous improvement of multiple traits in common bean. Crop Sci. 34:352-355.
- Singh SP, Munoz CG (1999) Resistance to common bacterial blight among *Phaseolus* species and common bean improvement. Crop Sci. 39:80-89.

- Tar'an B, Michaels TE, Pauls KP (2002) Genetic mapping of agronomic traits in common bean. Crop Sci. 42:544-556.
- Valladares-Sanchez NE, Coyne DP, Schuster ML (1979) Differential reaction of leaves and pods of *Phaseolus* germplasm to strains of *Xanthomonas phaseoli* and transgressive segregation for tolerance from crosses of susceptible germplasm. J Am Soc Hortic Sci. 104:6480-654
- Vieira FR, Vieira C, Cardoso RAL, Silva JI (2000) Comportamento de variedades e linhagens de feijão do tipo Jalo em Minas Gerais. Rev Ceres. 47:145-153.
- Wallace DH (1985) Physiological genetics of plant maturity adaptation and yield. Plant Breed. 3:21-167.
- Yu K, Park S, Poysa V, Gepts P (2000) Integration or simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers into a molecular linkage map of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). J Hered. 91:429-434.