Wet and dry corn yield under intercrop cultivation with marandu grass and/or dwarf pigeon pea and nutritional value of the marandu grass in succession
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Abstract

The aim of this study was to evaluate corn grain yield and dry matter yield and nutritional value of Urochloa brizantha cv. Marandu (marandu grass) in succession to an intercrop of corn with marandu grass and/or dwarf pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan cv. Anão) in a dryland area. The experiment was conducted during the crop years of 2013/14 and 2014/15, in a low-altitude Cerrado biome (savannah) experimental area. The experiment was set up as a randomized block design with six replications, in a 2 x 2 factorial arrangement consisting of two intercrops [corn with marandu grass (CB) and corn with marandu grass and dwarf pigeon pea (CBP)]; two grain harvest times (wet and dry grain stages); and two consecutive years (2013/14 and 2014/15). Irrespective of the intercrop, the harvest of corn grain in the wet stage for silage minimized the losses caused by climatic factors. The intercrop of corn with marandu grass and dwarf pigeon pea reduced the yield of wet corn grains and the dry matter of the marandu grass in succession. Marandu grass in succession to the intercrop of corn with dwarf pigeon pea resulted in better nutritional value because of the lower fiber and higher total digestible nutrients contents.
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Introduction

The interests in intercropping grain crops with tropical forages under the no-till (NT) system has increased significantly by both technicians and producers in regions characterized by dry winters (Borghi and Crusciol, 2007). This fact is evidenced by the maintenance of an appropriate climate that as the intercrop period progressed, the grass produced fewer leaves, stems, and sheaths. However, after the grains were harvested, the forage displayed great regrowth potential. Integrated crop-livestock production systems have been recognized as alternatives for sustainable intensification, as they are more efficient in the use of natural resources (Wright et al., 2012), promote nutrient cycling and soil improvement (Salton et al., 2014), decrease production costs (Ryschawy et al., 2012), keeping production levels high (Balbinot Jr et al., 2009), and also bring advantages to the ecosystem (Sanderson et al., 2013). These systems regained their importance after decades, during which monocropping systems predominated, characterized by little diversity and large use of inputs (Lemaire et al., 2014).

Intercropping is a versatile practice that can meet the different characteristics of producers, both for grain yield and production of silage with more protein, as is the case of intercrops with legumes, and for production of hay as a soil cover, providing higher profitability rates compared with monocrops (Santos et al., 2011). This intercropping system with legumes represents an alternative for the producer to implement nitrogen biological fixation in the production system (Brasil, 2010).

Legume plants stand out for their symbiotic relations with N₂-fixing bacteria, and their low C/N ratio, associated with the large presence of soluble compounds, promotes rapid decomposition and mineralization, with an expressive supply of N to the soil-plant system (Ferreira et al., 2011; Partelli et al., 2011). Further, legumes play an essential role as nutrient providers when a no-till system is established, since plants of this family have the advantage of promptly supplying nutrients to successive crops because of the rapid decomposition of their residues (Silveira et al., 2005).

It is interesting to note that the use of forage legume species intercropped with corn has been researched and generated positive results for grain yield (Heinrichs et al., 2005; Nunes et al., 2006). This particular emphasis on corn
lies in the fact that it is the main cereal produced in Brazil, occupying an area of 13.8 million hectares, which represents 27.5% of the area sown with other annual crops in the 2010/11 harvest (Conab, 2012).

The legume species have been evaluated by many authors for their biomass production and nutrient supply to the soil when grown in an intercrop with corn or as a monocrop (Heinrichs et al., 2005; Carneiro et al., 2008). Besides, intercropping has an essential function in weed control, representing an important tool for organic crops (Oliveira et al., 2011). Most studies evaluating corn intercrops have focused the grain productivity, not prioritizing the dry matter, yield or the chemical composition of the forage in succession (Leonel et al., 2009). Therefore, the objective of the present study was to evaluate corn grain yield and dry matter yield and nutritive value of marandu grass in succession to an intercrop of corn with marandu grass and/or dwarf pigeon pea in a dryland area.

Results

Productivity of wet grain and corn dry

Table 1. shows the unfolding of the corn grain yield (GY) values as affected by the interactions between intercrops (CB and CBP) and harvest times (WG and DG) and intercrops (CB and CBP) and crop years (2013/14 and 2014/15). By comparing the intercrops in relation to the corn harvest times, the corn GY was higher in both intercrops, when corn was harvested at WG stage, and between the two harvest times, the yield obtained at WG was higher in the CB intercrop. Regarding the intercrops within years, irrespective of the treatment, GY was higher in the first year. When we compared the years in relation to the intercrops, in the first year, the GY from CB was higher than that obtained with CBP. In the second year there was no difference between the intercrops (Table 1).

Chemical composition of marandu grass

Table 2. presents the chemical composition of marandu grass after the harvest of corn intercropped with Urochloa brizantha cv. Marandu (CB) and with Urochloa brizantha cv. Marandu/dwarf pigeon pea (CBP), at both corn harvest times (WG and DG), in two consecutive years (2013/14 and 2014/15).

The forage in the CB intercrop had higher NDF, ADF, and hemicellulose contents, while the CBP intercrop resulted in a higher TDN content. The other chemical components did not present significant differences between intercrops. Regarding the harvest times, the forage showed higher cellulose contents when it was harvested with corn in the DG stage, whereas the other chemical components did not differ between the harvest times. Between the years, in the first year, the forage had higher hemicellulose, ash, and TDN levels, while in the second year higher NDF, ADF, cellulose, lignin, and IVDMD values were found (Table 2).

Dry mass productivity of marandu grass

The dry matter yield of the marandu grass after the harvest of wet and dry grains of the intercropped corn is given in Table 3. Overall, the corn harvest time (WG and DG) did not interfere with the total dry matter yield of the marandu grass, except for the first year, at the corn DG stage, in the CB intercrop, when the total DMY was higher. It was also higher in all the cutting periods (Table 3).

The ANOVA shows interaction between intercrop and harvest time referring to the forage dry matter yield (kg ha⁻¹), in two years (Table 4). In the first year, the DMY of the forage originating from the CBP intercrop at the corn WG harvest time was higher as compared with the DG harvest time. Comparing the corn harvest time in relation to the intercrop, we observed that at DG harvest time, the forage DMY was higher in the CB intercrop. As for the second year, for both intercrops at the corn WG harvest time, the marandu grass had a higher DMY than at the corn DG harvest time, whereas at WG, the DMY of the forage from the CB intercrop was higher than that obtained with the CBP intercrop. The other interactions did not have significant differences (Table 4).

Discussion

Productivity of wet grain and corn dry

When the corn was harvested in the DG stage, overall, grain yield (GY) was lower (Table 1), due to the large amount of rain in the interval between the corn WG and DG harvest times, especially in the second years (Fig 2). This favored the appearance of fungi and rot of the ears; thus, contributing to a decline in productivity of dry corn grains. Studies investigating the intercropping of corn and marandu grass demonstrate the viability of this production system. The results of the present experiment corroborate with those obtained by Cobucci et al. (2001), who reported that the presence of the forage grass does not affect the productivity of corn grains. However, with the presence of dwarf pigeon pea, grain yield was lower due to the competition effect. Although some cases require application of nicosulfuron, at sub-doses, to reduce forage growth and ensure complete development of the corn, this practice was not necessary in our experiment, since the forages were sown deeper than the corn to avoid a possible competition.

It is worth mentioning that legume plants have a high N content that may benefit the corn crop. Senaratine et al. (1995) stated that the ability of this legume to make nitrogen available in the soil is highly variable among cultivars. According to Weber and Mielniczuk (2009) and Santos et al. (2010), in absence of nitrogen fertilization, the use of legumes preceding crops increases the corn yield. As reported by Silva et al. (2006), without nitrogen fertilization, the highest corn yields were obtained, when the preceding crop was a legume or fodder turnip. However in the present study, we must emphasis that the legume did not precede but was intercropped together with corn; thereby, competing for production factors during its cycles.

Chemical composition of marandu grass

In regard to the forage chemical components, the forage originating from the CB intercropping was more fibrous, probably due to the lower N accumulation in this area. However, this did not influence the CP, TDN, and IVDMD contents (Table 2).
The forage was more fibrous also in the second year, compared to first year, displaying greater NDF, ADF, cellulose, and lignin contents, because in the second year. It was mainly due to the higher precipitation and temperatures (Fig 2). In the second year, the marandu grass showed greater development, accumulating more stems and consequently being more fibrous, although the TDN and IVDMD were not impaired by the increased fibrous contents of the forage, besides the high CP content.

According to Leite and Euclides (1994), the nutritional value of a forage species is influenced by soil fertility, climatic conditions, physiological age, and management procedures, to which it is subjected. Additionally, the dry matter accumulation of forage plants may be directly related to the availability of nutrients in the soil, which increases the dry matter yield of forage plants (Wilkins et al., 2000).

The nutritional value is also evaluated based on the digestibility and its CP and cell wall contents, which are characteristics closely related to DM intake. The forage quality depends on its components, which vary within the same species, according to the plant age, part of the plant, soil fertility, among other factors. The low nutritional value of the forages is associated with the reduced CP, mineral contents, high fiber content and low DM digestibility (van Soest, 1994). The crude protein content and the acceptability by animals, coupled with satisfactory dry matter yield, are important factors in the choice of a cultivar for implementing the pasture (Maranhão et al., 2009).

The nutritional value of tropical grasses during the dry season is low. In most of the cases, CP contents do not reach the minimum value of 7.0%, which is limiting to animal production, as it implies decreased digestibility and lower voluntary intake (Costa et al., 2005). Furthermore, higher mineral (ash) values are important, since in general, tropical grasses have deficiencies or limited concentrations of these elements (Pedreira and Berchielli, 2006).

In the present study, the CP contents of marandu grass were above the 7% reported by van Soest (1994) as the minimum for the maintenance of the population of microorganisms in the rumen of cattle, even in fall/winter harvests (Table 2). In this intercrop the forage was in its vegetative stage.

As stated by Silva and Queiroz (2002), cellulose represents the largest portion of ADF, while hemicellulose integrates NDF and is calculated as the difference between NDF and ADF, and is more digestible than cellulose. The NDF and ADF contents were higher than the 60 and 30%, respectively, considered limiting by van Soest (1994), who pointed the DM intake by ruminants is reduced. The second year had high pluvial precipitation, with better distribution of rains associated with high temperatures (Fig 2), which contribute to greater forage growth in addition to high fiber contents (Table 2).

The total digested nutrients content (54%) was within the range reported by Benett et al. (2008) for marandu grass in the same cultivation area. The in vitro DM digestibility, in general, remained above 50%. High temperatures promote rapid growth and development of leaves, which increase the cell wall components and consequently the participation of this component in the total plant dry matter. According to Wilson (1983), these effects are negatively correlated with IVDMD. Gerdes et al. (2000) found around 70% IVDMD in the fall, similarly to the results obtained in the present study.
Table 2. Nutritional value of marandu grass after an intercrop with corn and/or dwarf pigeon pea (CB and CBP), at two corn harvest times (WG and DG), in two consecutive years (2013/14 and 2014/15).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intercrop</th>
<th>CP (%)</th>
<th>NDF (%)</th>
<th>ADF (%)</th>
<th>Cellulose (%)</th>
<th>Hemicellulose (%)</th>
<th>Lignin (%)</th>
<th>Ash (%)</th>
<th>TDN (%)</th>
<th>IVDMD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>12.30a</td>
<td>65.71a</td>
<td>40.03a</td>
<td>33.47a</td>
<td>25.20a</td>
<td>5.09a</td>
<td>9.63a</td>
<td>56.38b</td>
<td>72.91a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBP</td>
<td>12.84a</td>
<td>63.46b</td>
<td>39.77b</td>
<td>32.67a</td>
<td>23.50b</td>
<td>5.02a</td>
<td>9.76a</td>
<td>57.32a</td>
<td>73.49a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Harvest time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>CP (%)</th>
<th>NDF (%)</th>
<th>ADF (%)</th>
<th>Cellulose (%)</th>
<th>Hemicellulose (%)</th>
<th>Lignin (%)</th>
<th>Ash (%)</th>
<th>TDN (%)</th>
<th>IVDMD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013/14</td>
<td>12.80a</td>
<td>63.83b</td>
<td>32.81b</td>
<td>28.05b</td>
<td>30.53a</td>
<td>3.12b</td>
<td>9.94a</td>
<td>57.16a</td>
<td>71.37b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>12.33a</td>
<td>65.34a</td>
<td>46.98a</td>
<td>38.09a</td>
<td>18.16b</td>
<td>6.99a</td>
<td>9.45b</td>
<td>56.53b</td>
<td>75.03a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSD 0.65  1.41  1.22  0.83  0.65  0.36  0.38  0.59  2.32

CV 12.76  5.39  7.53  6.16  6.63  17.59  9.86  2.55  7.81

*Means followed by common letters in the column do not differ statistically, according to Tukey’s test at 5% probability level. **CB (intercrop with corn and marandu grass); CBP (intercrop with corn/marandu grass/dwarf pigeon pea); ***DG (dry-grain stage harvest); WG (wet-grain stage harvest); CP (crude protein); NDF (neutral detergent fiber); ADF (acid detergent fiber); TDN (total digestible nutrient); IVDMD (indigestibility in vitro dry matter).
and in general concluded that the seasons of fall and winter provide an approximately 6.9 higher IVDMD of marandu grass than the spring and summer. However, in the current study, although the marandu grass was fibrous, its digestibility remained above 70%, probably as a result of the high CP content, of the order of 12%. Moore and Mott (1973) stated that the digestibility of tropical forages lies between 55 and 60%, but may decrease if the concentration of crude protein in the forage is between 4 and 6% (Moore and Mott, 1973), or increase, with higher CP contents.

**Dry mass productivity of marandu grass**

In the first year, with the CBP intercrop, and in the second year for both intercrops, the forage had a greater DM yield after the corn was harvested for silage at the wet grain stage (WG) (Table 3), demonstrating that the forage’s DM yield can be superior after the anticipated harvest of the annual crop, remaining in the area for a longer period, producing more and allowing a longer grazing time to animals. McWilliam (1978) asserted that the ideal temperature for the growth of tropical grasses is between 30 and 35 °C, whereas

### Table 3. Dry matter yield (kg ha⁻¹) of marandu grass after the harvest of wet and dry corn grains in an intercrop.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corn harvest**</th>
<th>Intercrop**</th>
<th>Forage harvest (kg DM ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2013/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>05/07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG 03/08/2014</td>
<td>CB</td>
<td>3,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBP</td>
<td>4,080</td>
<td>2,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG 04/07/2014</td>
<td>CB</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by common letters in the column within year and intercropping type do not differ statistically, according to Tukey’s test at 5% probability level. **CB (intercrop with corn and marandu grass); CBP (intercrop with corn/marandu grass/dwarf pigeon pea). ***DG (dry-grain stage harvest); WG (wet-grain stage harvest).

### Table 4. Unfolding of the interaction between intercrop and forage harvest time by analysis of variance referring to dry matter yield of the forage in two years (kg ha⁻¹).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intercrop**</th>
<th>Harvest time (2013/14)***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>15,912a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBP</td>
<td>15,632aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>2,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercrop**</td>
<td>Harvest time (2014/15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>15,025aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBP</td>
<td>12,426bA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>2,084</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by common lowercase letters in the column and uppercase letters in the row do not differ statistically, according to Tukey’s test at 5% probability level. **CB (intercrop with corn and marandu grass); CBP (intercrop with corn/marandu grass/dwarf pigeon pea). ***DG (dry-grain stage harvest); WG (wet-grain stage harvest).

### Table 5. Soil chemical analysis in the 0-0.10 m layer. Selvíria, MS (2014/15).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P (resini)</th>
<th>S-SO₄</th>
<th>OM</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>H+Al</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
<th>Ca²⁺</th>
<th>Mg²⁺</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>SB</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Zn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mg dm⁻³</td>
<td>mg dm⁻³</td>
<td>mg dm⁻³</td>
<td>CaCl₂ 0.01 mol L⁻¹</td>
<td>mmol dm⁻³</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>mg dm⁻³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6. Soil chemical analysis in the 0.10-0.20 m layer. Selvíria, MS (2014/15).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P (resini)</th>
<th>S-SO₄</th>
<th>OM</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>H+Al</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
<th>Ca²⁺</th>
<th>Mg²⁺</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>SB</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Zn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mg dm⁻³</td>
<td>mg dm⁻³</td>
<td>mg dm⁻³</td>
<td>CaCl₂ 0.01 mol L⁻¹</td>
<td>mmol dm⁻³</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>mg dm⁻³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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at 10 to 15 °C growth is practically zero, which results in seasonal forage production. Cardoso (2001) reported that nocturnal temperatures below 15 °C do not allow for satisfactory metabolic activity and formation of tissues in the aerial part of tropical forages. Additionally, low temperatures and reduced light hours determine physiological changes in the forage, triggering the reproductive process, which will consequently reduce growth. However, although the present study was conducted in the off-season, no such temperatures were observed (Fig 1 and 2).

According to Vilela (2012), the dry matter yield of marandu grass ranges from 10 to 17 t ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. In the present study, we obtained values ranging from 7 to approximately 16 t ha⁻¹ over a period of only four months. The high dry matter yield in this period is mainly due to the efficiency of marandu grass in benefiting from the residual fertilizer applied in the annual intercrops coupled with its adaptability to acid tropical soils, which predominate in the cerrado regions, and its tolerance to the leafhopper (Argel et al., 2005).

Materials and Methods

Management of forages

Weeds in the experimental area were dried to form mulch for the NT system, using the herbicide Glyphosate (1.44 kg ha⁻¹ active ingredient). Plants were then harvested using a horizontal plant residue chopper (Tritton). Each experimental unit (plot) consisted of seven corn rows spaced 0.45 m apart, with an area of 3.6 × 21 m (75.6 m²). In the CB intercrop, the corn was planted together with marandu grass mechanically, using a seed-fertilizer with a shaft-type furrowing mechanism (machete) for the NT system, at a depth of approximately 0.03 m.

The corn sowing density was around 3.0 seeds per meter, aiming at a population of around 66,000 plants per hectare, using Simple Hybrid DKB 390 YG, recommended for the region. In the CB intercrop, the dwarf pigeon pea was sown immediately after the corn, in the inter-rows, spaced 0.45 m apart, using six to eight seeds per meter. Therefore, the space between corn and dwarf pigeon pea rows was 0.45 m, in alternate rows. As starter fertilization, in both crop years, 350 kg ha⁻¹ of the 0-9-28-16 formulation were applied in the corn rows.

The marandu grass seeds were stored in the fertilizer compartment of the seeder and deposited at a depth of 0.06 m, with 0.45 m spacing, using approximately 7 kg ha⁻¹ of pure, viable seeds (CV 72%). Thus, the grass seeds were located underneath the corn and/or pigeon pea seeds, following recommendations of Kluthcouski et al. (2000), aiming to slow the emergence of the forage grass in relation to the grain-producing crop to reduce the likely competition of the species in the initial period of development of the corn. The intercropped species were sown on 12/12/2013 and 12/06/2014, for the 1st and 2nd crop years, respectively. Topdressing fertilization was applied on 01/08/2014 for the first year and on 01/09/2015 for the second year, both with the N dose of 100 kg ha⁻¹, using urea as the source.

To evaluate the wet grain yield, the corn was harvested at 28% moisture, on 03/08/2014 and 03/09/2015, for the first and second crop years (after the appearance of the black layer), respectively. The dry grains had a moisture content lower than 20% on 07/04/2014 (first year) and 08/04/2015 (second year), which were the dates when grain yield was determined in the plots (corrected for 13% moisture). For the analysis of grain yield, the three 5-m center rows were evaluated.

Thirty days after the corn was harvested for both wet and dry grains. The plot-leveling cut was made using a motorized mower at an average height of 0.25 m above the soil. This management aimed to stimulate basal tillering of the forage. Thus, after this operation, the material remained still on the ground. Thirty days after the plot-leveling cut, and also 30 days after each cut (05/07/2014, 06/07/2014, 07/07/2014, and 08/07/2014 for WG and 06/07/2014, 07/07/2014, and 08/07/2014 for DG; 05/08/2015, 06/08/2015, 07/08/2015, and 08/08/2015 for WG and 06/08/2015, 07/08/2015, and 08/08/2015 for DG), 1 m² of the plots (average of three samples per plot) was collected for determination of fresh matter and subsequent dry matter (oven-drying at 65 °C until reaching a constant mass) for grinding and subsequent analyses.

Location and soil-climatic conditions

The experiment was conducted during the crop years of 2013/14 and 2014/15, in an experimental area belonging to the Faculty of Engineering at UNESP, on Ilha Solteira campus, located in Selvíria - MS, Brazil. The approximate geographical coordinates are 51°22' W and 20°22' S and 335 m altitude. The soil in the area is an Oxisol with clayey texture. The average annual precipitation is 1,370 mm; air temperature and humidity (annual averages) are 23.5 °C and 70 to 80%, respectively. Monthly values for precipitation (mm), air relative humidity (%), and maximum, mean, and minimum temperatures (°C) in the cultivation area during the experiment, in both crop years (2013/2014 and 2014/2015), are described in Figures 1 and 2.

Experimental conditions

The experiment was set up as a randomized block design with six replications, in a 2 × 2 factorial arrangement consisting of two simultaneous intercrops at sowing (CB - corn intercropped with Urochloa brizantha cv. Marandu (marandu grass) and CBP - corn intercropped with marandu grass and dwarf pigeon pea cv. Cajanus cajan cv. Anão); two corn grain-stage harvest times (wet and dry grain); and two crop years (2013/14 and 2014/15). Aiming to characterize the soil before the intercrops were sown, its fertility was analyzed (Raij et al., 2001) in the 0-0.10 m and 0.10-0.20 m layers (Tables 5 and 6, respectively).

Based on the soil analysis results, dolomitic limestone (PRNT = 85%) was applied in the soil at the dose of 2.0 t ha⁻¹, on 10/09/2013, as topdressing and without incorporation, given the history of 10 years in the no-tillage (NT) system.

Biochemical Analyses

After being ground, plant samples (dry matter) were sent to the laboratory for determination of the crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF), cellulose (ADF minus LIG), hemicellulose (NDF minus ADF), lignin, and ash contents. These determinations followed methodologies described by Silva and Queiroz (2002) and Campos et al. (2004), in addition to total digestible nutrients (TDN) (Cappelle et al., 2001) (Equation 1).

\[
TDN = 83.79 - 0.4171 \times NDF
\]
Statistical analyses

All results were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and, according to the significance level of the F test, means were compared by Tukey’s test at 5% significance level.

Conclusion

Irrespective of the intercrop, the harvest of wet corn grains for silage minimized losses due to climatic factors as compared with the harvest of dry grains, in addition to providing greater dry matter yield to the marandu grass in succession. The intercrop of corn with marandu grass and dwarf pigeon pea reduced the corn grain yield and the dry matter yield of the marandu grass in succession. The marandu grass in succession to the intercrop of corn with dwarf pigeon pea resulted in better nutritional value because of the lower neutral (NDF) and acid (ADF) digestible fiber and higher total digestible nutrient (TDN) contents.
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