Potential of Híbrido de Timor germplasm and its derived progenies for coffee quality improvement
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Abstract

This work evaluated the Híbrido de Timor germplasm and the potential of its derived progenies as genetic resources to improve coffee quality, with focus on the specialty coffee market. Thirty eight Coffea arabica genotypes, comprising Híbrido de Timor genotypes, their progenies and traditional cultivars were studied. The study was conducted in a non-replicated design with intercalated checks where coffee cherry fruits were selectively handpicked and washed. Bean quality (shape and size) was assessed by a set of coffee test screens, while the sensory quality was measured using the attributes of the Specialty Coffee Association of America (SCCAA) Cupping Protocols. Simple correlations and principal component analyses (PCA) were applied to analyze the data. There was high correlation for most of the sensory attributes, mainly between flavor and the final score (0.96). Regarding bean quality variables, the bean sieve sizes 19 and 18 correlated positively with the average sieve size (0.84 to 0.93) and negatively with the peaberry sieve (-0.55 to -0.69). The two first principal components illustrated genetic diversity for beans and cup quality. Some Híbrido de Timor and Catimor accessions, including UFV 454-43 and UFV 390-52, respectively, presented greater quality and may meet the interests of coffee breeders. For these, tasters described special nuances related to chocolate, caramelly, fruity and flowery tastes. The Híbrido de Timor germplasm and its derivatives show potential to be used in coffee breeding programs which seek quality for the specialty coffee market.
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Introduction

The term “Híbrido de Timor” (HT) was assigned to the coffee genotype from an interspecies outcrossing (Coffea arabica L. and C. canephora Pierre ex Froehner) found in a Typica cultivar plantation on the island of Timor in 1917 (Bettencourt 1973, Clarindo et al., 2013). These genotype descendants have been used in coffee breeding (Setotaw et al., 2013) for providing resistance to economically important diseases, such as leaf rust (Hemileia vastatrix) (Chaves 1976; Romero et al., 2014), Coffee Berry Disease (Colletotrichum kahawae) (Gichimu et al., 2014), root-knot nematode (Meloidogyne exigua) (Bertrand et al., 2008; Rezende et al., 2013) and bacterial blight (Pseudomonas syringae) (Ito et al, 2008; Hindorf and Omond, 2011). However, because traditional C. arabica germplasm has low genetic diversity (Lashemers et al., 1999; Anthony et al., 2002; Zarate et al., 2010) and the HT germplasm has high genetic variability (Setotaw et al., 2010), the HT germplasm can also be an important source of genes for the improvement of other characters of interest, such as coffee quality (Bertrand et al., 2006; Leroy et al., 2006; Dessalegn et al., 2008; Van Der Vossen, 2009).Setotaw et al. (2010), using molecular markers, demonstrated high genetic diversity among Híbrido de Timor accessions. Nevertheless, little is known about their quality traits, limiting their potential use as a source of genes for coffee quality improvement. Although we are unaware of the contributions of HT accessions on coffee beverage quality, recent works have indicated that HT derived cultivars present similar (Bertrand et al., 2006; Van Der Vossen, 2009) or better cup quality (Kitzerberger et al., 2011; Pereira et al., 2010) than the best traditional cultivars (Bourbon or Caturra). It was indicated that HT germplasm and its derived progenies could be important sources of variability for coffee quality improvement (Leroy et al., 2006; Dessalegn et al., 2008). Besides the sensory quality, there is an increasing worldwide demand for beans with better visual aspect, especially regarding their shape and size (Leroy et al. 2006). These beans have been sold green or roasted for use in espresso machines, reaching high market prices. The present study
sought to evaluate the potential of Híbrido de Timor germplasm and its derived progenies as genetic resources to improve coffee quality with focus on the specialty coffee market.

Results and Discussion

Correlation between coffee quality traits

Regarding bean quality, there was high phenotypic correlation between most traits (Table 1). For flat beans we observed a high positive correlation between F19 and F18 (0.73). In contrast, high negative correlation was observed from F18 to F16 (-0.80) and F15 (-0.78). Reflecting the relationship between the sizes of flat beans, there was a high positive correlation of Average Sieve Size with F19 (0.84) and F18 (0.93) and negative correlation with F16 (-0.90) and F15 (-0.88). This result indicates that the Average Sieve Size (ASS) can be an explanatory variable for the size of the flat beans. Similarly, the Peaberry variable (PBE) correlated positively with P11 (0.95), P10 (0.62) and P09 (0.44). Between the ASS and PBE variables there was a low negative correlation (-0.55), suggesting their use as a non-redundant component and structurally important variables to explain the genotype diversity for quality (Adams and Wiersma, 1978). Regarding cup quality, there were high positive correlations between the variables (Table 2), and the highest correlation was between the Final Score and Flavor (0.96). Because the score given for Flavor considers the intensity, quality and complexity of the combined taste and aroma (SCAA, 2014), its high correlation with the Final Score is justified. Similarly, the Overall attribute reflects the personal holistic perception of the taster for the integrated beverage attributes, justifying its high correlation (0.95) with Final Score. Dessalegn et al. (2008), when working with a group of accessions collected in Ethiopia, and Kathurima et al. (2009) with accessions from the Coffee Research Center in Kenya, observed high correlation between the sensory attributes. In the same way, Pereira et al. (2010) analyzed the cup quality of some cultivars and found high correlations among sensory attributes. These results agree with our findings, although they were obtained with different genotypes from different environments. Therefore, it is suggested that when the genotype-environment interaction is favorable to a particular sensory attribute, gains in quality might be expected in others.

Multivariate analyses

To study the divergence among genotypes, we discarded the highly correlated variables and kept only those that explained the other, without being high correlated (Table 2). Redundant characters, because they are correlated with others, are dispensable in studies of genetic divergence (Jolliffe, 1972, 1973). According to Adams and Wiersma (1978), only the sufficiently diverse characters which represent the fundamental structure of the biological system studied should be preserved in divergence analysis by principal components. Regarding the correlations and PCA simulations, we kept the Average Sieve Size (ASS), the Peaberry (PBE) and the Final Score (FSC) variables to represent the others which were discarded. Two principal components were established (PC1 and PC2), which explained 85% of the data variation (Table 3). In the first principal component the ASS and PBE variables contributed equally with nearly 50% of the total variation in the component. However, in PC2 the FSC variable accounted for almost all of the data variance (96%). The variable loading in the first component showed high negative association for the ASS, positive for Peaberry and nearly null for the Final Score (Fig. 1). In the second principal component, the Final Score variable correlated positively, while for the Average Sieve Size and Peaberry variables the association was null. Characters with high loading values in a principal component have a strong contribution. Therefore, the first principal component, explaining nearly 52% of the total data variation, was associated with bean quality (shape and size). On the other hand, the second principal component, explaining 33.28% of the total data variation, was associated with the coffee cup quality. Given the negative score of the Average Sieve Size and positive score of Peaberry in the first principal component (Table 3), genotypes associated with higher Average Sieve Size and lower Peaberry had negative scores in the PC1 (Table 4). Similarly, accessions with better cup quality showed higher scores in the second principal component. In the first principal component, the UFV 454-43 (code 20) and UFV 441-02 (code 12) HT accessions were most distant, with the highest absolute scores (-2.67 and 2.96, respectively). In PC2, the Catimor UFV 390-52 (code 24) accession and the HT derived cultivar, Araponga MG1 (code CD-3), presented the highest absolute scores (1.97 and -2.17, respectively). The observed distance among these accessions illustrates the diversity found in the HT germplasm and its derivatives (Fig. 2), indicating how important this germplasm could be for quality improvement. Based on the genotype projection in the Cartesian plane, we observed considerable divergence regarding the qualitative aspects of the beans and beverage. Similarity and formation of isolated groups of accessions with narrow genetic backgrounds may be expected. However, the genetic variability observed is justified, since the accessions with highest diversity were selected previously, based on molecular markers (Alvarenga et al., 2005; Setotaw et al., 2010). According to Setotaw (2009), in the genotypic formation of the evaluated HT accessions there were at least two backcrosses with C. Arabica. This author and others (Lashermes et al., 2000) reported that on average, the HT germplasm has 8-27% of C. canephora genome introgression. Considering this information, it appears that a range of alleles was inserted during the hybridizations, recombined and fixed in segregating populations. This may explain the diversity in quality observed among the HT and its derivatives. Furthermore, coffee quality as a quantitative trait is influenced by several genes (Leroy et al., 2006). For example, Tessema et al. (2011) observed high dissimilarity of cup quality among C. arabica genotypes from different regions of Southwest Ethiopia. This intra-region variability prevented the formation of isolated groups according to the geographical origins of the genotypes. Setotaw et al. (2010), using molecular markers to study the genetic diversity among forty eight HT accessions, observed greatest divergence between groups II and III, respectively, represented by the UFV 450-61 and UFV 440:22 accessions. In the present work, such accessions (coded as 18 and 11, respectively) showed scores of different magnitudes for both components (Fig. 2). This fact indicates the distinct expression of genes for quality traits and corroborates with the previous divergence results reported by Setotaw et al. (2010). Catimor UFV 390-52 (code 24) presented the best cup quality (88 points) based on the second principal component. On the other hand, Catimor UFV 417-97 (code 26) occupied the 33rd
Table 1. Coefficients of phenotypic correlation between traits of the beans (size and shape) of Híbrido de Timor and its derived progenies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beans shape and size variables†</th>
<th>F19</th>
<th>F18</th>
<th>F17</th>
<th>F16</th>
<th>F≥16</th>
<th>F15</th>
<th>F14</th>
<th>ASS</th>
<th>P11</th>
<th>P10</th>
<th>P09</th>
<th>PBE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F18</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F17</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F16</td>
<td>-0.67**</td>
<td>-0.80**</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F≥16</td>
<td>0.64**</td>
<td>0.81**</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F15</td>
<td>-0.58**</td>
<td>-0.78**</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.80**</td>
<td>-0.62**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F14</td>
<td>-0.37**</td>
<td>-0.62**</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>0.51**</td>
<td>-0.61**</td>
<td>0.83**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASS</td>
<td>0.84**</td>
<td>0.93**</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.90**</td>
<td>-0.72**</td>
<td>-0.88**</td>
<td>-0.66**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P11</td>
<td>-0.45**</td>
<td>-0.54**</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.85**</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10</td>
<td>-0.52**</td>
<td>-0.71**</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.51**</td>
<td>-0.72**</td>
<td>0.62**</td>
<td>0.61**</td>
<td>-0.68**</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P09</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.59**</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>0.41**</td>
<td>-0.63**</td>
<td>0.75**</td>
<td>0.85**</td>
<td>-0.62**</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.75**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>-0.55**</td>
<td>-0.69**</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.96**</td>
<td>0.37**</td>
<td>0.40**</td>
<td>-0.55**</td>
<td>0.95**</td>
<td>0.62**</td>
<td>0.44**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean. 7.27 20.40 25.54 17.15 70.35 4.72 0.55 17.05 18.03 4.28 0.97 23.27 10.05 7.40
S.D. 10.98 5.80 8.56 12.25 3.08 0.55 0.48 8.46 2.69 0.82 10.05

† Flat beans (F19, F18, F17, F16, F15 and F14) and peaberry (P11, P10 and P09) variables; flat beans with sieve greater than or equal to 16/64ths (F≥16, in %); average sieve size (ASS); Peaberry (PBE). *p < 0.05 and ** (p < 0.01) significative by t-test.

Fig 1. Projection of the variable loading on the principal components plane (1 x 2).

position in PC2 (80 points). Although these genotypes present Catimor germplasm (Caturra x HT), the graphical distance observed among these Catimor accessions (UFV UFV 390-52 and 417-97) can be explained based on the results of Alvarenga et al. (2005). These authors used molecular markers and observed about 53% of genetic distance among the same accessions, using the UPGMA clustering method with genetic distances (42 polymorphic bands) expressed by the complement of the Jaccard index. Similarly, but highlighting the diversity of bean quality (PC1), the UFV 427-01 (code 6) and UFV 440-22 accessions (code 11) were ranked 4th and 34th, respectively. This is justified since the results of Setotaw et al. (2010) showed 92% of genetic distance between them when they were evaluated within a group of forty-eight HT accessions using combined data of molecular markers (AFLP, RAPD and SSR).

Genetic resources for coffee quality improvement

Among the HT derived cultivars, only the Sacramento cultivar (Catuai Vermelho IAC 81 x HT UFV 438-52) obtained a Final Score above 80 points and could be considered a producer of specialty coffee (SCAA, 2014).

However, among the 20 Híbrido de Timor accessions evaluated whose previous generation presented on average about 10-19% of C. canephora gene introgression (Setotaw, 2009), only two presented cup quality below the specialty coffee quality. Similarly, six HT accessions and one Catimor showed bean quality ranked above the best traditional cultivar (Bourbon at 9th). Regarding the overall mean of the genotypes in the Final Score for cup quality (82.33), it was inferred that most HT accessions and their derivatives have genetic potential to produce specialty coffees (SCAA, 2014). According to Bertrand et al. (2003), genes associated with coffee leaf rust and nematodes (Meloidogyne exigua) do not have pleiotropic effect on the cup quality. The same authors inferred that there is a high correlation between performance of the parents and the general combining capacity. The traditional cultivars Bourbon Vermelho (code TC-1), Catuai Vermelho IAC 15 (TC-2) and Catuai Vermelho IAC 44 (TC-3) were ranked near each other in the PC2, indicating low variability with regards to the beverage sensory attributes. Although such cultivars are commonly used as control for quality, among the 35 assessed genotypes they occupied some of the lowest positions in the PC2 (27th, 23th and 25th, respectively). This indicates that most of the HT germplasm
Table 2. Simple correlation coefficients between beverage sensory attributes of Hibrido de Timor germplasm and its derived progenies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensory Attributes†</th>
<th>Flavor</th>
<th>Acidity</th>
<th>Body</th>
<th>Aftertaste</th>
<th>Balance</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Final Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flavor</td>
<td>0.86**</td>
<td>0.83**</td>
<td>0.75**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidity</td>
<td>0.77**</td>
<td>0.84**</td>
<td>0.82**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body</td>
<td>0.81**</td>
<td>0.89**</td>
<td>0.77**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aftertaste</td>
<td>0.71**</td>
<td>0.85**</td>
<td>0.85**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>0.80**</td>
<td>0.90**</td>
<td>0.84**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.88**</td>
<td>0.96**</td>
<td>0.91**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Score</td>
<td>0.88**</td>
<td>0.96**</td>
<td>0.92**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Aroma (ARO), Flavor (FLA), Acidity (ACI), Body (BOD), Aftertaste (AFT), Balance (BAL), Overall (OVE) and Final Score (FSC).

\( p < 0.05 \) and \( ** (p < 0.01) \) significant by t-test.

---

lines and their derivatives, in the environment studied, presented higher cup quality (Fig. 2). The lower performance of cup quality among the traditional cultivars could be related to the elevation where they were evaluated (elev. 650 m) (Bertrand et al., 2011; Bertrand et al., 2012). At higher elevations (1,200 – 1,400 m), Bertrand et al. (2006) showed no significant differences between Arabica hybrids (Sudanese-Ethiopian origins with TC or with HT derived lines) and traditional cultivars. However, the same authors identified 10–20% higher fat concentrations in Arabica hybrids than traditional varieties at low elevations and similar fat concentrations at high elevations. At an elevation of 650 m, Kitzberger et al. (2011) also observed higher cup quality in a modern cultivar derived from HT (IPR 99, see Sera et al., 2013) compared to the traditional variety Bourbon. Pereira et al. (2010) found similar results at an elevation of 900 m. In their work the HT derived cultivar Catiguá-MG2 (Catuaí Amarelo IAC 86 x HT UFV 440-10) presented higher sensory quality than traditional cultivars for two consecutive years (Catuá Amarelo IAC 62 and Bourbon Vermelho). These reports indicated that Arabica hybrids, as well as HT derived cultivars, can produce better cup quality than traditional cultivars, mainly at lower elevations (600 – 900 m). In an attempt to meet the growing global demand for coffee beans with better visual appearance and higher cup quality, to be sold green or only roasted, currently those most interesting are genotypes with higher percentage of large flat beans (P19 and P18) and higher scores of sensory quality (Leroy et al., 2006; Perosa and Abreu, 2009; Gichimu et al., 2012). In relation to the principal components plot, these genotypes were located in the left upper quadrant (Fig. 2). Whereas for crosses the best parents should be chosen, those most divergent and complementary, and in the cited quadrant five accessions stood out as promising for breeding programs to improve the quality of coffee. As an example, below are
comments of the tasters regarding two cited HT derived accessions and two traditional cultivars.

- UFV 390-52 (cod.24 - Catimor): “Excellent coffee, floral aroma, the fruity flavor reminding me of ripe yellow fruit, delicate acidity, soft and mellow body, very sweet and with long and sweet finish”; ASS= 17.12; FSC= 88.00.
- UFV 454-43 (cod. 20 – Híbrido de Timor): “Characteristic aroma, chocolate flavor, medium acidity, full-bodied, and with a good sweetness”; ASS= 18.13; FSC= 81.75.

- Bourbon Vermelho (cod. CT-1): “Balanced coffee, characteristic aroma and flavor, medium acidity and sweetness, bodied, with a dry finish”; ASS= 17.27; FSC= 80.50.
- Catuai IAC 44 (cod. CT-3): “Good coffee, characteristic aroma, medium acidity and sweetness, light body, very clean and balanced, with medium finish”; ASS= 17.18; FSC= 82.00.
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Materials and Methods

Genetic materials and crop environment

Thirty eight Coffea arabica genotypes from the germplasm bank of UFV (Universidade Federal de Viçosa) / EPAMIG (Empresa de Pesquisa Agropecuária de Minas Gerais) were assessed (Table 4). Of these 20 Híbrido de Timor (HT) accessions, 11 were HT derived progenies, four were commercial cultivars derived from HT (Ohatá IAC 1669-20, Oeiras MG 6851, Sacramento MG1 and Araponga MG1) and three were traditional cultivars, not derived from the HT (Bourbon Vermelho, Catuai Vermelho IAC 15 and Catuai Vermelho IAC 44), used for quality control. The germplasm field was disposed in a non-replicated design with intercalated checks (Catuai Vermelho IAC 15 and Catuai Vermelho IAC 44) among ten accessions, used to estimate the environmental error. A progeny of 10 adult plants formed each accession plot. We selected the genotypes considering the genealogical origin and previous studies of their molecular genetic diversity (Alvarenga et al., 2005; Setotaw et al., 2010; Setotaw et al., 2013). The genotypes are cultivated in Viçosa-MG (20° 45’ S, 42° 52’ W, elevation 650 m). The region has a Cwb climate type, according to Köppen's classification, with an annual average temperature and precipitation of 19°C and 1200 mm, respectively.

Samples preparation

Twenty liters of fresh coffee fruits were selectively handpicked in the "cherry" stage from each accession plot. They were processed four hours after harvest by the wet processing method (mechanically pulped and desnucilaged-depulper model GAVIOTA NG-600). The remaining residues, bark, and broken and bored beans were removed from the samples. Sequentially, the samples were spread onto suspended yard platforms to dry in layers of about three inches thick according to the process of Borém (2008). As the beans dried in the sun, the moisture level was monitored until it reached 11%. After drying, the beans were placed in double-layered brown paper bags and left at rest for 40 days. Then, samples were processed (Palini & Alves equipment, PA-AMO/30 Model, Serial No. 387), packed in waterproof plastic bags and kept in coolers until the time of assessment.

Evaluated characteristics

Bean shape and size was evaluated by a set of coffee test screens, considering a processed sample of 300 grams. The percentage of flat beans was determined in each of the following sieves: 19 (F19), 18 (F18), 17 (F17), 16 (F16), 15 (F15) and 14/64ths (F14) of an inch. For peaberry beans the 11 (P11), 10 (P10) and 9/64ths (P9) sieve sizes were used. The average sieve size (ASS) was obtained by the ratio between the sum of the mass of flat beans retained in each sieve multiplied by the respective sieve number, with the total mass of beans (Krug, 1940). The percentage of peaberry (PBE) was determined by the summed percentages of peaberries retained in the P11, P10 and P9 sieves. Similarly, the percentage of flat beans on sieves greater than or equal to 16/64ths (F ≥ 16) was obtained by the sum of the F19, F18, F17 and F16 sieves. Sensory analysis of the beverage was performed in duplicate, by two tasters per sample, using the evaluation methodology of the Specialty Coffee Association of America-SCAA (SCAA, 2014). The green coffee samples were screened through a size 17 sieve and defective beans were discarded. The following attributes were assessed: Aroma (ARO), Flavor (FLA), Acidity (ACI), Body (BOD), Aftertaste (AFT), Balance (BAL), Overall (OVE) and Final Score (FSC). Based on the aforementioned methodology, genotypes presenting more than 80 points in the Final Score were classified as Specialty Coffee.

Statistical analysis

We estimated the Pearson’s correlation coefficient between the variables of beans quality and among the sensory quality attributes. Because cup quality data was obtained regarding only beans from the ≥ 16 inch sieve, correlation studies between the beverage quality and bean size were not performed. A principal component multivariate analysis (PCA) was used in order to assess the genotypes dispersion regarding the quality of beans and beverage. After obtaining the correlation results and PCA simulations (variables weights), we kept only the non-redundant components and structurally important variables to explain the genotypes diversity for quality (Adams and Wiersma, 1978). Dissimilarity among genotypes was based on the mean Euclidian distance between the scores obtained in each principal component. These statistical analyses were carried out using the statistical software package Genes (Cruz, 2013).

Conclusions

For the reported environment (low elevation), traditional varieties presented ordinary sensory attributes without complexity of beverage nuances. Although these coffees are sensory classified as specialty, the sensory attributes are commonly found on the market, reducing their value as a specialty coffee. Meanwhile, the HT and its derived genotypes provide new flavors and nuance complexity rarely found on the market. Because coffee quality tends to improve with reduced mean air temperature, usually associated with increasing elevation, the progenies of genotypes identified as promising parents at high elevations will probably show similar or better cup quality than traditional cultivars. The HT germplasm and its derivatives can be used in coffee breeding programs, not just for resistance to pests and diseases, but also to improve coffee quality to meet the demands of specialty coffee consumers.
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