Genetic variability among common black bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) accessions in southern Brazil
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Abstract

To obtain superior genotypes, the use of natural genetic variability is essential, aiming to select parents that will make future crossings blocks. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the genetic variability and dissimilarity using multivariate analyzes in common black bean accessions native to southern Brazil. The experiment was conducted in the 2014/2015 in Frederico Westphalen – RS. The experimental design was augmented blocks (RCBD) with four repetitions (totally 149 treatments), in which 147 black common bean accessions were evaluated. Two commercial cultivars were used as witnesses: BRS Esplendor and BRS Supremo. The phenotypic traits such as days to flowering, plant height at flowering, days to maturity, plant height at maturity, insertion of the first pod, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, mass of seeds per pod, number of branches, mass of seeds per plant, seed length, seed width, seed flattening, seed brightness, presence of halo, color of the halo were measured. The distributions of phenotypic frequencies indicate genetic variability among the 149 genotypes of common black bean. The Tocher optimization method presents the formation of eight groups of genotypes. The dendrogram formed by the standardized Euclidean distance was efficient in the stratification of the accessions for their genetic distance. The relative contribution evaluated by Singh method shows that the characters days to flowering and seed brightness are those that best discriminate the genotypes. The multivariate techniques of Tocher optimization and the standardized Euclidean distance show similar responses, proving to be viable tools for the choice of parents in a breeding program.
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Introduction

The common black bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) is one of the major legumes intended for human consumption. It is grown in much of the Brazilian territory (Eliaz et al., 2007). The bean nutritional capacity is due to genotype, environment and genotype *×* environment interaction. The main components of nutrition are proteins, starch, sugar and vitamins (Flores et al., 2009). The genetic breeding of the species seeks genotypes that do not suffer from the environmental factors and interaction of genotype *×* environment. At the same time, they must have high production levels, cooking quality and high nutritional content (Carbonell et al., 2003).

The genetic breeding program of black bean has defined an ideotype with 35 days to start flowering (Silva et al., 2007), plants with stature higher than 50 centimeters (Horn et al., 2010), physiological maturity of up to 90 days (Eliaz et al., 2007), greater height of insertion of the first pod (Rocha et al., 2014), high number of pods per plant and seeds per pod (Kurek et al., 2001), reflecting high productivity (Ramos Junior et al., 2005). Therefore, to obtain superior genotypes, it is essential to use the natural genetic variability to select efficient parents that will make future crossings programs. Hybridization between the genetically distant genotypes can enhance the genetic variability of the segregating populations and increase the chance of obtaining transgressive genotypes (Ramalho et al., 2012). Molecular techniques are used as effective tools to identify genes and alleles responsible for the traits of interest, selection of higher yielding genotypes, pyramiding gene, preparation of genetic maps and to identify the genetic variability of common black beans (Marin et al., 2005). Thus, understanding the genetic distance between the common black bean genotypes is crucial, where contrasting parents with additional and favorable traits are sought.

Among the multivariate analyzes, the Tocher optimization method aims at subdividing the genotypes into groups, with the lowest genetic dissimilarity within and the highest dissimilarity among groups. The standardized Euclidean distance seeks through a set of observations to discriminate
genotypes by forming groups with close phenotypes (Cruz et al., 2012). The methodology proposed by Singh (1981) can reveal the relative contribution of each character for discrimination of genotypes. The principal component analysis allows generating independent information and shows how many variables are needed to explain the genetic variation among genotypes (Cruz et al., 2012).

The aim of this study was to determine the genetic variability and dissimilarity by multivariate analyzes in common black bean accessions native to southern Brazil.

Results and Discussion

Characterization of traits

The descriptive analysis revealed the formation of different classes among the 149 genotypes for the traits days to flowering (DTF), plant height at flowering (PHF), days to maturity (DTM), plant height at maturity (PHM), insertion of the first pod (IFP), number of pods per plant (NPP), number of seeds per pod (NSP), mass of seeds per pod (MSP), number of branches (NB), mass of seeds per plant (MSPP), seed length (SL), seed width (SW), seed flattening (SF), seed brightness (BRI), presence of halo (HAL), and color of the halo (CRH). The range of coefficients of variation of characters was 8.13% to 35.71%, which shows adequate performance of the experiment.

Performance of traits on the frequency of analysis

The character days to flowering (DTF) revealed the formation of seven phenotypic classes with an amplitude of 25 to 55 days (Fig. 1). Classes of 40 and 45 DTF encompassed 74.5% of the genotypes. Genetic breeding programs aim to get early genotypes, with up to 35 DTF, requiring less water and nutrient resources. Given this, the selection of this character appears as the most viable way to define the common black bean cycle, controlled by a few genes with high heritability (Silva et al., 2007). Therefore, the selection for earliness can be proceeded on the phenotypic classes of 25, 30 and 35 DTF, consist of 23.75% of the studied genotypes.

The plant height at flowering (PHF) presented seven phenotypic classes, ranging from 15 to 85 cm (Fig. 1), wherein the classes of 15 and 25 cm consist of 70% of the genotypes. The agronomic ideotype favorable to the mechanical harvest must have plants with stature higher than 50.0 cm and erect (Horn et al., 2000). The character days to maturity (DTM) expressed eight phenotypic classes and amplitude from 72 to 114 DTM (Fig. 1). The class 102 DTM represents 48.3% of the genotypes. Research carried out with 45 common black bean genotypes determined the average length of 87.4 days (Elías et al., 2007). Therefore, the selection for earlier mature genotypes can occur by means of the phenotypic classes 72, 78 and 84 DTM.

Plant height at maturity (PHM) presented eight phenotypic classes ranging from 15 to 120 cm (Fig.1), wherein the class of 45 cm encompassed 36.9% of the genotypes. Studies to identify the best space arrangements for different bean genotypes showed final height of 54.1 cm, concluding that the increase of this character have a negative impact on productivity (Morais et al., 2001). Thus, agronomically favorable genotypes can be obtained from the phenotypic classes of 45 to 60 cm.

For the character insertion of the first pod (IFP), ten phenotypic classes with range of 3-30 cm were found (Fig.1). The class of 15 cm stood out, with 22.8% of genotypes. Diallel analysis of bean genotypes advocate for genotypes with more IFP, and define that the genetic gain for this character was determined by the efficient choice of parents (Rocha et al., 2014). The selection to increment this character can occur in the classes 15, 18, 21, 24, 27 and 30 cm.

The number of pods per plant (NPP) showed nine phenotypic classes, ranging 2 to 34 pods (Fig. 1). The class with six pods per plant accounted for 34.2% of genotypes. Multivariate analysis for 32 black bean genotypes indicated that the height and duration of the common black bean cycle are essential to increase the number of pods and the mass of seeds per plant (Coimbra et al., 2000). Increased productivity can be obtained by the phenotypic class with 34 pods per plant.

Regarding the number of seeds per pod (NSP), nine phenotypic classes were observed, with pods ranging from 1.2 to 6.0 seeds (Fig. 1). The class 4.2 seeds per pod included the largest fraction of the genotypes. The selection can be directed to the class with six seeds per pod. The mass of seeds per pod (MSPP) revealed eight phenotypic classes, ranging from 0.38 to 2.13 grams (Fig.1). However, 32.2% of the genotypes were indexed in the class with 0.63 grams. A less contribution of NSP is attributed to the characteristics that contribute to more productive genotypes; however, more attention is given to the NPP (Kurek et al., 2001).

The number of branches (NB) presents seven phenotypic classes, with a range of 0.4 to 6.0 branches per plant (Fig. 2), and 32.2% of the genotypes reside in the lowest class. For the mass of seeds per plant (MSP), nine phenotypic classes are formed, ranging from 3.0 to 27.0 grams of seed per plant (Fig. 2). The largest proportion of genotypes was located in the class with 6.0 grams, corresponding to 28.8% of genotypes. So, the selection of superior genotypes must be grounded in the characters mass of seeds per plant and number of seeds per pod. These are crucial to the productivity of the common black bean (Ramos Junior et al., 2005). Hence, obtaining more productive genotypes can be achieved in the phenotypic classes with greater magnitude of this character.

Seed length (SL) revealed eight phenotypic classes with a range of 6.0 to 13.0 millimeters. Of these, 32.2% of the genotypes were classified as moderately filled seeds (class 2.0), 15% as filled seeds (class 2.8) and 2.0% as flattened seeds (class 5.2) (Fig. 2). Regarding the brightness of the seed (BRI), 90% of the genotypes showed seeds with average brightness (class 2.03) and 10.0% had opaque seeds (class 0.83) (Fig. 2). The character presence of halo (HAL) shows that 93.0% of the genotypes do not have halo (class 1.02) (Fig. 2). For the color of halo (CRH), 99.0% of the genotypes express halo color similar to the rest of the seed (class 1.02).

Performance of traits for grouping optimization Tocher

The Tocher optimization method was performed for the 147 common black bean accessions and for two commercial
Table 1. Additional information and origin of the common black bean access.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access identification</th>
<th>Genotypes</th>
<th>Population source</th>
<th>City of origin</th>
<th>Geographic coordinates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LMGPP1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Campos Borges, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LMGPP2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Campos Borges, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>LMGPP3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Campos Borges, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LMGPP4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Campos Borges, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>LMGPP5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Campos Borges, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LMGPP6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Campos Borges, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>LMGPP7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Campos Borges, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>LMGPP8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Campos Borges, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>LMGPP9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Campos Borges, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>LMGPP10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Campos Borges, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>LMGPP11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>LMGPP12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>LMGPP13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>LMGPP14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>LMGPP15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>LMGPP16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>LMGPP17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>LMGPP18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>LMGPP19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>LMGPP20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>LMGPP21</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>LMGPP22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>LMGPP23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>LMGPP24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>LMGPP25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>LMGPP26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>LMGPP27</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>LMGPP28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>LMGPP29</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>LMGPP30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Palmeira das Missões, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>LMGPP31</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>LMGPP32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>LMGPP33</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>LMGPP34</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>LMGPP35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>LMGPP36</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>LMGPP37</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>LMGPP38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>LMGPP39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>LMGPP40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>LMGPP41</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>LMGPP42</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>LMGPP43</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>LMGPP44</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>LMGPP45</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>LMGPP46</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>LMGPP47</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>LMGPP48</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>LMGPP49</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>LMGPP50</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>LMGPP51</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>LMGPP52</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>LMGPP53</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>LMGPP54</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>LMGPP55</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>LMGPP56</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>LMGPP57</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>LMGPP58</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>LMGPP59</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>LMGPP60</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continue.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access identification</th>
<th>Genotypes</th>
<th>Population source</th>
<th>City of origin</th>
<th>Geographic coordinates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>LMGPP61</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td>28° 25’ 24” S and 53° 39’ 21”W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>LMGPP62</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>LMGPP63</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>LMGPP64</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>LMGPP65</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>LMGPP66</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>LMGPP67</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>LMGPP68</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>LMGPP69</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>LMGPP70</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>LMGPP71</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>LMGPP72</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>LMGPP73</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>LMGPP74</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>LMGPP75</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>LMGPP76</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>LMGPP77</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>LMGPP78</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>LMGPP79</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>LMGPP80</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>LMGPP81</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>LMGPP82</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>LMGPP83</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>LMGPP84</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>LMGPP85</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>LMGPP86</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>LMGPP87</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>LMGPP88</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>LMGPP89</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>LMGPP90</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access identification</td>
<td>Genotypes</td>
<td>Population source</td>
<td>City of origin</td>
<td>Geographic coordinates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>LMGPP91</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pejuçara, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>LMGPP92</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Braga, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>LMGPP93</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Braga, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>LMGPP94</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Braga, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>LMGPP95</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Braga, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>LMGPP96</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Braga, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>LMGPP97</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Braga, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>LMGPP98</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Braga, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>LMGPP99</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Braga, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>LMGPP100</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Braga, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>LMGPP101</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Braga, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>LMGPP102</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Braga, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>LMGPP103</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Braga, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>LMGPP104</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Braga, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>LMGPP105</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Braga, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>LMGPP106</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>LMGPP107</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>LMGPP108</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>LMGPP109</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>LMGPP110</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>LMGPP111</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>LMGPP112</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>LMGPP113</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td>28° 38' 22'' S and 53° 36' 22'' W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>LMGPP114</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>LMGPP115</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>LMGPP116</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>LMGPP117</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>LMGPP118</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>LMGPP119</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>LMGPP120</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>LMGPP121</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>LMGPP122</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>LMGPP123</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>LMGPP124</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>LMGPP125</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>LMGPP126</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>LMGPP127</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>LMGPP128</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>LMGPP129</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>LMGPP130</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>LMGPP131</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Cruz Alta, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>LMGPP132</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Fortaleza dos Valos, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>LMGPP133</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Fortaleza dos Valos, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>LMGPP134</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Fortaleza dos Valos, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>LMGPP135</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Fortaleza dos Valos, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>LMGPP136</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Fortaleza dos Valos, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>LMGPP137</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Fortaleza dos Valos, RS, Brazil</td>
<td>28° 46' 60'' S and 53° 13' 24'' W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>LMGPP138</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Fortaleza dos Valos, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>LMGPP139</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Fortaleza dos Valos, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>LMGPP140</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Fortaleza dos Valos, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>LMGPP141</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Fortaleza dos Valos, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>LMGPP142</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Campos Borges, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>LMGPP143</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Campos Borges, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>LMGPP144</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Campos Borges, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>LMGPP145</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Campos Borges, RS, Brazil</td>
<td>28° 52' 31'' S and 53° 00' 55'' W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>LMGPP146</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Campos Borges, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>LMGPP147</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Campos Borges, RS, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>BRS Esplendor</td>
<td>Commercial cultivars witnesses</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>BRS Supremo</td>
<td>Commercial cultivars witnesses</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The genetic distances between the groups (Table 3), higher means were observed between the groups V and VIII (2.27) and VI and VIII (2.21). Therefore, it is possible to increase the genetic variability of the breeding program, using the genotypes from more genetically distant groups. One alternative is to direct the crossings between the individuals of group I with group VIII (1.84), as in the first group there are accessions with agronomic ideotypes closer to the ideal phenotype of the commercial cultivars BRS Esplendor and BRS Supremo.

Performance of traits for standardized Euclidean distance

The standardized Euclidean distance is obtained through a collection of information measured by means of several characters evaluated in each individual (Cruz et al., 2012). The dendrogram shows the genetic dissimilarity between 147 accessions and the cultivars BRS Esplendor (148) and BRS Supremo (149). It was found that the accession 139 is characterized as the most dissimilar genotype, followed by...
### Table 2. Results for the grouping of 147 common black bean accessions and controls BRS Espíndor and BRS Supremo, by the Tocher optimization method, based on the standardized Euclidean distance, considering 16 characteristics of agronomic importance measured in the 2014/2015 crop.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Common black bean genotypes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>104, 115, 86, 97, 55, 113, 114, 26, 85, 103, 112, 147, 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>17, 87, 4, 36, 111, 136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>64, 81, 98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>94, 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig 2.** Distribution of frequencies of the phenotypic classes for, graph (A) number of branches (NB); graph (B) mass of seeds per plant (MSPP); graph (C) seed length (SL); graph (D) seed width (SW); graph (E) seed flattening (SF); graph (F) seed brightness (BRI); graph (G) presence of halo (HAL); graph (H) color of the halo (CRH), measured in the 2014/2015 crop.
Table 3. Results of the average distance between groups estimated by the Tocher optimization method, involving 147 common black bean accessions and controls BRS Esplendor and BRS Supremo, considering 16 characteristics of agronomic importance measured in the 2014/2015 crop.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grupos</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>VI</th>
<th>VII</th>
<th>VIII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig3. Dendrogram with the genetic dissimilarity in 147 common black bean accessions and controls BRS Esplendor (148) and BRS Supremo (149), using the standardized Euclidean distance, obtained by the method of average connections (UPGMA), measured in the 2014/2015 crop.

accessions 51 and 56 (Fig. 3). From these results, it is possible to identify that the grouping of unweighted pairs based on the arithmetic mean (UPGMA) shows similar results to those obtained by the Tocher optimization method, being possible to identify the formation of the eight groups, in which groups I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII and VIII are comprised of 122, 13, 6, 3, 2, 1, 1, and 1 genotype, respectively. Comparison of clustering methods for bean genotypes concluded that the responses obtained by the standardized Euclidean distance are similar to those obtained by the Tocher optimization method (Cargnelutti Filho et al., 2008).

Performance of traits for the relative contribution by Singh

The relative contribution of the characters in the discrimination of genotypes was performed by Singh method (1981), using 16 characters measured in 147 accessions and two check cultivars, BRS Esplendor and BRS Supremo (Table 4). Thus, the variables with the highest relative contribution were days to flowering (DTF), with 21.93%, and seed brightness (BRI) with 13.31%. Studies in 57 black bean accessions concluded that the highest relative contributions to differentiate the genotypes are obtained by mass of hundred seeds, days to flowering and maturity, and seed size (Cabral et al., 2011).

Performance of traits for the principal components

The principal component analysis is characterized as a multivariate technique that allows, by means of a set of characters generating independent information, to explain and infer a response in the most informative way (Cruz et al., 2012). Given this, nine main components were needed to explain the genetic variation between the common black bean genotypes, where MC 1 explained (18.3%), MC 2 (12.8%), MC 3 (10.0%), MC 4 (8.5%), MC 5 (7.9%), MC 6 (6.8%), MC 7 (6.1%), MC 8 (5.9%) and MC 9 (5.2%). These components together made it possible to explain 81.9% of all genetic variation involved in the 149 genotypes studied.
Fig 4. Results for the analysis of graphic dispersion of the scores in relation to the first three main components, MC1, MC2 and MC3, of the 147 common black bean accessions and controls BRS Esplendor and BRS Supremo, measured in the 2014/2015 crop.

Table 4. Results of the relative contribution of 147 common black bean accessions and controls BRS Esplendor and BRS Supremo, by the method of Singh (1981). Measured in the 2014/2015 crop.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>$S_j$</th>
<th>Relative Contribution (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days to flowering (DTF)</td>
<td>3303.72</td>
<td>21.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height at flowering (PHF)</td>
<td>958.34</td>
<td>6.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days to maturity (DTM)</td>
<td>343.51</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height at maturity (PHM)</td>
<td>701.24</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion of the first pod (IFP)</td>
<td>866.33</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pods per plant (NPP)</td>
<td>710.68</td>
<td>4.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of seeds per pod (NSP)</td>
<td>947.64</td>
<td>6.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of seeds per pod (MSP)</td>
<td>688.61</td>
<td>4.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of branches (NB)</td>
<td>1006.67</td>
<td>6.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of seeds per plant (MSPP)</td>
<td>730.60</td>
<td>4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed length (SL)</td>
<td>535.45</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed width (SW)</td>
<td>430.59</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed flattening (SF)</td>
<td>300.54</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed brightness (BRI)</td>
<td>2005.00</td>
<td>13.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of halo (HAL)</td>
<td>1390.00</td>
<td>9.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color of the halo (CRH)</td>
<td>148.00</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimental design and experimental procedure

The experimental design was an augmented blocks (RCBD) (Federer, 1956) with 147 black common bean accessions, and two commercial cultivars witnesses (BRS Esplendor and BRS Supremo) with four repetitions, totally 149 treatments (each treatment as a one genotype). The accessions are from landraces of common black bean collected in different regions of southern Brazil, and available in the Laboratory of Genetic Breeding and Plant Production of the Federal University of Santa Maria Campus Frederico Westphalen, RS, Brazil (Table 1). The experimental units were composed of two lines spaced by 0.45 meters and two meters (m) long, totally 1.80 m². The population density employed for all accessions and cultivars of common black bean was of 10 seeds per linear meter, according to Cabral et al. (2011). The management was employed based on direct sowing, with fertilizer in the sowing line of 300 kg ha⁻¹ of the formulated N-P-K (10-20-20). A 90 kg ha⁻¹ of nitrogen was applied in the amide form. The control of weeds, insect pests and diseases were carried out, preventively.

Traits measured

The evaluated traits were: Days to flowering (DTF), measured by counting the number of days from seedling emergence until the issuance of the first flower bud. Plant...
height at flowering (PHF), measured from the ground level to the last fully expanded leaflet at the beginning of the reproductive period. Days to maturity (DTM) was measured by counting the number of days from seedling emergence to harvest. Plant height at maturity (PHM) was measured from the ground level to the apex of the plant measured at harvest. Insertion of the first pod (IP) was measured by the distance between the ground level and the insertion of the first pod viable on the main stem. Number of pods per plant (NPP) was determined by counting the total number of viable pods per plant. Number of seeds per pod (NSP) was obtained by the ratio between the total number of viable pods and the number of seeds per plant, results in units. Mass of seeds per pod (MSP) was obtained by the ratio between the total mass of seeds of the plant and the number of viable pods, results in grams (g). Number of branches (NB) was counted of the number of branches larger than ten centimeters. Mass of seeds per plant (MSPP) was the pods harvested on each plant were threshed, subsequently it was determined the humidity degree and the mass of seeds was adjusted to 13% moisture. Seed length (SL) was measured by the length of all plant seeds, character determined by using a digital caliper. Seed width (SW) was measured by the width of all plant seeds, character determined through a digital caliper. Seed flattening (SF) was determined by the methodology proposed by Silva (2005), wherein seeds are classified as flattened, semi-flattened and filled. Seed Brightness (BRI) was conducted by visual grading through the methodology of Silva (2005), wherein seeds are classified as opaque, intermediate and bright. Presence of halo (HAL) was visual assessed determining the presence or absence of halo in the seed. Color of the halo (CHR) was visual assessment determining the color of the halo in relation to the seed.

Statistical analysis

The data obtained were submitted to descriptive analysis. Thereafter, the distributions of phenotypic frequencies of the traits were performed. Analysis of genetic dissimilarity between genotypes by standardized Euclidean distance was subsequently carried out, applying the UPGMA (unweighted pair grouping method with arithmetic mean). The relative importance of the characters was obtained by the methodology proposed by Singh (1981). The Tocher optimization method was held based on the matrix of the standardized Euclidean distance, and then the principal component analysis was held. All analyses were performed using the Genes software (Cruz, 2013).

Conclusions

The distributions of phenotypic frequencies indicate genetic variability among the 149 genotypes of common black bean. The Tocher optimization method presents the formation of eight groups of genotypes. The dendrogram formed by the standardized Euclidean distance was efficient in the stratification of accessions for their genetic distance. The relative contribution by Singh method shows that days to flowering and seed brightness are the characters that best discriminate genotypes. The multivariate techniques of Tocher optimization and standardized Euclidean distance show similar responses, proving to be viable tools for the choice of parents in a genetic breeding program.
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